

From: [REDACTED]
To: RB6enfproceed@waterboards
Subject: Comments to CAO
Date: Wednesday, September 30, 2015 6:11:14 AM

The Hinkley Community Advisory Committee (CAC) is submitting formal comments to the California Regional Water Quality Lahotan Region regarding the Draft Cleanup and Abatement Order (Draft CAO) issued on September 1, 2015. The two main areas of concern that the CAC is submitting comments include the following:

1. Plume Drawing- We agree with the Prosecution Team that the plume drawing should be based on connecting Cr(VI) and Cr(T) values about 3.1 ppb and 3.2 ppb, respectively between monitoring wells within 2,600 ft. This will avoid any confusion that the Hinkley Community may have with the different interpretations from the Water Board and PG&E. The Plume drawing should be based on the current method proposed by the Water Board's Prosecution Team until the USGS background study is completed.
2. Whole House Water should include all indoor usage and not just cooking and drinking. Hinkley residents should be able to take showers/baths with clean water as well as run their swamp coolers with clean water to assure safe inhalation of water vapor.

Sincerely ,
Barbara Ray
Roger Killian

I agree with the present Cr6 plume boundaries for the 2nd Quarter 2015. It's important to retain the plume boundaries in the north area of Hinkley. I agree with the Water Board's Prosecution Team on this. The present Cr6 plume boundary should stand until the results of the USGS background study is finalized.

Penny Harper

I agree with the Water Board's Prosecution Team that plume contouring should be based on the current method of connecting monitoring wells within 2,600 feet that are at or above background. This will insure a "Check and Balances";

with the changes being proposed, there are none. One person, hired by PG&E is ludicrous to interpret the plume shape. With all the previous bad feelings between the Hinkley Valley Residents and PG&E, do you really think the residents will believe anyone PG&E hires? I think not, would you? Also, this proposed CAO must be flexible and based upon scientific data, neutral scientific data. Also, no changes to the existing CAO until the end of Dr. Izbicki's study: consolidations are ok, but changes are not. Changes as written and promised originally are not acceptable and nothing short of manipulation to the residents of Hinkley Valley, and we see through these changes, which magically seem to favor PG&E.

Betty Hernandez