

California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
North Coast Region

Order No. R1-2020-0007

Waste Discharge Requirements  
for  
Discharges Related to Timber Harvesting and Related Land Management Activities  
Conducted by Humboldt Redwood Company, LLC  
in the  
Stitz Creek Watershed  
Humboldt County

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region, (hereinafter Regional Water Board) finds that:

1. Stitz Creek is a tributary to the Eel River, which drains to the Pacific Ocean. The Stitz Creek watershed encompasses approximately 2,572 acres (4 mi<sup>2</sup>) and is located in Coastal Northern California approximately 3.5 river miles upstream of the town of Scotia in Humboldt County and approximately 21 miles from where the Eel River flows into the Pacific Ocean. The legal description at the confluence of Stitz Creek and the Eel River is Township 1N Range 1E Section 22 (Lower Eel River HUC 18010105).
2. On February 27, 2008, the Regional Water Board Executive Officer requested that Pacific Lumber Company (PALCO), which at the time owned the entire Stitz Creek watershed, now owned by Humboldt Redwood Company (HRC), submit a Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) describing a management plan to address cumulative watershed effects in Stitz Creek.
3. In October 2008, HRC purchased PALCO's timberlands throughout Humboldt County, including the 2,572 acres in the Stitz Creek watershed. The request for a ROWD applies to HRC as the new owner.
4. On May 2, 2018, pursuant to Water Code section 13260(a), HRC submitted a ROWD for its timber harvesting and related management activities on lands in the Stitz Creek watershed in Humboldt County. HRC manages its Stitz Creek timber holdings for growing conifer and hardwood trees for the production of saw and chip logs and other renewable forest products such as bio-fuel, split products, firewood, and burls.
5. The ROWD includes HRC's proposed long term strategy for their management activities in the Stitz Creek watershed, maps, and appendices. HRC's management plan includes measures designed to prevent or minimize water quality impacts from its management activities in the Stitz Creek watershed. The ROWD addresses the following activities:

- Silviculture and rate of harvest;
  - Measures to prevent or minimize controllable sediment discharge from roads, skid trails, landslides, and other sources related to timberland management;
  - Identification and treatment of controllable sediment discharge sources (CSDSs);
  - Methods for road use, construction, reconstruction, decommissioning, and repair and maintenance; and
  - Retention of riparian vegetation to preserve and restore shade and prevent increases in solar radiation.
6. Section 8 of the ROWD discusses the results of two stream inventory assessments conducted in the summers of 1992 and 2010. Each survey collected data on habitat characteristics and provided the basis for recommendations for future restoration activities to enhance Stitz Creek as an anadromous Class I watercourse. Stitz Creek and its tributaries are recognized to provide approximately three miles of suitable spawning, rearing, and overwintering habitats for resident steelhead and cutthroat trout. The most notable recommendations suggest modifications to, or replacement of, the culvert on the county-owned Shively Road in order to restore anadromous fish passage and allow instream wood to pass downstream.

### **Stitz Creek Harvest History**

7. Harvesting of old growth redwood and Douglas-fir in the Stitz Creek watershed began in the early 1900s. Initial logging utilized steam donkeys coupled with a railroad constructed up the main channel of Stitz Creek. Aerial photographs taken of the watershed during the 1940s and 1950s show no road networks associated with the timber harvesting.
8. Accelerated logging of the old growth forest occurred in Stitz Creek between 1974 and 1997, when nearly 73% (1,878 acres) of the watershed was harvested using a combination of clearcut and intensive partial harvesting methods. During this period 19 miles of logging roads were constructed throughout much of the watershed, including on steep stream-side hillslopes.
9. Several large storm events since the 1950s, including the regionally significant 1964 storm event, triggered episodes of widespread landsliding on slopes disturbed by logging and logging road construction practices. Many of the landslides formed debris torrents that deposited large volumes of sediment in streams, widened stream channels, and removed much of the riparian vegetation. The most recent of these large landslide triggering events occurred during a series of storms in December 1996 and January 1997 and severely impacted the mainstem and major tributaries of Stitz Creek.

10. In December 1997, the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE), Department of Fish and Game (now Department of Fish and Wildlife [CDFW]), California Geological Survey (CGS), and the Regional Water Board determined, based on field observations and aerial photograph data, that Stitz Creek was one of five watersheds wholly or predominantly owned by PALCO that were significantly cumulatively impacted by sediment discharges after the 1996/1997 storms. The other impacted watersheds are Jordan and Bear Creeks, which are also tributary to the Lower Eel River, and Freshwater Creek and Elk River which are tributary to Humboldt Bay, approximately 25 miles to the north.
11. In 1998 Natural Resources Management Corporation (NRM) released the results of its sediment source investigation for the Stitz Creek watershed (Appendix B of the ROWD). During the 61 year-period between 1936 and 1997, an estimated 267,385 cubic yards (yd<sup>3</sup>) of sediment from debris flows, 92,721 yd<sup>3</sup> of sediment from shallow landslides, and 20,772 yd<sup>3</sup> of sediment from deep-seated landslides were delivered to Stitz Creek; a total of 380,877 yd<sup>3</sup>.
12. HRC ownership in the Stitz Creek watershed is covered by a multi-species state and federal Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) approved in 1999. The HCP implements state and federal Incidental Take Permits (ITP) issued for aquatic species including Chinook salmon, coho salmon, steelhead trout, southern torrent salamander, tailed-frog, red-legged frog, foothill yellow-legged frog, and the northwestern pond turtle in conformance with the state and federal Endangered Species Acts. The HCP includes a Watershed Analysis (WA) component for focused inventory and investigation of conditions and processes related to mass wasting, surface erosion, riparian function, stream channel, and aquatic habitat. The most recent WA iteration for the Lower Eel/Eel Delta Watershed Analysis (LEED WA) was done in 2004 and established forest management prescriptions pertaining to slope stability and riparian forest protection which modified logging and road construction practices, treatment (storm-proofing) of existing roads, and limited wet-weather harvesting and road use. Since that time, less than one mile of road has been built, and 270 acres have been harvested in Stitz Creek. No significant harvesting has taken place within the watershed since 2008. In 2013, 27 acres of selection harvest was logged as part of THP 1-07-161 HUM, which received coverage under Regional Water Board Order No. R1-2009-0038, *Categorical Waiver of Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges Related to Timber Activities on Non-Federal Lands in the North Coast Region* (Waiver).
13. In 2008 HRC acquired the property and implemented a silvicultural management strategy throughout its ownership that predominantly utilizes partial harvesting methods such as selection silviculture. Partial harvesting results in post-harvest conditions that are less susceptible to mass wasting and increased erosional processes as compared to clearcut harvesting.
14. In 2012 an updated road inventory was conducted by R&J Miller Consulting. The updated inventory included 42 sites identified along the Stitz Creek road system that are recommended for treatment to prevent or minimize sediment discharge. Of

these, six sites have already contributed or have potential to contribute approximately 168 yds<sup>3</sup> of sediment and are scheduled for treatment. Since 1999, approximately 10.4 miles of road have been storm-proofed within the watershed and nine sediment savings sites have been treated for an estimated savings of 1,016 yd<sup>3</sup> of sediment. Storm-proofed roads incorporate the design features described in section 6.3.3 of the HCP and are summarized below:

- Hydrologically disconnecting road segments from watercourses and minimizing concentration of surface runoff by installing drainage structures at sufficient intervals to disperse runoff so as to avoid gully formation and minimize erosion of the road surface and inside ditches;
- Identifying and treating potential road failures (mostly fill slope failures) that deliver sediments to streams;
- Watercourse crossing shall be designed to minimize the potential for crossing failure and diversion of streams. Watercourse crossings shall be sized adequately to accommodate estimated 100-year flood flow, including wood and sediment;
- Inspecting and maintaining roads annually; and
- Wet weather road use shall be avoided or limited to well rocked, paved, or chip sealed surfaces.

### **Basin Plan Water Quality Standards and Total Maximum Daily Loads**

15. Pursuant to the Water Quality Control Plan for the North Coast Region (Basin Plan), including State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Resolution No. 88-63, the existing and potential beneficial uses of waters potentially affected by HRC's management activities in the Stitz Creek watershed include:

- a. Cold Freshwater Habitat (COLD)
- b. Wildlife habitat (WILD)
- c. Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species (RARE)
- d. Migration of Aquatic organisms (MIGR)
- e. Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development (SPWN)
- f. Flood Peak Attenuation/Flood Water Storage (FLD)
- g. Wetland Habitat (WET)

16. The primary beneficial uses of concern for this Order are 1) COLD, defined as a use that "supports cold water ecosystems including, but not limited to, preservation or enhancement of aquatic habitats, vegetation, fish, or wildlife, including invertebrates.", and 2) SPWN, defined as a use that "support high quality aquatic habitats suitable for reproduction and early development of fish.". This Order focuses on salmonids as the aquatic species that are most sensitive to elevated sediment and temperature conditions. Evidence of salmon population declines is contained in the listing of all the major species under the Endangered Species Act by the National Marine Fisheries Service. Salmonid populations are listed under their geographic

area. The Endangered Species Act listings that apply to the Lower Eel River are as follows:

- Southern Oregon/Northern California Coast Coho Salmon Evolutionary Significant Unit (ESU)
- California Coastal Chinook Salmon ESU
- Northern California steelhead Distinct Population Segment (DPS)

17. In 1992, United States EPA added the Lower Eel River to the Clean Water Act section 303(d) impaired waters list due to elevated sedimentation/siltation and temperature, as part of listing the entire Eel River basin. In 2007, the EPA established Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) for sediment and temperature for the Lower Eel River<sup>1</sup>. TMDLs are set at levels necessary to achieve the applicable water quality standards, which consist of beneficial uses, water quality objectives, and an anti-degradation policy.

18. Stitz Creek is within a designated impaired watershed and is, therefore, subject to the EPA-established TMDLs for sediment and temperature for the Lower Eel River. The sediment TMDL identifies estimated sediment loading rates from natural and anthropogenic sources. The sediment TMDL identifies landslides as the dominant sediment producing process and finds that timber harvesting and roads are the primary source of management related sediment discharge. The sediment TMDL estimates the amount of sediment that can be delivered to streams within the watershed (tons per square mile per year) without exceeding water quality standards. Reductions in the estimated sediment load from a recent time interval is another way to describe the sediment TMDL loading allocations. Since sediment loading rates for Stitz Creek are best understood for the most recent decades, the sediment TMDL load allocation of a 65% reduction of the sediment load for the period 1989-2003 will be used to track TMDL progress.

19. The sediment TMDL recommends reducing landslide risk from timber harvest related activities, performing road assessments and upgrading deficient roads, and modifications to restoration activities based on monitoring results. It is anticipated that implementation of HRC's management strategy as outlined in the ROWD, with modifications as needed based on ongoing monitoring and assessment, will result in a reduction of anthropogenic sediment discharges from roads and landslides sufficient to achieve TMDL load allocations.

20. The temperature TMDL identifies loss of riparian shade due to landslides and timber harvest as one of the predominant factors causing temperature impairment of the Lower Eel River watershed. The temperature TMDL load allocations consist of protection or restoration of natural shade to assure compliance with water quality

---

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2007, Lower Eel River Total Maximum Daily Loads for Temperature and Sediment.

standards. It is anticipated that implementation of the riparian protection and restoration measures will achieve temperature load allocations and will result in compliance with the temperature objective.

21. The management plan contained within the ROWD and Appendices, and the provisions described in findings 21 through 35 are expected to achieve the TMDL load allocations specified above. Compliance with the provisions of this Order is the regulatory mechanism for HRC to comply with the Lower Eel River watershed sediment and temperature TMDLs.

### **Management Plan and Practices**

#### **TIMBER HARVESTING**

22. Logging and associated activities have the potential to impact water quality. The potential for impacts to occur is highest in the period following disturbance, with a delay of several years for the period of maximum vulnerability due to loss of root strength and the length of time for regrowth of forest canopy. Impacts diminish over time as vegetation grows back and disturbed soil stabilizes. This recovery period varies for different processes. In order to limit the potential for impacts to water quality, it is necessary and appropriate to limit the total area within a watershed that is in a condition prone to water quality impacts following harvest and establish an upper limit to the watershed area that can be harvested in any ten-year period following adoption of the Order.

Many studies have been conducted to try to better understand the relationship between rate of harvesting and cumulative watershed effects that result from a complex interaction of many different factors. Such factors include inherent watershed characteristics, such as geology and geomorphology; external natural processes such as climate and timing of stochastic events (i.e., large storms, earthquakes, fires); types of management practices; and extent of watershed area disturbed (i.e., rate of harvest). The rate of harvest in a watershed is an important management variable. Several studies cite specific thresholds for the rate of harvest, above which, cumulative impacts become more likely to occur. Studies have linked specific processes to watershed impact, such as increased peak flows (Lisle et al., 2000, Lewis et al., 2001), landslide related sediment discharge (Reid, 1998), road density (Cedarholm et al. 1981, Gucinski et al. 2001, Trombulak et al, 2000), or clearcut equivalent acres (USDA Forest Service, 1974). Appropriate harvest rate thresholds necessary to avoid cumulative watershed impacts presented in the scientific literature, expressed as watershed area harvested over time (typically percent per year or per decade), vary greatly. The report of the scientific review panel on California Forest Practice Rules and salmonid habitat (Ligon et al, 1999) recommended harvest rates between 30% and 50% clearcut equivalent acres per decade, depending on site specific variables, harvesting prescriptions, past watershed disturbance, and other factors (Reid et al., 2010; Klein, 2012; and Cafferata, 2013). Section 5.1 of the ROWD provides an estimate of forested acres to

be actively harvested and managed over the next ten years in the Stitz Creek watershed. HRC anticipates harvesting no more than 35% (899 acres) of the total watershed area over the next decade using primarily Selection and Group Selection silviculture on 30% (770 acres) of the total watershed area and Variable Retention and Rehabilitation of Understocked Areas on 5% (128 acres) of the total watershed area. Lastly, Sanitation Salvage may be utilized to respond to unforeseen acts of nature, such as insect infestation, disease, flood, or wildfire. Ground based yarding will be restricted to slopes  $\leq 40\%$ , with high-lead and full suspension cable yarding on slopes greater than 40%. Based on current conditions in the watershed, the proposed silviculture methods, the proposed level of geologic review and hillslope protection measures, management practices designed to prevent or minimize sediment discharge, the specific requirements established in this Order, and the Regional Water Board's ongoing oversight of HRC's management activities, staff finds HRC's proposed maximum harvest to be protective of water quality standards within the Stitz Creek watershed.

Section I.A.5 of the Order requires that HRC limits timber harvesting in the Stitz Creek watershed to no more than 35% of the watershed area over any ten-year period following approval of this Order.

## LANDSLIDE PREVENTION

23. Section 4 of the ROWD identifies existing sediment sources within the Stitz Creek watershed. These sources, including those related to landslides, roads, streamside bank erosion, and their underlying causes are discussed in Sections 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3 of the ROWD.
24. Timber harvesting can increase rates of shallow landslides on vulnerable slopes due to decreases in root strength and increased soil moisture. Tree roots can enhance the strength of shallow soils, increasing the soil's ability to resist failure. When trees are harvested, their roots gradually decay, reducing the reinforcement they provide and increasing the potential for shallow landslides. The loss of root strength gradually increases over a period of several years, with the critical period of maximum loss occurring approximately 5 to 15 years after harvesting, depending on species and intensity of harvesting. As new roots grow into the space previously occupied by the older root system, the support they provide gradually increases. Partial harvesting of resprouting species such as redwood or tanoak is thought to minimize the degree and duration of the period of diminished root strength. This is due the fact that the roots of those trees do not die back completely after the tree is cut down and that a significant percentage of trees are retained following harvest.

Interception, evaporation, and evapotranspiration of rainfall by forest canopy can reduce the volume of precipitation that infiltrates and remains in soils. Harvesting trees can therefore result in increased soil moisture and runoff, which can contribute to landsliding and increased erosion. Vulnerability to shallow landsliding processes varies throughout a hillslope, primarily as a function of soil depth, slope gradient, contributing drainage area, subsurface hydrology, and soil characteristics.

HRC's management strategy will utilize a combination of methods to identify vulnerable portions of the watershed and identify those management activities that have the potential to trigger landslides. The objectives of HRC's landslide reduction strategies are to avoid or restrict harvesting on vulnerable slopes and limit the overall intensity and areal extent of harvesting. Taken together, this combination of strategies is designed to minimize the potential for increased sediment discharge from timber harvest related landslides. These are discussed in greater detail below and include:

- No harvesting within 100 feet of Class I and II streams; additional harvesting restrictions up to 300 feet from the stream or to the break in slope; and review by a licensed geologist;
- Maintain and update an inventory of landslides in the watershed to expand understanding of landslide patterns in the watershed and the effectiveness of management measures, and to revise them as necessary;
- Implement feasible stabilization measures to prevent or minimize ongoing sediment discharge from landslides;
- Use of partial harvesting methods that retain a significant component of post-harvest root strength;
- Establish a harvest rate limit of 35% of the watershed harvested in any ten-year period in order to limit the area in post-harvest condition of reduced root strength at any given time.

25. Section I.B.1-2 of the Order requires that during the planning phase of every timber harvest plan (THP), a Professional Geologist (PG) will review pertinent published technical data with the intent of identifying potential high landslide hazard areas. Following the evaluation of technical data, ground based geologic investigations will be conducted as needed to verify mapped landforms and previously unobserved features, and to develop site-specific prescriptions. Additionally, HRC must submit to Regional Water Board staff a report describing the site-specific prescriptions and an explanation as to how their incorporation into timber operations will reduce the potential for sediment discharge.

26. Section 6.3 of the ROWD describes how HRC will prevent the denuding of streambanks of riparian vegetation and the filling in of channels with sediment from new and reactivated landslides and debris torrents. These goals will be accomplished by conducting detailed THP geologic reviews, which include field verification, review of published geotechnical data, regional geomorphic maps and stereoscopic aerial photograph evaluation, and use of the *Hillslope Management Checklist*.

Appendix D of the ROWD describes hillslope prescriptions from HRC's HCP that were developed to minimize management related landsliding from steep streamside slopes as a result of watershed analysis for the Lower Eel River, which includes Stitz



Creek. The analysis identified landforms most commonly associated with landsliding, based on slope, geologic substrate, and land use history and provides prescriptions to either avoid or limit harvesting on high hazard areas.

Regional Water Board staff have reviewed the hillslope prescriptions and find that they are a reasonable approach to avoiding or limiting harvesting on vulnerable slopes and are an important component of their overall strategy to minimize management related landsliding.

Section I.B.4 of the Order requires that HRC implement the hillslope prescriptions from the ROWD, including the following:

- a. No harvesting within 100 feet of Class I and II watercourses;
- b. Any harvesting within a headwall swale connected to a Class I, II, or III watercourse shall retain an adequate number of living trees equivalent to a minimum of 150 square feet of basal area per acre;
- c. No ground-based equipment, with the exception of at existing roads and equipment crossings, and permitted new road construction within:
  - 150 feet of a Class I watercourse,
  - 100 feet of a Class II watercourse,
  - 50 feet of a Class III watercourse, or to the closest hydrologic divide;
- d. RPFs shall utilize the Hillslope Management checklist from HCP section 6.3.3.7 for foresters preparing timber harvest plans to assist them in identifying vulnerable slopes and provide criteria for review by a PG.

27. Landslide related sediment discharge from hillslopes disturbed by management activity can persist episodically for many years after the initial impact. The most recent watershed-wide comprehensive landslide inventory was conducted in 2015 and is included in Appendix A of the ROWD. The 2015 inventory used 2003, 2006, and 2010 aerial photographic interpretation to identify and characterize all new and/or active landslides in the Stitz Creek watershed and develop estimates of sediment production and delivery to watercourses for each storm event. Landslide attributes were analyzed to quantify associations with geomorphic and management criteria. Future inventories of this nature will be conducted using similar methodologies consistent with guidelines presented in California Geological Survey Note 52, *Guidelines for Preparing Geologic Reports for Regional-Scale Environmental and Resource Management Planning* (2001), and will occur at no more than 5 year intervals or be determined, in part, by the occurrence of triggering events such as large earthquakes or storms, as well as the availability of aerial photographs. Section 4.1.1 of the ROWD describes HRC's methods for maintaining a complete and current inventory of landslide-related sediment sources, which include conducting field evaluations and aerial photograph interpretation, updating and maintaining the landslide inventory as on-the-ground conditions change, and identifying new landsliding activity.

28. Understanding landslide patterns in the watershed and the effect of land management on slope stability can be used to minimize ongoing landslide related sediment discharge and identify restoration opportunities.

The Stitz Creek landslide inventories identified a total of 177 landslides from the 2003, 2006, and 2010 aerial photographs. Of the 177 individual landslides, 59% were determined to be reactivations of pre-existing failures. Of the total, 21% (37) of inventoried landslides were not associated with any reported harvest activity or were in non-operational areas of THPs, and 76% (135) of inventoried landslides were associated with operational areas of pre-HCP THPs. The inventories indicate that few landslides are connected to the modern road network, instead being associated with abandoned roads and disconnected skid trails. Within the "Timing of Management-Related Failures" section of Appendix A, the comparison between pre- and post-HCP landslides shows a reduction in the rate of landsliding after the implementation of the HCP. This is attributed to avoidance or mitigated operations on, and adjacent to, unstable areas resulting in a notable improvement over the rate of failures associated with pre-HCP harvest operations.

Section 4.1.2 of the ROWD provides a description of HRC'S current landslide inventory, prioritization strategy, and source remediation schedule. The strategies described above are designed to minimize the potential for harvest related landslides by avoiding or limiting harvesting on vulnerable areas.

Section I.C.5 of the Monitoring and Reporting Program, Attachment 1 of the Order, requires that HRC will acquire and maintain updated, high-angle color stereo pair aerial photographs, at an interval of no greater than 5 years, for use in updating the landslide inventory and to maintain the landslide inventory as described in Section 4.1.1 and Appendix A of the ROWD.

## ROAD MANAGEMENT

29. Logging roads can alter hillslope hydrologic processes and increase sediment discharge from surface and gully erosion and landslides. Sediment TMDLs adopted for watersheds throughout the North Coast Region have identified logging roads as one of the most significant and ubiquitous sources of anthropogenic sediment discharge. Roads can contribute to landsliding by undermining and over steepening slopes and placing fill material on steep slopes. Roads also intercept and concentrate shallow groundwater and surface runoff, which can cause gully erosion and saturate vulnerable slopes, increasing the potential for failure. Road crossings of watercourses are subject to the force of high stream flows and failure usually results in direct delivery to streams.
30. Timber harvesting and associated road construction and use have historically left disturbed areas throughout the landscape that have the potential to discharge sediment over extended periods of time. These legacy sites, which are referred to in Regional Water Board Orders regulating discharges from forestry activities as controllable sediment discharge sources (CSDSs), may include failing or failed

watercourse crossings, road failures, road surfaces, landslides, unstable watercourse banks, soil stockpiles, skid trails, landings, exposed harvest units, or any other site discharging or threatening to discharge waste or earthen materials in violation of water quality requirements.

CSDSs are those sites that meet all of the following conditions:

- a. is discharging or has the potential to discharge sediment to waters of the state in violation of water quality standards or other provisions established herein;
- b. was caused or affected by human activity; and
- c. may feasibly and reasonably, respond to prevention and minimization management measures.

The current inventory of all known CSDSs and road maintenance requiring active or preventive erosion control work is included in a master list in Appendix C of the ROWD. There are 42 CSDSs, associated with landslides, landings, rocked permanent roads, and abandoned roads. The schedule for treatment contained within Appendix C lists all 42 sites to be treated by October 15, 2021, after the adoption of this Order. As part of the scheduled treatment, HRC will reopen some of the abandoned roads in order to access the 42 sites. Reopened roads will be stormproofed, as per the HCP requirements, as work on the site(s) is completed.

31. Erosion Control Plans (ECPs), in which landowners identify, evaluate, and treat CSDS, are an important component of a strategy to prevent or minimize ongoing sediment discharge, and contribute towards achieving sediment TMDL load allocations. Section 4 of the ROWD describes HRC's strategy to develop and implement ECPs for their timberland in the Stitz Creek watershed.

Section I.D of the Order requires that HRC prepare and submit ECPs to address any CSDS that are not on a road or not in the inventory and to treat these CSDSs pursuant to Sections I.B (Landslide Prevention and Riparian Protection) or I.C (Road Management) of the Order. These sites shall be inventoried and scheduled for treatment during timber harvest plan development and treated concurrently with timber harvesting in the vicinity.

These CSDSs will be subject to the following:

- a. Each site shall be inventoried in an ECP, which will include a description of the current condition of each site, an estimate of the potential sediment volume that could discharge from the site, a narrative description of the proposed management measures, and a schedule for implementation.
- b. Inventoried sites must be treated in accordance with the established ECP schedule.
- c. The ECP shall be submitted to the Regional Water Board for review with the timber harvest plan it is associated with.

- d. If treatment of such sites “strands” any other CSDSs (making them inaccessible) HRC does not relinquish responsibility for also treating the stranded sites. For logistical reasons, it is recommended that measures be taken to prevent sites from becoming stranded.

32. The ROWD describes HRC’s overall approach to preventing and minimizing controllable sediment discharge from roads. Section 6.2 of the ROWD describes the planned control of sediment from roads and other sources utilizing the requirements of the HCP. Appendix E of the ROWD describes prescriptions to control sediment discharge from roads from Section 6.3.3 (revised August 1, 2011) of the HCP. In particular, HCP Section 6.3.3 sets out standards and guidelines for road construction, reconstruction, and upgrades; measures to be taken to prevent and minimize sediment delivery to watercourses during road maintenance; the scheduling of inspections to be conducted on an annual basis and in response to large storm events; wet weather road use restrictions; and requirements for the treatment of exposed soils in riparian areas including timing of that treatment.

Section I.C.1 of the Order, requires that the measures described in Appendix E of the ROWD are utilized to prevent or minimize sediment discharge from roads.

Section I.C.2 of the Order requires that HRC shall upgrade all roads that currently do not meet the standards described in section 6.3.3 of the HCP by October 15, 2021.

Section I.C.3 of the Order requires that HRC maintain and update the inventory of CSDSs from roads as described in Section 4.2 and Appendix C of the ROWD. Section I.C.4 of the Order requires that by October 15, 2021, HRC shall treat those road related CSDSs currently identified in the inventory included in Appendix C of the ROWD.

Section I.C.5 of the Order requires that HRC shall inspect all roads within their Stitz Creek ownership at least annually and following triggering storm events as specified in the ROWD. New road-related sediment sources that are identified during the inspections will be treated within one year of being identified.

## RIPARIAN PROTECTION AND TEMPERATURE

33. Timber harvesting can affect water temperature directly by removal of trees that provide shade to stream and riparian zones and indirectly by increasing sediment production from road erosion, landslides, and other erosional processes that result in pool filling and shallower stream conditions which are more prone to heating. The debris torrents that occurred in Stitz Creek in the 1950s, 1960s, and 1990s filled portions of the mainstem channel with sediment and removed much of the vegetation that had provided shade to the stream and riparian zone. It also resulted in a wider and shallower channel, which is more susceptible to temperature changes than deeper narrower streams. Analysis from the temperature TMDLs developed for temperature impaired waterbodies throughout the North Coast Region have consistently found elevated water temperatures to be the result of increased

exposure to solar radiation due to loss of stream shade and alteration of stream channels in response to elevated sediment loads.

Section 6.0 of the ROWD describes HRC's *Sediment and Adverse Stream Temperature Prevention and Minimization Strategy* for Stitz Creek. Section 6.1 outlines the implementation of the Lower Eel River/Eel River Delta (LEED, 2004) prescriptions contained in Appendix D. These prescriptions are designed to maintain and restore riparian forests for the benefit of shade canopy and large woody debris recruitment through restrictions and/or specific requirements for timber harvest and road construction/re-construction activities in riparian areas, steep streamside slopes, and unstable areas.

Section I.B.4 of the Order requires that, as per the LEED prescriptions, HRC shall not harvest within 100 feet of Class I and II watercourses. Prohibiting all harvesting within 100 feet of Class I and II watercourses will promote regrowth of riparian canopy that was lost to earlier land activities or destroyed by debris torrents. This level of protection is adequate to preserve and restore natural shade to these watercourses in the Stitz Creek watershed.

#### IN-STREAM RESTORATION AND HABITAT INVENTORY

34. Three surveys were conducted in 1992, 2000, and 2010 in Stitz Creek to document fish presence and/or quantify available salmonid habitat. The first survey, conducted in 1992 by the CDFW as part of the North Coast Basin Planning Project (BPP), documented the presence of steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), quantified available fish habitat, and identified a number of "problem sites" within the channel including road crossings and log jams. The second survey, conducted in 2000 by PALCO field technicians, documented cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarki clarki*) and steelhead trout presence by electrofishing upstream of the 11-foot falls formed by the culvert crossing the county-maintained Shively Road. The third survey, conducted in 2010 by members of the Americorps Watershed Stewards Project (WSP) under the guidance of DFW, documented current habitat conditions and recommended potential habitat enhancement options for anadromous salmonids. The WSP survey also documented salmonid presence throughout the surveyed reach which extended approximately 3,300 feet upstream from the Eel River confluence.

All three surveys verified fish presence upstream of the Shively Road crossing. The culvert structure was identified as a candidate for modification in order to improve fish passage and is currently considered an anadromous barrier, though there remains a viable resident population of trout successfully reproducing upstream. Although the available fish habitat upstream of Shively Road is currently limited to resident salmonids, it was recommended that Stitz Creek be managed as an anadromous, natural production stream. Other fish species documented in Stitz Creek in 2010 included three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*), California roach (*Lavinia symmetricus*), and Sacramento pike minnow (*Ptychocheilus grandis*).

35. Rather than rely on a permit provision to develop and implement watershed restoration projects, the Regional Water Board finds it more appropriate to work collaboratively with HRC, Humboldt County staff, and other interested stakeholders in the development of a stream and riparian restoration plan that could potentially include replacement or modification the culvert on Shively Road. The benefit of this approach is that restoration projects would remain eligible for both grant funding as well as expedited permitting process available through the CDFW's Fisheries Restoration Grant Program.

#### MONITORING AND REPORTING

36. HRC will maintain coverage, in part, by submission of an annual work plan and summary report, as described below, demonstrating compliance with the conditions and provisions established by this Order. The annual work plan describing management activities planned for the coming year is necessary, along with an annual summary report describing management activities conducted during the previous year and including an update and evaluation at an interval of no more than every five years.

A Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment 1) is necessary to:

- track progress of activities conducted in compliance with waste discharge requirements and in conformance with the goals of the Lower Eel River temperature and sediment TMDLs;
- evaluate the effectiveness of HRC's management plan in protecting and recovering the beneficial uses of water; and
- provide feedback on HRC's management activities planned for the upcoming year in the Stitz Creek watershed.

#### **Waste Discharge Requirements**

37. Water Code section 13260 subdivision (a) requires that any person discharging waste or proposing to discharge waste within any region that could affect the quality of the waters of the state, other than into a community sewer system, must file with the appropriate Regional Water Board a Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) containing such information and data as may be required.

38. Pursuant to Water Code section 13263, the Regional Water Board may prescribe requirements as to the nature of any proposed or existing discharge with relation to the receiving water conditions. Requirements shall implement any relevant Basin Plan requirements and take into consideration beneficial uses and water quality objectives reasonably required to protect such uses, and other relevant factors.

39. These Waste Discharge Requirements (WDR or Order) address non-point source activities which have the potential to discharge wastes that affect waters of the State from only those portions of Stitz Creek owned and managed by HRC—and rights-of-

ways over roads on lands owned by others—totaling approximately 2,572 acres (Figure 1-2 of the ROWD), or approximately 100% of the watershed. The potential water quality impacts are associated with erosion and sediment delivery, and changes to riparian systems that may reduce shade and affect water temperatures. This Order includes requirements that prevent or minimize sediment discharges and requirements that prevent increases in water temperature by limiting harvesting adjacent to streams and implementing measures to hasten natural channel recovery processes. An enrollment process is not required to commence operations for CAL FIRE-approved THPs or road related projects that fully comply with requirements of this Order, unless notified in writing by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer that the plan or project is not eligible for coverage.

**Applicable State and Federal Regulatory Programs That Apply to Activities Covered by These WDRs**

40. HRC management activities in the Stitz Creek watershed are also subject to local, State, and Federal laws, policies, and ordinances. These include but may not be limited to the following:
- California Forest Practice Act (Pub. Resources Code, § 4511 et seq.) and Forest Practice Rules (FPRs) (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 14, §§ 895-1115.3);
  - A multi-species state and federal Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) approved in 1999 by California Department of Fish and Game (now CDFW), National Marine Fisheries Service, and United States Fish and Wildlife Service;
  - Lake or Streambed Alteration Agreement (Fish & Game Code, §1602).
41. CAL FIRE is the state agency responsible for overseeing timber harvesting activities through implementation of the FPRs. Landowners proposing to harvest timber are required to have an approved Timber Harvest Plan (THP), prepared by a Registered Professional Forester (RPF), prior to starting timber harvesting activities. Pursuant to the FPRs, the Regional Water Board, CDFW, CGS, and other agencies are also responsible agencies that review THPs and provide recommendations to CAL FIRE as part of a “Review Team.” CAL FIRE’s THP approval process is the functional equivalent to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review process. The Regional Water Board will continue to participate as a Review Team member for individual THPs proposed in Stitz Creek.
42. This Order is intended to work in conjunction with, and to supplement, existing regulations in order to implement Basin Plan water quality standards and restore the beneficial uses of water in the Stitz Creek watershed. To that effect, the Regional Water Board relies in part on enforceable provisions of the FPRs and HRC’s HCP that are related to protection of water quality, including the updated 2004 Lower Eel and Eel Delta watershed prescriptions, which are included specifically or by reference as enforceable provisions of this Order.

## CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

43. In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code section 21000 et seq.) (CEQA), the adoption of this Order is a “project” and the Regional Water Board is the lead agency responsible for approving the project. On January 13, 2020, the Regional Water Board provided notice of intent to adopt a mitigated negative declaration (SCH No. 2020019037) for the project (California Code of Regulations title 14, § 15072.)
44. The initial study and mitigated negative declaration reflect the Regional Water Board’s independent judgment and analysis. The Regional Water Board has reviewed and considered the initial study, mitigated negative declaration, comments received on the environmental documents, and any proposed changes incorporated into the project or required as a condition of approval to avoid significant effects to the environment. Based on the whole record before it, including consideration of comments received and the contents of the initial study and Mitigated Negative Declaration, the Regional Water Board finds there is no substantial evidence showing that adoption of this Order will have a significant effect on the environment that cannot be mitigated or avoided. Mitigation measures necessary to reduce or eliminate significant water quality impacts are included as conditions of approval in the Order, and the Regional Water Board is adopting a Monitoring and Reporting Program as a condition of its approval.
45. The Regional Water Board conducted a public hearing on April 16, 2020, in Humboldt County, California, considered all evidence in the record concerning this matter, and adopted the negative declaration and mitigation monitoring and reporting plan. The documents or other material, which constitute the record, are located at 5550 Skylane Blvd, Suite A, Santa Rosa, CA 95403. The Regional Water Board will file a Notice of Determination within five days from the issuance of this Order.
46. The Regional Water Board has satisfied its obligation to address tribal cultural resources under the notification and consultation provisions of Public Resources Code – Assembly Bill 52 (Gatto). Tribes on the State Water Resources Control Board Consultation List were contacted on December 3, 2019. No tribes requested consultation.

## STATEMENT OF POLICY WITH RESPECT TO MAINTENANCE OF HIGH-QUALITY WATERS IN CALIFORNIA

47. State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16 (“Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintenance of High Quality Waters in California”) establishes that whenever the existing quality of water is better than the quality established in state policies, including the Basin Plan, such existing high quality water must be maintained to the maximum extent possible consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the state. Any change to existing high quality waters is allowed only if it has been



demonstrated to the Regional Water Board that: 1) any change will be consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the state; 2) will not unreasonably affect present and anticipated beneficial uses of such water; and 3) will not result in water quality less than that prescribed in the Basin Plan. The policy further requires that dischargers meet waste discharge requirements which will result in the best practicable treatment or control of the discharge necessary to assure that pollution or nuisance will not occur and that the highest water quality consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the state will be maintained.

48. This Order is consistent with Resolution No. 68-16. It sets forth conditions and measures designed to prevent sediment discharges to waters of the state and improve temperature conditions in affected streams to the extent feasible. When implemented properly, these conditions and measures will result in a benefit to water quality. Compliance with this Order will lead to attainment of applicable water quality requirements and the reasonable protection of beneficial uses. The implementation of all feasible and reasonable management measures required by this Order to prevent or minimize sediment discharge and protect and restore riparian shade will result in protection of water quality and compliance with the Basin Plan. The monitoring and reporting plan required under this Order will ensure effectiveness of the measures and will allow identification and correction at sites where such management measures are not functioning as intended. These requirements will result in the best practicable treatment or control of the discharges, will assure that pollution or nuisance will not occur, and the highest water quality consistent with maximum benefit to the people of the state is maintained.

49. The Order is consistent with the Policy for Implementation and Enforcement of the Non-Point Source Pollution Control Program (Non-Point Source Policy). Implementation of the Order will promote attainment of Water Quality Objectives and Basin Plan requirements. The Order incorporates antidegradation requirements as described in Finding 45, describes management practices and performance standards to be met; requires annual monitoring and reporting, and five year summary reports to provide a feedback mechanism to the Regional Water Board on the effectiveness of the management practices; sets clear milestones for meeting objectives; and states the consequences for failure to meet Order requirements, which may include: modification of Order requirements to require additional management measures and mitigations, rescission of coverage for individual THPs and/or denial of THP enrollment; and enforcement action for failure to comply with Order conditions including reporting requirements.

THEREFORE, pursuant to California Water Code sections 13263 and 13267, the Regional Water Board hereby approves and adopts Order No. R1-2020-0007 and associated Mitigated Negative Declaration and directs the Executive Officer to file all appropriate notices. Humboldt Redwood Company, LLC, hereinafter referred to as HRC, shall comply with the following:

## I. SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS

### A. Timber Harvesting

1. HRC shall not utilize the clearcutting harvest method as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 14, section 913.1.
2. Harvesting of HRC timberland in the Stitz Creek watershed using Selection and Group Selection silvicultural methods shall not exceed 30% (770 acres) of the total acres owned within the watershed over any ten-year period.
3. Harvesting of HRC timberland in the Stitz Creek watershed using Variable Retention and Rehabilitation of Understocked Area silvicultural methods shall not exceed 5% (128 acres) of the total acres owned within the watershed over any ten-year period.
4. Harvesting of HRC timberland using the Sanitation Salvage silvicultural method may be used to respond to unforeseen acts of nature (i.e., outbreaks of disease, widespread insect attack, wildfire, wind, flood, etc.).
5. These silvicultural methods and harvest rates, as well as an overall harvest rate no greater than 35% over any ten-year period shall apply unless revisions are made by the Regional Water Board.

### B. Landslide Prevention and Riparian Protection

1. During the planning phase of every THP, a Professional Geologist shall review pertinent published technical data which may include but is not limited to landslide inventories, regional geomorphic maps, stereoscopic aerial photographs, and SHALSTAB landslide potential maps with the intent of identifying high landslide hazard areas. Following the evaluation of technical data, ground based geologic investigations shall be conducted as needed to verify mapped landforms and previously unobserved features.
2. HRC shall prepare and submit an engineering geologic report to the Regional Water Board for all THPs in Stitz Creek. The report shall be prepared by a California Licensed Professional Geologist in conformance with the guidelines of California Department of Conservation Division of Mines and Geology (now CGS) Note 45 to evaluate the potential impacts of the proposed harvesting to water quality. At a minimum, the geologic report shall characterize geologic hazards, evaluate the risk posed to the beneficial uses of water by the management activity, and develop appropriate mitigation. The report may be submitted before or during the timber harvest plan review process conducted by CAL FIRE, or by request of the Executive Officer.

3. The Regional Water Board staff shall provide any comments within 45 days of submittal of the report and if deemed necessary, may request additional information or require additional conditions be incorporated to further reduce or mitigate the potential for sediment discharge. If additional information or mitigation is required, HRC shall not proceed with the proposed activity until HRC demonstrates that the potential impacts to the beneficial uses of water will be adequately mitigated.
4. HRC shall conduct timber harvesting in accordance with the recommendations of the California licensed Professional Geologist and the LEED prescriptions contained in Appendix D of the ROWD, which include the following:
  - a. No harvesting within 100 feet of Class I and II watercourses;
  - b. Any harvesting within a headwall swale connected to a Class I, II, or III watercourse shall retain the number of living trees equivalent to a minimum of 150 square feet of basal area per acre;
  - c. No ground-based equipment, with the exception of at existing roads and equipment crossings, and permitted new road construction within:
    - 150 feet of a Class I watercourses,
    - 100 feet of a Class II watercourse,
    - 50 feet of a Class III watercourse, or to the closest hydrologic divide.
  - d. RPFs shall utilize the Hillslope Management checklist from HCP section 6.3.3.7 for foresters preparing timber harvest plans to assist them in identifying vulnerable slopes and provide criteria for review by a PG.
5. HRC shall maintain and update the landslide inventory included in Appendix A of the ROWD according to the specifications described in Section 4.1.1 of the ROWD and as outlined in the Monitoring and Reporting Program in Attachment 1 of this Order.

#### C. Road Management

1. HRC shall implement management practices and specifications described in Appendix E of the ROWD to prevent and minimize sediment discharge from active roads.
2. By October 15, 2021, HRC shall upgrade all roads to meet the storm-proofed standard as described in Appendix E of the ROWD.
3. HRC shall maintain and update the inventory of CSDSs from roads, included in the ROWD in accordance with the methods described in Section 4.2 of the ROWD.
4. By October 15, 2021, HRC shall complete treatment of those road related CSDSs currently identified in the inventory included in Appendix C of the ROWD.

5. HRC shall inspect all roads within their Stitz Creek ownership at least annually between May 1 and October 15, as specified in Appendix E of the ROWD.
6. HRC shall inspect storm-proofed roads as soon as conditions permit following any storm event that generates 3 inches or more of precipitation in a 24-hour period, as measured at the Scotia rain gauge.
7. Within 30 days of identifying a new CSDS, HRC shall document the CSDS and notify the Regional Water Board. Within one year, HRC will implement measures to prevent or minimize sediment discharge at any new controllable sediment discharge sources identified during the road inspections.

#### D. Erosion Control Plans

Any CSDS not on a road or inventoried and treated as part of the Road Management activities described in Section I.C of this Order, shall be inventoried and scheduled for treatment during timber harvest plan development and treated concurrently with timber operations in the vicinity.

These CSDSs may include, but are not limited to, failing skid trail crossings, watercourse diversions inside harvest units, etc. Such sites will be subject to the following:

1. Each site shall be inventoried in an ECP, which will include: a description of the current condition of each site, an estimate of the potential sediment volume that could discharge from the site, a narrative description of the proposed management measures, and a schedule for implementation.
2. Inventoried sites must be treated per the site specific ECP schedule.
3. The ECP shall be submitted to the Regional Water Board for review with the timber harvest plan it is associated with.
4. If treatment of such sites “strands” any other CSDSs, HRC does not relinquish responsibility for also treating the stranded sites. For logistical reasons, it is recommended that measures be taken to prevent sites from becoming stranded.

## II. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- A. An enrollment process is not required to commence operations for CAL FIRE-approved THPs or road related projects that fully comply with requirements of this Order, unless notified in writing by the Regional Water Board Executive Officer that the plan or project is not eligible for coverage.
- B. Water quality issues identified on any particular THP which are not resolved prior to THP approval by CAL FIRE, shall be resolved to the satisfaction of Regional Water Board Executive Officer, prior to commencement of that THP.

- C. HRC shall comply with all applicable water quality standards, requirements, and prohibitions specified in the Basin Plan as modified, and policies adopted by the State Water Board.
- D. HRC shall allow Regional Water Board staff entry onto all land within the Stitz Creek watershed covered by the WDR including appurtenant roads for the purposes of observing, inspecting, photographing, video-taping, measuring, and/or collecting samples or other monitoring information to document compliance or non-compliance with this Order. If entry is unreasonably withheld, the Executive Officer may terminate the applicability of the Order and may result in enforcement action.
- E. HRC shall comply with all water quality-related HCP prescriptions, conditions included in an approved THP, and any additional mitigation measures identified and required pursuant to the CAL FIRE CEQA process.
- F. HRC shall comply with the Monitoring and Reporting Program R1-2020-0007 attached to this Order (Attachment 1).
- G. HRC shall comply with all mitigation measures identified in the Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared to comply with CEQA.
- H. This Order does not authorize discharges from the aerial application of herbicides or pesticides. HRC shall submit a ROWD prior to any proposed aerial application of pesticides that could discharge to waters of the State.
- I. HRC shall maintain copies of all correspondence and records collected and prepared to document compliance with this Order and provide access to Regional Water Board to review and copy.
- J. All activities covered by this Order must comply with local, state, and federal law.
- K. No discharge of waste into the waters of the state, whether or not the discharge is made pursuant to waste discharge requirements, shall create a vested right to continue the discharge. All discharges of waste into waters of the state are privileges, not rights. (Water Code § 13263(g).)
- L. All amendments of THPs approved by CAL FIRE shall conform to the requirements and conditions set forth for the originally permitted Project. Failure to do so is a violation of the WDRs and subjects the Discharger to enforcement action and/or termination of permit coverage for the project.
- M. Prior to implementing any change to the project or activity that may have a significant or material effect on the findings, conclusions, or conditions of this Order, HRC shall obtain the written approval of the Regional Water Board Executive Officer.
- N. The Regional Water Board may add to or modify the conditions of this Order, with notice and as appropriate, in response to monitoring results or to implement any new or revised water quality standards and implementation plans adopted

and approved pursuant to the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act or the Clean Water Act. Pursuant to Water Code section 13267, the Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachment 1) may be revised by the Executive Officer without reopening other conditions of this Order.

- O. Any person aggrieved by this action of the Regional Water Board may petition the State Water Board to review the action in accordance with Water Code section 13320 and California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 2050 and following. The State Water Board must receive the petition by 5:00 p.m., 30 days after the date of this Order, except that if the thirtieth day following the date that this Order becomes final falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or state holiday (including mandatory furlough days), the petition must be received by the State Water Board by 5:00 p.m. on the next business day. Copies of the law and regulations applicable to filing petitions may be found on the Internet at: [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public\\_notices/petitions/water\\_quality](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/public_notices/petitions/water_quality) or will be provided upon request.
- P. Discharges of waste not specifically regulated under this Order are prohibited except when in compliance with the Water Code and/or other applicable Orders issued by the State or Regional Water Board.
- Q. This Order does not apply to waste discharges that require a separate permit from the State or Regional Water Board or other agencies, such as activities that require a Clean Water Act section 404/401 permit, a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit, or a construction stormwater permit.
- R. These WDRs may be modified, revoked and reissued, or terminated if the Executive Officer makes any of the following determinations:
  - 1. HRC is conducting activities that do not comply with any condition or provision of this Order;
  - 2. HRC is conducting activities that are reasonably likely to result, or has resulted in a violation or exceedance of any applicable water quality requirement;
  - 3. HRC is conducting activities that vary from the provisions of this Order such that those activities could adversely affect water quality.
- S. In the event of any violation or threatened violation of the conditions of this Order, the violation or threatened violation shall be subject to any remedies, penalties, process or sanctions as provided for under applicable state law.
- T. Should it be determined by HRC or the Regional Water Board that an unauthorized discharge of waste is causing or contributing to a violation or an exceedance of an applicable water quality requirement or a violation of a WDR prohibition (below), HRC shall:
  - 1. Implement corrective measures immediately following discovery that applicable water quality requirements were exceeded, or a prohibition

violated, followed by notification to the Regional Water Board by telephone or email as soon as possible, but no later than 48 hours after the discharge has been discovered. This notification shall be followed by a report within 14 days to the Regional Water Board, unless otherwise directed by the Executive Officer, that includes:

- a. The date the violation was discovered;
  - b. The name and title of the person(s) discovering the violation;
  - c. A map showing the location of the violation site;
  - d. A description of recent weather conditions prior to discovering the violation;
  - e. The nature and cause of the water quality requirement violation or exceedance or WDR prohibition violation;
  - f. Photos of the site documenting the violation;
  - g. A description of the management measure(s) currently being implemented to address the violation;
  - h. Any necessary maintenance or repair of management measures;
  - i. Any additional management measures which will be implemented to prevent or reduce discharges that are causing or contributing to the violation or exceedance of applicable water quality requirements or WDR prohibition violation;
  - j. An implementation schedule for corrective actions; and,
  - k. The signature and title of the person preparing the report.
2. HRC shall revise the appropriate technical report (i.e., ECP, Inventory, or other required information as applicable) immediately after the report to the Regional Water Board to incorporate the additional management measures that have been and will be implemented, the implementation schedule, and any additional inspections or monitoring that is needed.

#### U. Emergency Maintenance

If there is an imminent threat to life, property, or public safety, or a potential for sediment discharge with catastrophic environmental consequences, HRC will notify Regional Water Board staff of the emergency and the planned or implemented action within 48 hours. HRC shall meet with the Regional Water Board Executive Officer within six months of a major fire impacting the Stitz Creek watershed. The purpose of the meeting shall be to discuss any actions that have been completed or are planned to prevent/minimize/mitigate fire impacts, as well as any changes to harvest planning and road maintenance that may result from fire impacts.

### III. DISCHARGE PROHIBITIONS

- A. The discharge of soil, silt, bark, slash, sawdust, or other organic and earthen material from any logging, construction, or associated activity of whatever nature into any stream or watercourse in the basin in quantities deleterious to fish, wildlife, or other beneficial uses is prohibited.
- B. The placing or disposal of soil, silt, bark, slash, sawdust, or other organic and earthen material from any logging, construction, or associated activity of whatever nature at locations where such material could pass into any stream or watercourse in the basin in quantities which could be deleterious to fish, wildlife, or other beneficial uses is prohibited.
- C. Discharges of waste, which are not otherwise authorized by waste discharge requirements, or other Order issued by this Regional Water Board or the State Water Resources Control Board, to waters of the state in violation of Basin Plan standards, are prohibited.
- D. Discharges must not cause or threaten to cause pollution, contamination, or nuisance.
- E. Discharges must not adversely impact human health or the environment or the beneficial uses of water set out in the Basin Plan.

Certification:

I, Matthias St. John, Executive Officer do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, North Coast Region, on April 16, 2020.

---

Matthias St. John  
Executive Officer

Attachment 1: Monitoring and Reporting Program

20-0007\_StitzCreek\_WDR