

ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE & MALLORY LLP  
ROBERT D. WYATT (SBN 73240)  
JAMES L. MEEDER (SBN 62114)  
HENRY LERNER (SBN 077166)  
Three Embarcadero Center, 12th Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 837-1515  
Facsimile: (415) 837-1516

Attorneys for Petitioner  
EMHART INDUSTRIES, INC.

## CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

### SANTA ANA REGION

In the Matter of Cleanup and Abatement Order  
No. R8-2005-0053

### PETITION FOR REVIEW

Emhart Industries, Inc. ("EII" or "Petitioner") hereby files this petition for review and request for a hearing by the State Water Resources Control Board ("State Board") of that certain Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R8-2005-0053 ("Order") issued by the Executive Officer of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region, ("Regional Board") on February 28, 2005, as served on EII on March 2, 2005. This petition for review is filed pursuant to the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, Water Code § 13320 and 23 CCR §§ 2050 et. seq. A copy of the Order and letter of transmittal are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Petitioner does not seek a stay of the Order at this time for the reason that the Order does not require Petitioner to perform any action at this time. Petitioner reserves its right to seek a stay of the Order by the State Board in the event the Executive Officer or the Regional Board order Petitioner to perform some specific action in the future.

Petitioner requests that State Board action on this petition be HELD IN ABEYANCE pending further action by the Regional Board. The Order, which requires no action by Petitioner, notices a hearing for August 18 and 19, 2005, before the Regional Board. Further, this date, Petitioner has requested a formal adjudicatory hearing before the Regional Board on the factual and legal assertions set forth in the Order. (Exhibit B.)

I. Name and Address of Petitioner

Petitioner Emhart Industries, Inc., a Connecticut corporation, filed for dissolution on February 28, 2002, and is in the process of winding up its affairs. Petitioner can be contacted through its counsel of record.

II. The Regional Board Action for Which This Petition For Review is Sought

The Regional Board action for which this petition is filed is the issuance of a document labeled "Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R8-2005-0053" dated February 28, 2005 and served on Petitioner on March 2, 2005 by the Executive Officer.

III. The Date the Regional Board Acted.

The date of the Regional Board Executive Officer's issuance of the Order is February 28, 2005.

IV. Statement of the Reasons the Action is Inappropriate and Improper.

A. The Order is barred by the doctrine of collateral estoppel and res judicata by virtue of Regional Board Resolution No. R8-2003-0070 dated May 16, 2003 in the matter of Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R8-2002-0051.

B. The Executive Officer failed to comply with the claims requirement of the Connecticut Business Corporations Code Act § 33-887.

C. The "findings of fact" set forth the February 28, 2005 Order are contrary to the evidence based upon a preponderance of the evidence in the record.

D. Emhart Industries, Inc. is not a "corporate successor" to the alleged liabilities of West Coast Loading Corporation and/or of Kwikset Locks Inc.

E. Water Code § 13304 may not be applied retroactively to parties which ceased to exist prior to the statute's enactment.

F. The Order is arbitrary and capricious in that it seeks to impose upon a single entity cleanup and abatement and alternative water supply obligations for actions or failures to act by multiple parties as evidenced by the Regional Board's administrative record.

G. Petitioner does not now have nor has it ever had a possessory interest in the property which is the subject of the Order and thus has no right, power or duty to conduct the actions contemplated but not yet required by the Order.

H. The Order is not a § 13304 action at all in that on its face it requires no action be taken by Petitioner.

J. Further proceedings under the Order are subject to a plea of abatement and stay in that the gravamen of the Order, i.e., (a) whether West Coast Loading Corporation ("WCLC") discharged potassium perchlorate to waters of the state, and, if so, (b) whether Petitioner is a "successor" to WCLC for such alleged liability are presently the subject of litigation, including consolidated cases pending in the U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Eastern Division, in Riverside, California. (*City of Rialto v. U. S. Department of Defense, et al.*, Case No. 04cv00079.)

K. On February 10, 2005, Petitioner provided Regional Board staff a Field Investigation Report prepared by ENVIRON International at the request of USEPA and in consultation with Regional Board staff which concludes the former WCLC facility is not a source of potassium perchlorate contamination in the Rialto/Colton groundwater basin.

L. The Regional Board has not conducted an evidentiary hearing on the allegations set forth in the Order

V. Petitioner is Aggrieved.

Petitioner is aggrieved for the reasons set forth in paragraphs III and IV above.

VI. Petitioner's Requested Action by the State Board.

Petitioner respectfully requests that the State Board provide an evidentiary hearing on the Order pursuant to the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, Water Code § 13320, 23 CCR § 648 et seq. and Government Code § 11400 et. seq., after full opportunity for discovery, and rescind the Order. This petition for review and request for hearing may be held in abeyance by the State Board pending further proceedings and actions, if any, by the Executive Officer or the Regional Board.

VII. Statement of Points and Authorities.

Petitioner will provide a detailed statement of points and authorities in the event the Executive Officer or the Regional Board take further action which necessitate Petitioner requesting the State Board to convert this petition to active status.

VIII. List of Interested Persons.

A list of "interested persons" is attached to the Order attached here to as Exhibit A.

IX. Statement of Transmittal of Petition to the Regional Board.

A copy of this petition has been transmitted to the Executive Officer of the Regional Board on March 30, 2005.


X. Request to Regional Board for Preparation of the Administrative Record.

By copy of this petition to the Executive Officer of the Regional Board, Petitioner hereby requests the preparation of the administrative record herein.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 30, 2005

by:



Robert D. Wyatt  
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory  
Attorneys for Petitioner Emhart Industries

cc: Gerard J. Thibeault, Executive Officer, RWQCB, Region 8  
Jorge A. Leon, Esq., OCC, SWRCB



# California Regional Water Quality Control Board

## Santa Ana Region



Alan C. Lloyd, Ph.D.  
Agency Secretary

3737 Main Street, Suite 500, Riverside, California 92501-3348  
Phone (951) 782-4130 - FAX (951) 781-6288  
<http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana>

Arnold Schwarzenegger  
Governor

February 28, 2005

Mr. Robert D. Wyatt  
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble & Mallory LLP  
Three Embarcadero Center, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-4074

Ms. Linda H. Biagioni  
Vice President, Emhart Industries, Inc.  
Vice President for Environmental Affairs, Black & Decker Corporation  
701 East Joppa Road  
Towson, MD 21286

CLEANUP AND ABATEMENT ORDER NO. R8-2005-0053

Dear Mr. Wyatt and Ms. Biagioni:

Enclosed is Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R8-2005-0053 issued to Emhart Industries, Inc. and Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc. The Order is issued under the Executive Officer's delegated authority and is in effect upon issuance. The Order is being issued at this time in order to preserve the Regional Board's claim against Emhart Industries, Inc., which filed for dissolution and caused notice to be published on March 12, 2002. Under Connecticut statutes, in order to remain valid, a proceeding to enforce the claim must be initiated within three years of publication of the dissolution notice. That deadline is March 12, 2005. The issuance of this Order constitutes the commencement of the required action.

In the event that Emhart and Black & Decker desire to be heard on the Order, a hearing before the Regional Board or a Hearing Panel composed of members of the Board is scheduled for August 18 and 19, 2005. Note that the Order does not include specific deadlines at this point; rather, the first deadline will be set by future action of the Board. A detailed hearing notice will be issued at a later date. The currently scheduled hearing commencement date is intended to allow the parties time to complete currently scheduled depositions of witnesses familiar with West Coast Loading Corporation activities and documents and time to notice and hold any further depositions that may be conducted relative to this proceeding. The hearing commencement date is subject to change.

*California Environmental Protection Agency*




EXHIBIT A

February 28, 2005

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Kurt Berchtold, Assistant Executive Officer, at (951) 782-3286 or Jorge Leon, Senior Staff Counsel, at (916) 341-5180.

Sincerely,



Gerard J. Thibeault  
Executive Officer

Enclosure: Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R8-2005-0053

cc (w/ enclosure):

Regional Board

Jorge Leon, SWRCB - OCC

Phil Wyels, SWRCB - OCC

Marilyn Levin, Deputy Attorney General

Gary Tavetian, Deputy Attorney General

Inland Empire Perchlorate Regulatory Task Force Members (mailing list attached)



California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Santa Ana Region

Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R8-2005-0053

for  
Emhart Industries, Inc.  
and  
Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc.

Corporate Successors of the  
West Coast Loading Corporation

Formerly Located on the 160-Acre Property Bounded Approximately by  
Casa Grande Park Avenue on the North, Locust Avenue on the East,  
the Extension of Alder Avenue on the West, and  
the Extension of Summit Avenue on the South,  
City of Rialto, San Bernardino County

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region  
(hereinafter Regional Board), finds that:

1. In February 1951, Kwikset Locks, Inc. (KLI), a manufacturer of household door locks, formed the West Coast Loading Corporation (WCLC).
2. During 1951 and 1952, WCLC (as a subsidiary of KLI) constructed a manufacturing plant on 160 acres of property, consisting of the southwest quarter of Section 21, Township 1 North, Range 5 West, San Bernardino Base and Meridian in the City of Rialto, County of San Bernardino, State of California. From 1951 to 1957, WCLC (as a subsidiary of KLI) wholly owned and occupied this property.
3. During the period from 1952 to 1957, WCLC used the 160-acre property for the manufacture of explosive cartridges, photoflash cartridges, flares, ground burst simulators, and other incendiary devices. WCLC manufactured many of these products under subcontract to KLI for use by the military, under KLI's contract with the U.S. Government. WCLC also processed chemicals at the Rialto site for use by other government contractors in the manufacture of solid rocket propellant. WCLC also processed chemicals for the manufacture of flares and other products containing perchlorate for non-defense purposes.
4. From 1952 (or earlier) to 1957, various chemicals were delivered, stored, and used for WCLC's manufacturing activities at the 160-acre site. The

chemicals that were used, stored, and processed at WCLC during their occupancy of the site included ammonium perchlorate, potassium perchlorate, potassium chlorate, aluminum, iron oxide, and various compounds of nitrate, lead, and barium.

5. WCLC's records indicate that very large amounts of perchlorate salts were handled at the facility. For example, a purchase order dated September 2, 1955; and delivery confirmations show that 47,000 pounds of potassium perchlorate were purchased from Western Electrochemical Co., Henderson, Nevada, and delivered to Rialto for use by WCLC.
6. As a further example, invoices and purchase orders, dated March 8, 1957, indicate that Grand Central Rocket Company received 43,250 pounds of ammonium perchlorate from WCLC after WCLC processed (i.e., dried) the ammonium perchlorate to a moisture content of 0.03% or less. The purchase orders state that Grand Central Rocket Company had supplied the material to WCLC. These business records for the work done under contract with Grand Central Rocket Company demonstrate that the handling, drying, and storage of very large amounts of perchlorate salts occurred at the WCLC site. The stringent requirements for low moisture are specific to the requirements for use of ammonium perchlorate as an oxidizer in the manufacture of solid propellant materials. Grand Central Rocket Company was in the business of manufacturing solid rocket propellant for use in military weapons systems during 1957, concurrent with the date of the purchase orders and the WCLC invoices for the 43,250 pounds of ammonium perchlorate.
7. WCLC's records included "standard operating procedures" (SOPs) for processing potassium perchlorate for use in WCLC products. WCLC's SOPs for the drying of potassium perchlorate state that potassium perchlorate powder was moved from barrels to uncovered trays, and then screened to remove lumps. The open trays were then moved to an oven in a different building using a hand-truck. Sacks were then filled with potassium perchlorate and stored indoors after drying was complete.
8. WCLC documents and testimony from former WCLC employees establish a multi-step process for the manufacture of photoflash cartridges, including drying, screening, a second round of drying, weighing, mixing, and loading. Each of these steps involved the handling, processing and/or movement of potassium perchlorate in order to mix photoflash powder. The drying, screening, weighing, mixing, and loading all took place in different rooms. WCLC documents further reveal that approximately 4%, by weight, of the perchlorate used to make photoflash cartridges was expected to be lost during the manufacturing process. WCLC documents



show that WCLC used about 50,000 pounds of perchlorate for the manufacture of photoflash cartridges during the period from 1952 to 1957.

9. It is reasonable to conclude that some spillage would have occurred during the handling, drying, screening, weighing, mixing, loading, transporting, and storage of ammonium perchlorate and potassium perchlorate at WCLC. Also, given the very fine nature of the dried, screened perchlorate powder, it is reasonable to conclude that the process of transporting perchlorate from room to room and the physical movement of the perchlorate powder during the drying, screening, weighing, mixing, and loading processes would result in the mobilization of perchlorate powder into the air, and subsequent deposition onto floors, walls, ceilings, and other surfaces.
10. This conclusion is supported by numerous pages throughout the SOPs and the "standard non-operating procedures" for chemical handling at the WCLC facility, which include requirements for sweeping up spilled powder, wiping spillage with wet rags, and wet-mopping of spills and powder deposited on various surfaces during processing. These written procedures include specific instructions for cleaning up spills of chemicals from tabletops, floors and sink areas, and disposing of soiled rags, towels, filters and cups into "slop crocks" that were stored in the WCLC work rooms and magazines ("igloos" or "bunkers"). The site janitor's job included sweeping the buildings, burning of scrap and explosive materials, and disposal of trash and metal cans at WCLC's on-site dump.
11. It is reasonable to conclude that the extensive written procedures were developed because spillage and surface accumulation of chemical products, including perchlorate salts, was expected to occur, and routinely did occur, during processing of those products at the WCLC facility. Testimony and WCLC documents reveal that the spillage and/or accumulation of perchlorate salts on equipment, walls, floors, and ceilings led to at least one significant explosion. Testimony of former employees of WCLC that was provided during depositions that were conducted beginning in 2004, verifies that, in the buildings that were used by WCLC for weighing, screening, drying, mixing and loading perchlorate salts, the equipment, floors, walls, and ceilings were washed with rags and water-wet mops to remove chemical dust at least 4 times per shift, as specified in the SOPs.
12. Testimony of former WCLC employees also indicates that the mops used for cleaning the chemical residue were rinsed with water in buckets, and the contents of the buckets were dumped onto the bare ground outside of the buildings. Based on the use of perchlorate salts in these buildings, the

water that was routinely dumped on the ground would have contained perchlorate. Further testimony from WCLC employees indicates that the metal trays that were used by WCLC employees for the screening and drying of perchlorate were taken outdoors to be cleaned. The residual perchlorate salts that remained on the trays were rinsed from the trays onto the bare ground, using a faucet and water hose.

13. It is also reasonable to conclude, and former WCLC employees have testified, that during the period from 1952 to 1957, WCLC stored and disposed of chemical-soiled rags, cans, and other wastes at the site, as directed by WCLC's written procedures. This conclusion is based upon WCLC's records and the testimony of former WCLC employees, as well as staff's collective knowledge and experience in the oversight of investigation and cleanup activities at numerous industrial sites throughout the Santa Ana Region where chemicals, including perchlorate salts, were used during the 1950s and 1960s. Standard industrial practices at such facilities in the 1950s and 1960s typically resulted in some spillage and on-site disposal of chemical products. Testimony from former WCLC employees indicates that WCLC operated an on-site laundry, used for the washing of the soiled rags. Since the 160-acre site was not sewered, any disposal of chemicals to sinks, drains, and floor drains would have entered on-site septic systems and gone to groundwater. The laundry drain apparently discharged directly onto the bare ground.
14. According to WCLC's "Safety Regulations for Handling Azides, Styphnates, and Similar Explosives," (dated January 3, 1954 and approved by WCLC's Executive Vice-President and General Manager, Gerald D. Linke), the used sponges and cleaning rags, cleaning water and other waste liquids generated from operations, including mixing photoflash powder containing perchlorate, were to be "taken to the disposal pit south of the plant site and drained into the ground."
15. In addition to the explosives and incendiary devices that were manufactured and the large amounts of perchlorate salts that were stored and handled at the site, WCLC owned "igloos" on adjacent land located southwest of the 160-acre property. WCLC leased space in the igloos to other parties, and also reserved space in the igloos for shared use by WCLC, expressly for the storage of explosives. Many explosives are known to contain perchlorate salts, so it is reasonable to conclude that perchlorate salts were stored in the igloos by WCLC.
16. The following findings explain the corporate history of WCLC, and specifically describe the direct successorship from WCLC to Emhart Industries, Inc., and Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc.:

- a. On July 1, 1957, American Hardware Corporation (AHC), a Connecticut corporation, acquired KLI and its subsidiaries, including WCLC. While numerous documents regarding corporate transfers and mergers involving these entities have been uncovered during the investigation of this matter, a June 1957 agreement between AHC and KLI has not been produced by Emhart Industries, Inc. That document may shed additional light on the precise nature of the acquisition of KLI by AHC. However, numerous other contemporaneous documents have been uncovered and assist in understanding the legal effect of the transaction.
- b. On July 3, 1957, WCLC was merged with KLI. According to a July 1, 1957 KLI Board of Directors resolution, quoted in KLI's Certificate of Ownership filed with the State of California, KLI assumed "all the liabilities and obligations" of WCLC, and "shall be liable therefor in the same manner as if it had itself incurred such liabilities and obligations." KLI remained under the control of AHC.
- c. On July 19, 1957, KLI sold the 160-acre Rialto property to B.F. Goodrich. KLI ceased its manufacturing activities in Rialto, but continued operating as a "division" of AHC, doing business in Anaheim, California, producing Kwikset's well-known product line of household door locks.
- d. On June 30, 1958, KLI was dissolved. AHC assumed the liabilities of KLI and WCLC, and continued producing the Kwikset product line at the former KLI Anaheim facility.
- e. IRS Form 7004, "Application for Automatic Extension of Time," was submitted to the IRS on behalf of KLI by C. K. Nelson, Assistant Treasurer, on September 15, 1958. This document contains KLI's stated reason for the requested extension: "The corporation was merged with another corporation as of June 30, 1958." (emphasis added).
- f. IRS Form 843, "Claim," dated November 28, 1961, was submitted on behalf of "KLI, Transferor" and "American Hardware Corporation, Transferor." In Schedule A, the following statement is contained in the second paragraph:

"Kwikset Locks, Incorporated was substantially a wholly-owned subsidiary of American Hardware Corporation as of January 1, 1958. On June 30, 1958, Kwikset Locks, Inc. was dissolved. All the

**assets and liabilities were transferred to the parent corporation,**  
and operations were continued as Kwikset Division of the American  
Hardware Corporation." (emphasis added).

- g. AHC merged with Emhart Manufacturing Company, a Delaware Corporation, in April 1964. The surviving corporation in the merger was AHC, under a new corporate name, "Emhart Corporation," as of June 30, 1964.
  - h. Emhart Corporation became Emhart Industries, Inc., on May 4, 1976.
  - i. Kwikset Corporation was incorporated in California in 1985 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Emhart Industries, Inc., and was capitalized using the net assets of the Kwikset Division of Emhart Industries, Inc.
  - j. Emhart Industries, Inc., was acquired by Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc., a subsidiary of the Black & Decker Corporation, in 1989.
  - k. Emhart Industries, Inc., is in the process of winding up its business and affairs, having filed a Certificate of Dissolution in the State of Connecticut in 2002.
  - l. AHC's purchase of KLI was more than a mere stock purchase and assumption of known liabilities only, as Emhart has claimed. It constitutes a complete merger. The documents noted above in e. and f., contemporaneously prepared at or around the time of the 1957 AHC acquisition, demonstrate that KLI and AHC understood and believed the 1957 purchase of KLI to be a "merger," with the result that AHC assumed all of KLI's liabilities both known and unknown. In addition, a Kwikset Corporation publication, entitled "Kwikset A Black & Decker Company Employee Handbook," contains the following quotation:  
  
"In 1957, Kwikset Locks, Inc. merged with the American Hardware Corporation of New Britain, Connecticut and subsequently became known as the Kwikset Division." Moreover, the Black & Decker website, as it appeared in 2002, indicated under "Company History" that KLI was merged into AHC. Notably, during the investigation of this matter in 2002, and shortly after this fact was pointed out to Kwikset's and Emhart's representatives, the website was changed to remove this statement.
16. Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc., by virtue of its status as parent corporation of Emhart and having received the stock of Emhart upon dissolution, is a legal successor to Emhart's and WCLC's liabilities under this order.

17. Emhart Industries, Inc., and Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc., are the corporate successors of WCLC and KLI, and are legally liable for discharges of pollutants caused by WCLC and KLI. WCLC and KLI, and their legal successors, have caused or permitted, or are causing or permitting, waste, i.e., perchlorate, to be discharged to waters of the state, and have created, or threaten to create, a condition of pollution or nuisance.
18. Perchlorate salts are highly soluble and dissociate in water to form perchlorate ions. There are currently no state or federal drinking water standards for perchlorate. However, the California Department of Health Services (DHS) has established a drinking water Action Level (AL) for perchlorate of 6 parts per billion (ppb). An AL is a temporary safe drinking water level that is based on limited studies that have been performed. Perchlorate is currently present in the Rialto, Riverside - B, and Chino North Groundwater Management Zones. The West Valley Water District, the Fontana Water Company, and the Cities of Rialto and Colton had limited or ceased the use of 20 municipal water supply wells that exceeded the AL for perchlorate (several of these wells have been put back into operation after having perchlorate treatment systems installed).
19. Municipal water supply wells in the Rialto, Riverside - B, and Chino North Groundwater Management Zones have been, or are likely to be, affected by the perchlorate pollution in these basins. Regional Board staff is currently attempting to identify all parties that may have discharged perchlorate in this area.
20. The beneficial uses of the Rialto, Riverside - B, and Chino North Groundwater Management Zones include:
  - A. Municipal and domestic supply,
  - B. Agricultural supply,
  - C. Industrial service supply, and
  - D. Industrial process supply.
21. California Water Code Section 13304 allows the Regional Board to recover reasonable expenses from responsible parties for overseeing cleanup and abatement activities. It is the Regional Board's intent to recover such costs for regulatory oversight work conducted in accordance with this order.
22. This enforcement action is being taken by a regulatory agency to enforce a water quality law. Such action is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code, Section

21000, et seq.) in accordance with Section 15321, Article 19, Division 3, Title 14, California Code of Regulations.

23. A soil and groundwater investigation is necessary to define the vertical and lateral extent of the perchlorate that is discharging, has been discharged, or threatens to be discharged, from the former WCLC facility and from the former WCLC igloos (bunkers).
24. It is appropriate to order Emhart Industries, Inc., and Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc., to clean up and abate the effects of the discharge of perchlorate from property that was formerly owned and controlled by their corporate predecessors, WCLC and KLI.
25. The former 160-acre WCLC property now consists of numerous separate parcels, with multiple landowners. Since 1964, continuing through the present, various tenants involved in pyrotechnics have occupied portions of the site.
26. Orders have been issued to former tenants or former owners of the 160-acre parcel and the adjacent property where WCLC's igloos (bunkers) were located. Additional orders may be issued, if Regional Board staff obtains additional information indicating that other specific tenants or owners have also discharged perchlorate that is present in the groundwater.


IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, pursuant to Section 13304, Article 1, Chapter 5, Division 7, of the California Water Code, Emhart Industries, Inc., and Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc., shall cleanup and abate the effects of the discharges at the Rialto properties as follows:

1. Prepare and submit a work plan and time schedule to define the lateral and vertical extent of the perchlorate that is discharging, has been discharged, or threatens to be discharged, from the former WCLC facility and from the former WCLC igloos (bunkers). The work plan, subject to the approval of the Executive Officer, shall be implemented in accordance with a time schedule approved by the Executive Officer. The due date for this work plan and time schedule will be established by future action of the Regional Board.
2. Prepare and implement any additional work plans that the Executive Officer deems necessary to sufficiently characterize the lateral and vertical extent of perchlorate that is discharging, has been discharged, or threatens to be discharged, from the former WCLC facility and from the

former WCLC igloos (bunkers). The work plans, subject to the approval of the Executive Officer, shall be implemented in accordance with time schedules approved by the Executive Officer.

3. After the Executive Officer determines that the lateral and vertical extent of perchlorate that is discharging, has been discharged, or threatens to be discharged from the former WCLC facility and bunkers has been sufficiently defined, submit a detailed remedial action plan, including an implementation schedule, to cleanup or abate the effects of the perchlorate that is discharging, has been discharged, or threatens to be discharged, from the former WCLC facility and bunkers. The remedial action plan shall provide for replacement water service, which may include wellhead treatment, for any water supply wells determined by the Executive Officer, based on investigations conducted pursuant to Items 1 and 2, above, to be affected by the discharges. The remedial action plan and implementation schedule shall be submitted within 60 days of the Executive Officer's notification to Emhart Industries, Inc., and Black & Decker (U.S.), Inc., that the definition of the extent of perchlorate is sufficiently complete to initiate cleanup or abatement activities. The remedial action plan and schedule shall be subject to approval by the Executive Officer.
4. Implement the remedial action plan in 3. , above, as approved by the Executive Officer.

This Order is issued under the Executive Officer's delegated authority to issue a Cleanup and Abatement Order.

  
Gerard J. Thibeault  
Executive Officer

February 28, 2005

Allen Matkins

Three Embarcadero Center 12th Floor San Francisco California 94111-4074  
telephone. 415 837 1515 facsimile. 415 837 1516 www.allenmatkins.com

writer. Robert D. Wyatt t. 415 273 7420  
file number. E2602-005/SF639474.01 e. rwyatt@allenmatkins.com

March 30, 2005

**VIA FACSIMILE AND**  
**FIRST CLASS MAIL**

Gerard J. Thibeault  
Executive Officer  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Santa Ana Region  
3737 Main St., Suite 500  
Riverside, CA 92501-3339


Re: Cleanup and Abatement Order No. R8-2005-0053  
Request for Evidentiary Hearing by Respondent Emhart Industries, Inc.

Dear Mr. Thibeault:

On behalf of our client, Emhart Industries, Inc. ("EII"), respondent in the above-referenced matter, we hereby request an evidentiary hearing on the above-referenced order pursuant to the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, 23 CCR §§ 648 et. seq., and California Government Code §§ 11400 et seq.

Your letter to the undersigned dated February 28, 2005, transmitting the referenced Order, sets a hearing before the Regional Board for August 18 and 19, 2005. Because the appeal taken in *Kwikset Corporation v. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Santa Ana Region*, is now pending before the Court of Appeals, we request that all further action on the above-referenced CAO be suspended until the appellate decision is announced given that said decision may have a dispositive effect on the Order issued to EII. Such a procedure may allow both Emhart and the Regional Board to conserve litigation resources.

Very truly yours,

  
Robert D. Wyatt

RDW:w1

cc: Jorge A. Leon, Esq.