

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

23

24

25

26

27

28

17

| KAMALA D. HARRIS |
|-------------------------------------|
| Attorney General of California |
| ROBERT W. BYRNE |
| Senior Assistant Attorney General |
| GAVIN G. MCCABE |
| Supervising Deputy Attorney General |
| JONATHAN A. WIENER |
| Deputy Attorney General |
| State Bar No. 265006 |
| 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 |
| San Francisco, CA 94102 |
| Telephone: (415) 703-5969 |
| E-mail: Jonathan. Wiener@doj.ca.gov |
| |

Exempt from fees pursuant to Government Code section 61031

ENDORSED FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY APR 1 3 2015

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By MICHELLE BANKS

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

| PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| CALIFORNIA, ex rel. STATE WATEI | R |
| RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD; | |

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE;

Plaintiffs.

THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AND DOES 1-20 INCLUSIVE,

Defendants.

Case No. RG15765621

STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL CONSENT JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION

(Health & Saf. Code, Div. 20, Chapter 6.7; Government Code, Title 2 Div. 1, Chapter 7.4)

This Stipulation for Entry of Final Consent Judgment and Injunction ("Stipulation") is entered into by Plaintiffs, the People of the State of California, ex rel. State Water Resources Control Board ("State Water Board") and People of the State of California, ex rel. Department of Fish and Wildlife ("CDFW") (Collectively "Plaintiffs") and Defendant The Regents of the

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

27

28

the Settling Defendant shall be referred to herein collectively as the "Parties," and individually as "Party."

The Parties have agreed to settle the above-captioned matter without further litigation, as set forth below.

INTRODUCTION

Concurrent with the filing of this Stipulation, the Plaintiffs are filing a Complaint in this matter alleging that the Settling Defendant violated various laws and regulations governing the operation and maintenance of underground storage tanks (USTs) and UST systems located at Settling Defendant's campus at Berkeley. In addition, the Complaint alleges the following: (1) on or about December 10, 2011, a 200 gallon fuel tank located in the generator room of Stanley Hall at the University of California, Berkeley, discharged approximately 1650 gallons of diesel fuel when a fuel transfer pump failed to shut off; (2) the fuel flowed into a sump room located in the basement of Stanley Hall and approximately 850 gallons of the fuel entered a storm drain and was then discharged into Strawberry Creek, located in the City of Berkeley, in Alameda County, California where it travelled downstream to the creek mouth and entered San Francisco Bay (the "Stanley Hall Incident"); (3) fuel from the Stanley Hall Incident and the resulting cleanup actions caused injuries to natural resources, including injuries to the creek channel, riparian habitat, and mudflat/shoreline habitats; and (4) the Stanley Hall Incident and resultant harms were directly and proximately caused by the acts, omissions, strict liability, fault, negligence, breach, and violations by Settling Defendant and/or their agents, representatives, contractors, design professionals and/or suppliers in regard to the UST violations and applicable California laws and regulations. Settling Defendant denies that the Stanley Hall Incident caused injuries to natural resources or the creek channel, riparian habitat, and mudflat/shoreline habitats, and further denies that the Stanley Hall Incident resulted from its acts, omissions, fault, negligence, breach or violations of applicable California laws and regulations.

In these negotiations, both the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendant were represented by counsel.

STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT

The Parties have now agreed to settle this matter in order to avoid prolonged and complicated litigation, and after opportunity for review by counsel, hereby stipulate and consent to the entry by the Court of a Final Consent Judgment and Injunction ("Final Judgment"), attached hereto as Exhibit A, on the terms set forth below.

1. **DEFINITIONS**

- 1.1. Except where otherwise expressly defined herein, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Chapters 6.7 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code and Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 16 of the California Code of Regulations ("the UST Regulations") and Chapter 7.4 of Title 2, Division 1 of the Government Code ("the Lempert-Keene Act").
- 1.2. "Certified Unified Program Agency" or "CUPA" is an agency certified by the Secretary of the California Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 6.11 of the Health and Safety Code and California Code of Regulations, Title 27 to implement certain State environmental programs within a jurisdiction. As used in this Stipulation and in the Final judgment, "CUPA" includes any Participating Agency (as defined at Health and Safety Code section 25501(h)(2)) or Unified Program Agency (as defined at Health and Safety Code section 25501(h)(3)).
- 1.3. "Released USTs" shall mean the seven (7) USTs owned and operated by the Settling Defendant and located on the Settling Defendant's campus in Berkeley, California. More specifically, the USTs are located at:
 - a. Doe Library;
 - b. Life Sciences Building (Emergency Containment Tank #1);
 - c. Life Sciences Building (Emergency Containment Tank #4);
 - d. Hazardous Material Facility (Emergency Containment Tank #7);
 - e. Koshland Hall;
 - f. Life Sciences Building; and
 - g. Stanley Hall.
 - 1.4. "Covered USTs" shall mean all USTs that are owned and/or operated by the

Settling Defendant and located on the Settling Defendant's campus in Berkeley, California as of the date of entry of the Final Judgment and any USTs that are installed at the Settling Defendant's campus in Berkeley, California within the five-year period starting on the date of entry of the Final Judgment.

- 1.5. "Stanley Hall Incident" shall mean the occurrence described in the Introduction, including but not limited to the discharge of fuel into Strawberry Creek and San Francisco Bay.
 - 1.6. "Promptly" means as soon as reasonably practicable.
- 1.7. "Suspended Penalty Conduct" shall mean a violation of one or more of the provisions of Paragraph 6, below.

2. <u>JURISDICTION</u>

The Parties agree that the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Stipulation.

3. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

The Parties enter into this Stipulation pursuant to a compromise and settlement of disputed claims set forth in the Complaint. This Stipulation is not an admission by the Settling Defendant regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of law. The Settling Defendant waives its right to a hearing on any matter covered by the Complaint prior to the entry of the Final Judgment.

4. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENT AND OTHER PAYMENTS</u>

4.1. Upon entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant is liable for a total of FOUR HUNDRED FORTY NINE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$449,000) in civil penalties, investigative and enforcement costs, and restoration and oversight costs, to be paid and/or suspended, as set forth in paragraphs 4.2 through 4.5, below.

4.2. Cash Civil Penalties:

4.2.a. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shall pay a total of TWO HUNDRED TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$225,000) in civil penalties under Chapter 6.7 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and the UST

| 1 | Regulations. This payment shall be made by check, payable to the State Water Board's "State |
|----------|--|
| 2 | Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account." The check shall be delivered to: |
| 3 | State Water Resources Control Board |
| 4 | Division of Administrative Services, Accounting Branch 1001 I Street, 18th Floor [95814] |
| 5 | P.O. Box 1888 Sacramento, CA 95812-1888 |
| 6 | A copy of the check shall be submitted to: |
| 7 8 | State Water Resources Control Board Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel |
| 9 | Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 |
| 10 11 | These funds may be used by the State Water Board, at its discretion, to fund activities associated |
| 12 | with the investigation and/or enforcement of UST requirements, including those codified at |
| 13 | Chapter 6.7 of the Health and Safety Code and the UST Regulations, and the investigation and/or |
| 14 | protection of the Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund. These activities may include, but are |
| 15 | not limited to, training State and local enforcement staff, hiring enforcement staff, expert witness |
| 16 | support, and criminal investigation development and support. |
| 17 | 4.2.b. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shal |
| 18 | pay a total of SEVENTY THOUSAND (\$70,000) in civil penalties under Government Code |
| 19 | section 8670.66(a)(3). This payment shall be made by check payable to the "State of California |
| 20 | Department of Fish and Wildlife." The check shall be delivered to: |
| 21 | State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife Office of Spill Prevention and Response |
| 22 | Attn: Katherine Verrue-Slater, Staff Counsel III 1700 "K" Street, Suite 250 |
| 23 | Sacramento, California 95811 |
| 24 | A copy of the check shall be submitted to: |
| 25 | State Water Resources Control Board Office of Enforcement |
| 26 | Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16 th Floor |
| 27 | Sacramento, CA 95814 |
| 28 | |

The check shall reference the U.C. Berkeley/Stanley Hall Diesel Spill.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

4.4. Natural Resource Damages:

4.4.a. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shall pay a total of THIRTY ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$31,500) to the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation to fund projects to restore or enhance riparian, mudflat, and shoreline habitats and lost recreation in Alameda County. Payment is to be made by check payable to the "National Fish and Wildlife Foundation." The check shall be delivered to:

> State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife Office of Spill Prevention and Response Attn: Katherine Verrue-Slater, Staff Counsel III 1700 "K" Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, California 95811

A copy of the check shall be submitted to:

State Water Resources Control Board Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

The check shall reference the U.C. Berkeley/Stanley Hall Diesel Spill. The National Fish and Wildlife Foundation shall deposit the funds into the UC Berkeley/Stanley Hall Subaccount of the Environmental Fund for Habitat and Incident Specific Restoration Projects. The money shall be used to fund projects to restore or enhance riparian and shoreline habitat in and around Strawberry Creek and Brickyard Cove, marine environmental education and/or shoreline cleanup overseen by the Berkeley Marina Shorebird Center, as more fully described in the Natural Resource Damage Assessment Summary and Restoration Proposal for the U.C. Berkeley (Stanley Hall) Diesel Spill, dated August 20, 2014.

4.4.b. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shall pay a total of TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$2,500) for restoration oversight and administrative costs associated with the Stanley Hall Incident. Payment is to be made by

check payable to the "State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife." The check shall be delivered to: State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife 3 Office of Spill Prevention and Response Attn: Katherine Verrue-Slater, Staff Counsel III 1700 "K" Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, California 95811 5 A copy of the check shall be submitted to: 6 State Water Resources Control Board Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16th Floor 8 Sacramento, CA 95814 9 10 The check shall reference the U.C. Berkeley/Stanley Hall Diesel Spill. 11 4.5. Suspended Penalties: 12 4.5.a. Of the Settling Defendant's total liability for penalties payable to the State Water 13 Board of THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$300,000), SEVENTY FIVE 14 THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$75,000) shall be suspended on the condition that: (1) the Settling 15 Defendant complies with its payment obligations of cash civil penalties, investigative and 16 enforcement costs and restoration and oversight costs as set forth in Paragraphs 4.2 through 4.4 17 and (2) the Settling Defendant does not engage in any Suspended Penalty Conduct specified in 18 Paragraph 6 for a period of five (5) years, beginning immediately upon entry of the Final 19 Judgment. 20 4.5.b. If the State Water Board determines that the Settling Defendant has engaged in 21 Suspended Penalty Conduct, as set forth in Paragraph 6, below, the State Water Board may initiate a new enforcement action and seek appropriate relief as authorized by law, including but 22 not limited to, injunctive relief and civil penalties, and/or move the Court by noticed motion to 23 assess and collect suspended civil penalties as provided herein. The Settling Defendant shall 24 retain all of its rights to contest the State Water Board's claim that it has engaged in Suspended 25 Penalty Conduct, including the right to assert that the alleged violation was due to a Force 26 Majeure Event as described in Paragraph 22. 27

4.5.c. If the State Water Board elects to assess and collect suspended penalties as

28

provided herein and the Court finds that the Settling Defendant has engaged in Suspended Penalty Conduct, or failed to act when it had a duty to act on one or more occasions that constitute a violation of the provisions that are designated below as Suspended Penalty Conduct, the Court shall impose a civil penalty as follows: For each Suspended Penalty Conduct violation and for each thirty (30) calendar day period that a Suspended Penalty Conduct violation remains uncorrected, the Court shall impose a mandatory TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000) civil penalty payable to the "State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account." The State Water Board shall have the burden of proof for establishing that the alleged Suspended Penalty Conduct violation(s) has occurred, and the Settling Defendant shall have the burden of proof for establishing that the alleged Suspended Penalty Conduct violation(s) has been corrected in accordance with all applicable laws. A Suspended Penalty Conduct violation that is corrected in less than thirty (30) calendar days from the initial date of violation shall not be assessed a civil penalty under this paragraph. However, the State Water Board may still take enforcement action and seek any appropriate relief for such alleged Suspended Penalty Conduct as authorized by law, including, but not limited to, the assessment and collection of civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25299, and Settling Defendants retains all defenses to any enforcement action. If the Court finds that the Settling Defendant has engaged in Suspended Penalty Conduct and has failed to timely correct the violation(s) as set forth in this paragraph, the Parties agree that the Court shall have no discretion to reduce, increase, or otherwise modify the amount of suspended civil penalties to be assessed and awarded to the State Water Board pursuant to this Stipulation and the Final Judgment, and that the aggregate suspended penalties awarded by the Court shall not exceed the entire suspended penalty amount of SEVENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$75,000) specified herein. Payment of the suspended penalties awarded by the Court pursuant to this paragraph shall be due to the State Water Board forty-five (45) days from the Court's final order(s). This payment shall be made by check, payable to the State Water Board's "State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account." The check shall be delivered to:

> State Water Resources Control Board Division of Administrative Services, Accounting Branch 1001 I Street, 18th Floor [95814]

P.O. Box 1888 Sacramento, CA 95812-1888

A copy of the check shall be submitted to:

State Water Resources Control Board Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

If the Settling Defendant complies with its payment obligations set forth in Paragraphs 4.2 through 4.4 and does not engage in Suspended Penalty Conduct for a period of three (3) years, beginning with the entry of the Final Judgment, the suspension of penalties as herein provided shall become permanent and the Settling Defendant shall no longer be liable for such unpaid suspended penalties. However, if a motion to assess and collect suspended civil penalties as provided herein is still pending before the Court three (3) years after the entry of the Final Judgment, the suspension of penalties shall not become final until a final order has been issued on the pending motion and payment of civil penalties to the State Water Board has been made if required by such order.

- 4.5.d. The suspended penalties provided by Paragraph 4.5 are in addition to, and do not bar, any other remedies or sanctions that may be available for any violations of Chapter 6.7 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code and the UST Regulations.
- 4.6. <u>Late Payments:</u> The Settling Defendant shall be liable for a stipulated civil penalty of ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$1,000) for each day that a payment required pursuant to this Stipulation and the Final Judgment is late.

5. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code section 25299.01, but subject to the termination Paragraph 20 below, upon approval and entry of the Final Judgment by the Court, the Settling Defendant, with respect to the Covered USTs, is enjoined to comply with Chapter 6.7 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and the UST Regulations. Specifically, the Settling Defendant is enjoined to comply with the following requirements for each of the Covered USTs:

16

17

19

18

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

- 5.1. The Settling Defendant shall, at all times, operate the Covered USTs only after a valid operating permit has been issued by the CUPA, in accordance with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(1).
- 5.2. The UST monitoring equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance with the equipment manufacturer's instructions and certified every 12 months for operability, proper operating condition and proper calibration, as required by Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2638(a).
- 5.3 The UST monitoring system shall be capable of detecting an unauthorized release from any portion of the UST system at the earliest possible opportunity, as required by Health and Safety Code sections 25290.1(d), 25290.2(d), 25291(b) and 25292(a) and title 23, California Code of Regulations sections 2630(d) and 2641(a). The Settling Defendant shall properly install and place all leak-detecting sensors so that each is capable of detecting a leak at the earliest possible opportunity as required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, including but not limited to section 2630(d). The Settling Defendant shall promptly replace or repair any sensor that, for any reason, becomes incapable of detecting a leak at the earliest possible opportunity.
- 5.4. All corrodible underground piping; if in direct contact with backfill, shall be protected against corrosion, as required by Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2636(b).
- 5.5. USTs shall be equipped with a spill container that will collect any hazardous substances spilled during product delivery operations to prevent the hazardous substance from entering the subsurface environment, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25284.2 and Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2635(b)(1), and the spill containment structure shall be tested annually in accordance with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 25284.2.
- 5.6. USTs shall be maintained so that the primary and secondary containment is "product tight' as required by Health and Safety Code sections 25290.1(c), 25290.2(c) and 25291(a)(1).
- 5.7. Paragraph 5 is not intended to impose conditions or requirements on a Covered UST that are not otherwise applicable to that Covered UST.

6. SUSPENDED PENALTY CONDUCT

The following acts, occurring within the five-year period starting upon entry of the Final Judgment, shall constitute Suspended Penalty Conduct for purposes of Paragraph 4.5, above.

- 6.1. Operating one or more Covered USTs without first obtaining a valid operating permit from the CUPA as required by Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(1).
- 6.2. Failure to operate and maintain Covered UST monitoring equipment in accordance with the equipment manufacturer's instructions, as required by Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2638(a).
- 6.3. Failure to have a UST monitoring system that is capable of detecting an unauthorized release from any portion of the Covered UST system at the earliest possible opportunity, as required by Health and Safety Code sections 25290.1(d), 25290.2(d), 25291(b) and 25292(a) and Title 23, California Code of Regulations sections 2630(d) and 2641(a).
- 6.4. Failure to provide adequate corrosion protection for a Covered UST for all corrodible underground piping in direct contact with backfill, as required by Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2636(b).
- 6.5. Failure to equip Covered USTs with a spill container that will collect any hazardous substances spilled during product delivery operations to prevent the hazardous substance from entering the subsurface environment, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25284.2 and Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2635(b)(1).
- 6.6. Failure to maintain Covered USTs so that the primary and secondary containment is "product tight," in accordance with Health and Safety Code sections 25290.1(c), 25290.2(c) and 25291(a)(1).

7. MATTERS COVERED BY THE FINAL JUDGMENT

7.1. The Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of: (a) all claims, violations, penalties and causes of action alleged by the Plaintiffs in the Complaint regarding the Released USTs and the Stanley Hall Incident; (b) all claims, violations, penalties and causes of action related to the Released USTs or the Stanley Hall Incident that could have been asserted by the Plaintiffs based upon the acts, omissions and/or events that are alleged in the Complaint; (c)

2.7

- 7.2. The Parties reserve the right to pursue any claim that is not a Covered Matter ("Reserved Claim") and to defend against any Reserved Claim. Reserved Claims includes claims, actions or penalties for the performance, or lack of performance of, cleanup, corrective action, or response action concerning or arising out of actual past or future releases, spills, leaks, discharges or disposal of petroleum hydrocarbons, hazardous wastes, or hazardous substances caused or contributed to by the Settling Defendant at locations at or from the Covered USTs or any other UST facility owned and/or operated by the Settling Defendant, other than the release associated with the Stanley Hall Incident. The Final Judgment does not prevent any claims, actions, or penalties by the Plaintiffs and/or other regulatory entity based upon the actual release of any hazardous substance into the soil and/or groundwater, other than the release associated with the Stanley Hall Incident.
- 7.3. Except as otherwise provided in this Stipulation and in the Final Judgment, the Plaintiffs covenant not to sue or pursue any further civil claims, actions or penalties against the Settling Defendant or any of their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys for the Covered Matters.
- 7.4. The Settling Defendant covenants not to sue or pursue any civil or administrative claims against the Plaintiffs or against any agency of the State of California or against their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys arising out of or related to any Covered Matters.
 - 7.5. Any claims, violations, or causes of action that are based on acts, omissions or

events occurring after the date of entry of the Final Judgment in this matter, are not resolved, settled or covered by the Final Judgment.

- 7.6 This Stipulation and Final Judgment does not limit or affect the rights of the Settling Defendant against any third parties.
- 7.7. In any subsequent action that may be brought by the Plaintiffs based on any Reserved Claims, the Settling Defendant agrees that it will not assert that failing to pursue the Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting, laches, or is otherwise inequitable. This Paragraph does not prohibit the Settling Defendant from asserting any statute of limitations defense that may be applicable to any Reserved Claims.

8. NON-ADMISSION OF LIABILITY

The Settling Defendant does not admit any allegation, finding, determination or conclusion contained, alleged or asserted in the Complaint, and the Final Judgment is not an admission by the Settling Defendant regarding any issue of law or fact alleged in the Complaint and shall not be construed as an admission by the Settling Defendant regarding the same. Except as otherwise expressly provided in the Final Judgment, nothing in the Final Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense that the Settling Defendant has against any person or entity not party to the Final Judgment.

9. PLAINTIFFS NOT LIABLE

The Plaintiffs shall not be liable for any injury or damage to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by the Settling Defendant in carrying out the activities pursuant to the Final Judgment, nor shall the Plaintiffs be held as a party to or guarantor or any contract entered into by the Settling Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors in carrying out activities required pursuant to the Final Judgment.

10. EFFECT OF JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in the Final Judgment or applicable statutory or common law, nothing in the Final Judgment is intended not shall it be construed to preclude the Plaintiffs from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation. Except as expressly provided by the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant retains all of its defenses and rights to the exercise

of such authority.

.24

11. APPLICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

The Final Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Plaintiffs, and upon the Settling Defendant and to each of their respective predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, successors and assigns.

12. REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in the Final Judgment shall excuse the Settling Defendant from complying with any more stringent requirements that may be imposed by changes in applicable law. To the extent any future regulatory or statutory changes make the obligations of the Settling Defendant less stringent than as provided for in Paragraphs 5 and 6 of this Stipulation and in the corresponding paragraphs of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant may apply to this Court, upon noticed motion, for modification(s) of any of the obligations contained in Paragraphs 5 and 6 hereof, and the Court will grant such motion upon a showing that the applicable requirements have changed.

13. AUTHORITY TO ENTER STIPULATION

Each signatory to this Stipulation certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Stipulation, to execute it on behalf of the Party, and legally to bind that Party.

14. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Except as otherwise provided in this Stipulation and in the Final judgment, each of the Parties shall bear and pay their own fees and costs, including, but not limited to, their attorney fees, expert witness fees, and costs and all other costs of litigation, investigation, inspection, enforcement, prosecution, recovery and assessment of natural resource damages and suit incurred to date, in and regarding this action, although nothing in this Paragraph is intended to abridge the payments or allocation of the payments made by the Settling Defendant pursuant to Paragraph 4 hereof.

15. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES

This Stipulation may be executed by the Parties in counterpart.

16. ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Pursuant to this Stipulation, the Parties seek approval of the Final Judgment and request that the Court make a determination that the Final Judgment is fair and in the public interest.

17. INTEGRATION

The Stipulation and Final Judgment constitutes the whole agreement between the Parties.

The Final Judgment may not be amended or modified except as provided for in this Stipulation and in the Final Judgment.

18. MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

The Final Judgment may be amended or modified only on a noticed motion by one of the Parties with subsequent approval by the Court or upon written consent by the Parties and the subsequent approval of the Court.

19. NOTICES

All notices and submissions required by this Stipulation and the Final Judgment shall be sent to the following via personal delivery, overnight mail using a reputable delivery courier, or United States Postal Service mail, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested:

For Plaintiffs:

State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Enforcement 1001 I Street, 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 Attn: David Boyers

And

State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife Office of Spill Prevention and Response Attn: Katherine Verrue-Slater, Staff Counsel III 1700 "K" Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, California 95811

And

Office of the California Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102 Attn: Jonathan Wiener

For The Regents of the University of California:

Mark Freiberg, CIH, CSP

3

5

6 . 7

8

9

10

11 12

14

13

1516

1718

19 20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

Executive Director Environment, Health & Safety, and Emergency Management University of California, Berkeley 2199 Addison, Room 317 University Hall Berkeley, CA 94720-1150

And

Brett S. Henrikson, Esq. Senior Counsel, Environmental Health & Safety Office of the General Counsel, University of California 1111 Franklin St., 8th Fl. Oakland, CA 94607

And

Robert C. Goodman, Esq. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell, P.C. 311 California Street San Francisco, CA 94104

Any Party may change the individual or address for purpose of notice to that Party by written notice specifying the new individual or address, but no such change is effective until the written notice is actually received by the Party sought to be charged with its contents.

20. <u>TERMINATION OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PROVISIONS</u>

After the Final Judgment has been in effect for five (5) years, the Settling Defendant shall be relieved from any further compliance with all of the injunctive relief provisions of Paragraph 5 of this Stipulation and the corresponding provisions of the Final Judgment; provided, however, that prior to five (5) years after the effective date this Final Judgment, the State Water Board may file a motion seeking to have the Court extend all and/or some of the injunctive relief provisions of Paragraph 5 of this Stipulation and the corresponding provisions of the Final Judgment based upon the Settling Defendant's demonstrated history of non-compliance with Paragraph 5. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the filing of the State Water Board's motion, Settling Defendant may file either a statement of non-opposition, or an opposition, and within forty-five (45) calendar days of the filing of the Settling Defendant's motion, the State Water Board may file a reply. The Parties agree that the Court may grant the State Water Board's request upon a showing by the State Water Board that Settling Defendant has failed to comply with the obligations set forth in Paragraph 5 of this Stipulation and the corresponding provisions of the Final Judgment.

21. ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

- 21.1. The State Water Board may move this Court to enjoin the Settling Defendant from any violation of any provisions of the Final Judgment and to award other appropriate relief, including penalties and costs, by serving and filing a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 ("Enforcement Motion"). The Settling Defendant may file an opposition and the State Water Board may file a reply. At least ten (10) business days before filing an Enforcement Motion, the State Water Board will meet and confer in good faith with the Settling Defendant to attempt to resolve the matter without judicial intervention. Notwithstanding any other provisions in this Stipulation or the Final Judgment, the State Water Board may take immediate action as authorized by law in order to respond to an immediate threat to human health or the environment.
- 21.2. The Court has the authority to enjoin any violation of the Final Judgment. On the State Water Board's Enforcement Motion and the Court's determination that State Water Board has met its burden of proof as required by Paragraph 4.5, if applicable, the payment amounts as provided in those Paragraphs shall be binding on the Settling Defendant, subject to Settling Defendant's right to seek appellate review of the Court's determination. The Court retains, in addition, its power to enforce the Final Judgment through contempt. Except as to Covered Matters, nothing in the Final Judgment or this Stipulation shall restrict the authority of any state or local agency to seek criminal or civil penalties and injunctive relief as provided by law.

22. FORCE MAJEURE EVENT

22.1. It is not a breach of the Settling Defendant's obligations under Paragraphs 5 and 6 if the Settling Defendant is unable to perform due to a Force Majeure Event. Any event due to acts of God, acts of war or circumstances beyond the control of the Settling Defendant that prevents the performance of such an obligation despite the Settling Defendant's timely and diligent efforts to fulfill the obligation is a Force Majeure Event. A Force Majeure Event does not include financial inability to fund or complete any work, any failure by the Settling Defendant's suppliers, contractors, subcontractors or other persons contracted to perform the work for or on behalf of the Settling Defendant (unless their failure to do so is itself due to a

19²

Force Majeure Event), nor does it include circumstances which could have been avoided if the Settling Defendant had complied with preventative requirements imposed by law, regulation or ordinance.

- Water Board in writing within five (5) business days of when the Settling Defendant learns that the event will prevent performance of an obligation in Paragraph 5. Within fourteen (14) calendar days thereafter, the Settling Defendant shall provide the State Water Board a written explanation and description of the reasons for the prevention of performance, all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or mitigate the nonperformance, the anticipated date for performance, and explanation of why the event is a *Force Majeure Event*, and any documentation to support the Settling Defendant's explanation. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of such explanation, the State Water Board will notify the Settling Defendant in writing whether the State Water Board agreed or disagrees with the Settling Defendant's assertion of a *Force Majeure Event*. If the Parties do not agree that a particular delay or lack of performance is attributable to a *Force Majeure Event*, either Party may petition the Court to resolve the dispute. If either Party petitions the Court to resolve the dispute, it will neither prejudice nor preclude the State Water Board from bringing a motion to enforce any of the provisions of Paragraph 5 and 6 against the Settling Defendant as provided in Paragraph 22.4, below.
- 22.3. The time for performance of the obligations under Paragraphs 5 and 6 of this Stipulation that are affected by a *Force Majeure Event* will be extended for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of time for performance of the obligations affected by the *Force Majeure Event* shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation.
- 22.4. If the State Water Board decides to enforce the Provisions of Paragraphs 5 and 6 against the Settling Defendant for the failure to perform in spite of the Settling Defendant's claim of a *Force Majeure Event*, the Settling Defendant may raise the claimed *Force Majeure Event* as a defense to such an action and shall have the burden of proof to demonstrate the *Force Majeure Event*.

2-1

23. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the State Water Board to enforce any provision of the Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of the Final Judgment. The failure of the State Water Board to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any other provision of the Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant retains all defenses allowed by law to any such later enforcement. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in the Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under the Final Judgment.

24. NECESSITY FOR WRITTEN APPROVALS

All approvals and decisions of the State Water Board under the terms of the Final Judgment shall be communicated to the Settling Defendant in writing. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees of or officials of the State Water Board regarding submissions or notices shall be construed to relieve the Settling Defendant of its obligation to obtain any final written approval required by the Final Judgment.

25. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

The Settling Defendant shall permit any duly authorized representative of the State Water Board to inspect and copy the Settling Defendant's records and documents, and to enter and inspect the Settling Defendant's Covered USTs to determine whether the Settling Defendant is in compliance with the terms of the Final Judgment. Such documents include, but are not limited to, the Settling Defendant's designated operator reports. Nothing in this Paragraph is intended to require access to or production of any documents that are protected from production or disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege afforded to the Settling Defendant under law.

IT IS SO STIPULATED

///

///

| |||

| 1 | FOR THE CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD: | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Dated: January 2015 | Tom Howard |
| 3 | , | By: Tom Howard Executive Director California State Water Resources Control |
| 5 | | Board |
| 6 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | |
| 7 | Dated: January 21, 2015 | By: David Boyers |
| 8 | | Assistant Chief Counsel Counsel for California State Water Resources |
| 9 | A | Control Board |
| 10 | Dated: January 8, 2015 | Jonath Wiener |
| 11 | | By: Jonathan Wiener Deputy Attorney General |
| 12 | | California Attorney General's Office Attorney for California State Water |
| 13 | | Resources Control Board |
| 14 | FOR THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT | w . |
| 15 | OF FISH AND WILDLIFE: | |
| 16 | Dated: January 27, 2015 | By: Thomas M. Cullen, Jr. |
| 17 | | Administrator Office of Spill Prevention and Response California Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| 18 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | |
| 19 | Dated: January 26, 2015 | Satur News 100 X |
| 20 | Butted. Valladity 2015 | By: Kathy Verrue-Slater Staff Counsel III |
| 21 | | Counsel for California Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| 22 | A : | and wilding |
| 23 | Dated: January 8, 2015 | Something Wiene |
| 24 | ***, | By: Jonathan Wiener Deputy Attorney General |
| 25 | | California Attorney General's Office Attorney for California Department of Fish |
| 26 | | And Wildlife |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | FOR THE REGENTS OF THE |
|----|--|
| | UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA |
| 2 | Dated: January 3, 2015 By John Wilton |
| 3 | Vice Chancellor of Administration and |
| 4 | Finance University of California, Berkeley |
| 5 | |
| 6 | Dated: January, 2015 By: Karen Petrulakis |
| 7 | Chief Deputy General Counsel Office of General Counsel |
| 8 | Counsel for the Regents of the University of California |
| 9 | A Commontain |
| 10 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: |
| 11 | March |
| 12 | Dated: January 3, 2015 By: Robert C. Goodman |
| 13 | Attorney for the Regents of the University of California |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | * |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 20 | |
| 1 | 22 |

| 1 | FOR THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 2 | Dated: January, 2015 | |
| 3 | 4 | By: John Wilton Vice Chancellor of Administration and |
| 4 | | Finance University of California, Berkeley |
| 5 | March | |
| 6 | March Dated: January 2, 2015 | Karen J. Petrulakis By: Karen Petrulakis |
| 7 | , × | Chief Deputy General Counsel Office of General Counsel |
| 8 | | Counsel for the Regents of the University of California |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | |
| 11 | Dated: January, 2015 | |
| 12 | | By: Robert C. Goodman Attorney for the Regents of the University of |
| 13 | | California |
| 14 | | 4 8 |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | . > | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | ž. | |
| 22 | * | e . |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | × | |
| 2526 | | |
| 27 | * | |
| 28 | | |
| 20 | | |
| - 1 | 1 | 22 |

EXHIBIT A FINAL CONSENT JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 3 Case No. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 5 CALIFORNIA, ex rel. STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD; [PROPOSED] FINAL CONSENT 6 JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTION PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. DEPARTMENT OF (Health & Saf. Code, Div. 20, Chapter 6.7; Government Code, Title 2 Div. 1, Chapter FISH AND WILDLIFE; 8 Plaintiffs. 10 11 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY 12 OF CALIFORNIA AND DOES 1-20 INCLUSIVE, 13 Defendants. 14 15 Plaintiffs the People of the State of California, ex. rel State Water Resources Control Board 16 ("State Water Board") and the People of the State of California, ex. rel Department of Fish and 17 Wildlife ("CDFW") (Collectively "Plaintiffs") and Defendant Regents of the University of 18 California ("Settling Defendant"), having consented pursuant to the entry of this Final Consent 19 Judgment and Injunction ("Final Judgment"); and 20 The Court having considered the pleadings, which include, without limitation, the 21 Complaint, the parties' Stipulation for Entry of Final Consent Judgment and Injunction, and the 22 proposed Final Consent Judgment and Injunction; 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28

3

45

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

INTRODUCTION

Concurrent with the filing of the Stipulation, the Plaintiffs filed a Complaint in this matter alleging that the Settling Defendant violated various laws and regulations governing the operation and maintenance of underground storage tanks (USTs) and UST systems located at Settling Defendant's campus at Berkeley. In addition, the Complaint alleges the following: (1) on or about December 10, 2011, a 200 gallon fuel tank located in the generator room of Stanley Hall at the University of California, Berkeley, discharged approximately 1650 gallons of diesel fuel when a fuel transfer pump failed to shut off; (2) the fuel flowed into a sump room located in the basement of Stanley Hall and approximately 850 gallons of the fuel entered a storm drain and was then discharged into Strawberry Creek, located in the City of Berkeley, in Alameda County, California where it travelled downstream to the creek mouth and entered San Francisco Bay (the "Stanley Hall Incident"); (3) fuel from the Stanley Hall Incident and the resulting cleanup actions caused injuries to natural resources, including injuries to the creek channel, riparian habitat, and mudflat/shoreline habitats; and (4) the Stanley Hall Incident and resultant harms were directly and proximately caused by the acts, omissions, strict liability, fault, negligence, breach, and violations by Settling Defendant and/or their agents, representatives, contractors, design professionals and/or suppliers in regard to the UST violations and applicable California laws and regulations. Settling Defendant denies that the Stanley Hall Incident caused injuries to natural resources or the creek channel, riparian habitat, and mudflat/shoreline habitats, and further denies that the Stanley Hall Incident resulted from its acts, omissions, fault, negligence, breach or violations of applicable California laws and regulations.

In these negotiations, both the Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendant were represented by counsel.

STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT

The Parties have entered into a Stipulation for Entry of Final Consent Judgment and Injunction ("Stipulation") to settle this matter in order to avoid prolonged and complicated

litigation, and after opportunity for review by counsel, the Parties consent to the entry by the Court of this Final Consent Judgment and Injunction ("Final Judgment") on the terms set forth below. As set forth in the Stipulation, the parties have requested, and the court has approved, that the Court retain jurisdiction for the purpose of enabling any party to this Final Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further order and directions as may be necessary and appropriate for the enforcement or compliance with the Final Judgment.

1. **DEFINITIONS**

- 1.1. Except where otherwise expressly defined herein, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Chapters 6.7 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code and Title 23, Division 3, Chapter 16 of the California Code of Regulations ("the UST Regulations") and Chapter 7.4 of Title 2, Division 1 of the Government Code ("the Lempert-Keene Act").
- 1.2. "Certified Unified Program Agency" or "CUPA" is an agency certified by the Secretary of the California Environmental Protection Agency pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 6.11 of the Health and Safety Code and California Code of Regulations, Title 27 to implement certain State environmental programs within a jurisdiction. As used in the Stipulation and in this Final judgment, "CUPA" includes any Participating Agency (as defined at Health and Safety Code section 25501(h)(2)) or Unified Program Agency (as defined at Health and Safety Code section 25501(h)(3)).
- 1.3. "Released USTs" shall mean the seven (7) USTs owned and operated by the Settling Defendant and located on the Settling Defendant's campus in Berkeley, California. More specifically, the USTs are located at:
 - a. Doe Library;
 - b. Life Sciences Building (Emergency Containment Tank #1);
 - c. Life Sciences Building (Emergency Containment Tank #4);
 - d. Hazardous Material Facility (Emergency Containment Tank #7);
 - e. Koshland Hall;
 - f. Life Sciences Building; and

20.

g. Stanley Hall.

- 1.4. "Covered USTs" shall mean all USTs that are owned and/or operated by the Settling Defendant and located on the Settling Defendant's campus in Berkeley, California as of the date of entry of the Final Judgment and any USTs that are installed at the Settling Defendant's campus in Berkeley, California within the five-year period starting on the date of entry of the Final Judgment.
- 1.5. "Stanley Hall Incident" shall mean the occurrence described in the Introduction, including but not limited to the discharge of fuel into Strawberry Creek and San Francisco Bay.
 - 1.6. "Promptly" means as soon as reasonably practicable.
- 1.7. "Suspended Penalty Conduct" shall mean a violation of one or more of the provisions of Paragraph 6, below.

2. JURISDICTION

The Parties agree, and the Court hereby orders, that the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to the Stipulation.

3. SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS

The Parties have stipulated pursuant to a compromise and settlement of disputed claims set forth in the Complaint. The Stipulation is not an admission by the Settling Defendant regarding any issue of law or fact in the above-captioned matter or any violation of law. The Settling Defendant waived its right to a hearing on any matter covered by the Complaint prior to the entry of this Final Judgment.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT AND OTHER PAYMENTS

- 4.1. Upon entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant is liable for a total of FOUR HUNDRED FORTY NINE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$449,000) in civil penalties, investigative and enforcement costs, and restoration and oversight costs, to be paid and/or suspended, as set forth in paragraphs 4.2 through 4.5, below.
 - 4.2. <u>Cash Civil Penalties:</u>

4.2.a. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shall pay a total of TWO HUNDRED TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$225,000) in civil penalties under Chapter 6.7 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and the UST Regulations. This payment shall be made by check, payable to the State Water Board's "State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account." The check shall be delivered to:

State Water Resources Control Board Division of Administrative Services, Accounting Branch 1001 I Street, 18th Floor [95814] P.O. Box 1888 Sacramento, CA 95812-1888

A copy of the check shall be submitted to:

State Water Resources Control Board Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

These funds may be used by the State Water Board, at its discretion, to fund activities associated with the investigation and/or enforcement of UST requirements, including those codified at Chapter 6.7 of the Health and Safety Code and the UST Regulations, and the investigation and/or protection of the Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund. These activities may include, but are not limited to, training State and local enforcement staff, hiring enforcement staff, expert witness support, and criminal investigation development and support.

4.2.b. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shall pay a total of SEVENTY THOUSAND (\$70,000) in civil penalties under Government Code section 8670.66(a)(3). This payment shall be made by check payable to the "State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife." The check shall be delivered to:

State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife Office of Spill Prevention and Response Attn: Katherine Verrue-Slater, Staff Counsel III 1700 "K" Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, California 95811

A copy of the check shall be submitted to:

State Water Resources Control Board

| | · |
|-----|---|
| 1 2 | Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 |
| 3 | The check shall reference the U.C. Berkeley/Stanley Hall Diesel Spill. The funds shall be |
| 4 | used to fund environmental enhancement projects approved by the Environmental Enhancement |
| 5 | Committee in accordance with Government Code section 8670.71. |
| 6 | 4.3. Reimbursement of Costs: |
| 7 | · · |
| 8 | 4.3.a. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shall pay a |
| 9 | total of THIRTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$30,000) to the State Water Board for |
| 10 | reimbursement of attorneys' fees, costs of investigation and other costs of enforcement. This |
| 11 | payment shall be made by check, payable to the State Water Board's "Underground Storage Tank |
| 12 | Cleanup Fund." The check shall be delivered to: |
| 13 | State Water Resources Control Board |
| 14 | Division of Administrative Services, Accounting Branch 1001 I Street, 18th Floor [95814] |
| 15 | P.O. Box 1888 Sacramento, CA 95812-1888 |
| 16 | A copy of the check shall be submitted to: |
| 17 | State Water Resources Control Board |
| 18 | Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16 th Floor |
| | 1001 I Street, 16 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 |
| 19 | |
| 20 | 4.3.b. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shall |
| 21 | pay a total of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$15,000) to the California Department of Fish |
| 22 | and Wildlife for reimbursement of unpaid response and Natural Resource Damage Assessment |
| 23 | costs associated with the Stanley Hall Incident. Payment is to be made by check payable to the |
| 24 | "State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife." The check shall be delivered to: |
| 25 | State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| 26 | Office of Spill Prevention and Response Attn: Katherine Verrue-Slater, Staff Counsel III |
| 27 | 1700 "K" Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, California 95811 |
| 28 | |
| | 0 |

A copy of the check shall be submitted to: 1 State Water Resources Control Board 2 Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 4 The check shall reference the U.C. Berkeley/Stanley Hall Diesel Spill. 4.4. Natural Resource Damages: 7 4.4.a. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shall 8 pay a total of THIRTY ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$31,500) to the 9 National Fish and Wildlife Foundation to fund projects to restore or enhance riparian, mudflat, 10 and shoreline habitats and lost recreation in Alameda County. Payment is to be made by check 11 payable to the "National Fish and Wildlife Foundation." The check shall be delivered to: 12 State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife Office of Spill Prevention and Response 13 Attn: Katherine Verrue-Slater, Staff Counsel III 1700 "K" Street, Suite 250 14 Sacramento, California 95811 15 A copy of the check shall be submitted to: 16 State Water Resources Control Board Office of Enforcement 17 Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16th Floor 18 Sacramento, CA 95814 19 The check shall reference the U.C. Berkeley/Stanley Hall Diesel Spill. The National Fish 20 and Wildlife Foundation shall deposit the funds into the UC Berkeley/Stanley Hall Subaccount of 21 the Environmental Fund for Habitat and Incident Specific Restoration Projects. The money shall 22 be used to fund projects to restore or enhance riparian and shoreline habitat in and around 23 Strawberry Creek and Brickyard Cove, marine environmental education and/or shoreline cleanup 24 overseen by the Berkeley Marina Shorebird Center, as more fully described in the Natural 25 Resource Damage Assessment Summary and Restoration Proposal for the U.C. Berkeley (Stanley 26 Hall) Diesel Spill, dated August 20, 2014. 27

28

4.4.b. Within thirty (30) days of entry of the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant shall pay a total of TWO THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS (\$2,500) for restoration oversight and administrative costs associated with the Stanley Hall Incident. The check shall reference the U.C. Berkeley/Stanley Hall Diesel Spill. The check shall be delivered to:

State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife Office of Spill Prevention and Response Attn: Katherine Verrue-Slater, Staff Counsel III 1700 "K" Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, California 95811

A copy of the check shall be submitted to:

State Water Resources Control Board Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

The check shall reference the U.C. Berkeley/Stanley Hall Diesel Spill.

4.5. Suspended Penalties:

- 4.5.a. Of the Settling Defendant's total liability for penalties payable to the State Water Board of THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$300,000), SEVENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$75,000) shall be suspended on the condition that: (1) the Settling Defendant complies with its payment obligations of cash civil penalties, investigative and enforcement costs and restoration and oversight costs as set forth in Paragraphs 4.2 through 4.4 and (2) the Settling Defendant does not engage in any Suspended Penalty Conduct specified in Paragraph 6 for a period of five (5) years, beginning immediately upon entry of the Final Judgment.
- 4.5.b. If the State Water Board determines that the Settling Defendant has engaged in Suspended Penalty Conduct, as set forth in Paragraph 6, below, the State Water Board may initiate a new enforcement action and seek appropriate relief as authorized by law, including but not limited to, injunctive relief and civil penalties, and/or move the Court by noticed motion to assess and collect suspended civil penalties as provided herein. The Settling Defendant shall retain all of its rights to contest the State Water Board's claim that it has engaged in Suspended

8

12

10

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

Penalty Conduct, including the right to assert that the alleged violation was due to a *Force Majeure Event* as described in Paragraph 22.

4.5.c. If the State Water Board elects to assess and collect suspended penalties as provided herein and the Court finds that the Settling Defendant has engaged in Suspended Penalty Conduct, or failed to act when it had a duty to act on one or more occasions that constitute a violation of the provisions that are designated below as Suspended Penalty Conduct, the Court shall impose a civil penalty as follows: For each Suspended Penalty Conduct violation and for each thirty (30) calendar day period that a Suspended Penalty Conduct violation remains uncorrected, the Court shall impose a mandatory TWENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$25,000) civil penalty payable to the "State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account." The State Water Board shall have the burden of proof for establishing that the alleged Suspended Penalty Conduct violation(s) has occurred, and the Settling Defendant shall have the burden of proof for establishing that the alleged Suspended Penalty Conduct violation(s) has been corrected in accordance with all applicable laws. A Suspended Penalty Conduct violation that is corrected in less than thirty (30) calendar days from the initial date of violation shall not be assessed a civil penalty under this paragraph. However, the State Water Board may still take enforcement action and seek any appropriate relief for such alleged Suspended Penalty Conduct as authorized by law, including, but not limited to, the assessment and collection of civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25299, and Settling Defendants retains all defenses to any enforcement action. If the Court finds that the Settling Defendant has engaged in Suspended Penalty Conduct and has failed to timely correct the violation(s) as set forth in this paragraph, the Parties agree that the Court shall have no discretion to reduce, increase, or otherwise modify the amount of suspended civil penalties to be assessed and awarded to the State Water Board pursuant to the Stipulation and the Final Judgment, and that the aggregate suspended penalties awarded by the Court shall not exceed the entire suspended penalty amount of SEVENTY FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$75,000) specified herein. Payment of the suspended penalties awarded by the Court pursuant to this paragraph shall be due to the State Water Board forty-five (45) days from the

27

28

Court's final order(s). This payment shall be made by check, payable to the State Water Board's "State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account." The check shall be delivered to:

State Water Resources Control Board Division of Administrative Services, Accounting Branch 1001 I Street, 18th Floor [95814] P.O. Box 1888 Sacramento, CA 95812-1888

A copy of the check shall be submitted to:

State Water Resources Control Board Office of Enforcement Attn: David Boyers, Assistant Chief Counsel 1001 I Street, 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

If the Settling Defendant complies with its payment obligations set forth in Paragraphs 4.2 through 4.4 and does not engage in Suspended Penalty Conduct for a period of three (3) years, beginning with the entry of the Final Judgment, the suspension of penalties as herein provided shall become permanent and the Settling Defendant shall no longer be liable for such unpaid suspended penalties. However, if a motion to assess and collect suspended civil penalties as provided herein is still pending before the Court three (3) years after the entry of the Final Judgment, the suspension of penalties shall not become final until a final order has been issued on the pending motion and payment of civil penalties to the State Water Board has been made if required by such order.

- 4.5.d. The suspended penalties provided by Paragraph 4.5 are in addition to, and do not bar, any other remedies or sanctions that may be available for any violations of Chapter 6.7 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code and the UST Regulations.
- 4.6. <u>Late Payments:</u> The Settling Defendant shall be liable for a stipulated civil penalty of ONE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$1,000) for each day that a payment required pursuant to the Stipulation and this Final Judgment is late.

5. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

Pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code section 25299.01, but subject to the termination Paragraph 20 below, upon approval and entry of the Final Judgment by the Court, the

Settling Defendant, with respect to the Covered USTs, is enjoined to comply with Chapter 6.7 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and the UST Regulations. Specifically, the Settling Defendant is enjoined to comply with the following requirements for each of the Covered USTs:

- 5.1. The Settling Defendant shall, at all times, operate the Covered USTs only after a valid operating permit has been issued by the CUPA, in accordance with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(1).
- 5.2. The UST monitoring equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance with the equipment manufacturer's instructions and certified every 12 months for operability, proper operating condition and proper calibration, as required by Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2638(a).
- 5.3 The UST monitoring system shall be capable of detecting an unauthorized release from any portion of the UST system at the earliest possible opportunity, as required by Health and Safety Code sections 25290.1(d), 25290.2(d), 25291(b) and 25292(a) and title 23, California Code of Regulations sections 2630(d) and 2641(a). The Settling Defendant shall properly install and place all leak-detecting sensors so that each is capable of detecting a leak at the earliest possible opportunity as required by California Code of Regulations, title 23, including but not limited to section 2630(d). The Settling Defendant shall promptly replace or repair any sensor that, for any reason, becomes incapable of detecting a leak at the earliest possible opportunity.
- 5.4. All corrodible underground piping, if in direct contact with backfill, shall be protected against corrosion, as required by Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2636(b).
- 5.5. USTs shall be equipped with a spill container that will collect any hazardous substances spilled during product delivery operations to prevent the hazardous substance from entering the subsurface environment, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25284.2 and Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2635(b)(1), and the spill containment structure shall be tested annually in accordance with the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 25284.2.

- 5.6. USTs shall be maintained so that the primary and secondary containment is "product tight" as required by Health and Safety Code sections 25290.1(c), 25290.2(c) and 25291(a)(1).
- 5.7. Paragraph 5 is not intended to impose conditions or requirements on a Covered UST that are not otherwise applicable to that Covered UST.

6. SUSPENDED PENALTY CONDUCT

The following acts, occurring within the five-year period starting upon entry of the Final Judgment, shall constitute Suspended Penalty Conduct for purposes of Paragraph 4.5, above.

- 6.1. Operating one or more Covered USTs without first obtaining a valid operating permit from the CUPA as required by Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(1).
- 6.2. Failure to operate and maintain Covered UST monitoring equipment in accordance with the equipment manufacturer's instructions, as required by Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2638(a).
- 6.3. Failure to have a UST monitoring system that is capable of detecting an unauthorized release from any portion of the Covered UST system at the earliest possible opportunity, as required by Health and Safety Code sections 25290.1(d), 25290.2(d), 25291(b) and 25292(a) and Title 23, California Code of Regulations sections 2630(d) and 2641(a).
- 6.4. Failure to provide adequate corrosion protection for a Covered UST for all corrodible underground piping in direct contact with backfill, as required by Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2636(b).
- 6.5. Failure to equip Covered USTs with a spill container that will collect any hazardous substances spilled during product delivery operations to prevent the hazardous substance from entering the subsurface environment, as required by Health and Safety Code section 25284.2 and Title 23, California Code of Regulations section 2635(b)(1).
- 6.6. Failure to maintain Covered USTs so that the primary and secondary containment is "product tight," in accordance with Health and Safety Code sections 25290.1(c), 25290.2(c) and 25291(a)(1).

7. MATTERS COVERED BY THE FINAL JUDGMENT

7.1. The Final Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of: (a) all claims, violations, penalties and causes of action alleged by the Plaintiffs in the Complaint regarding the Released USTs and the Stanley Hall Incident; (b) all claims, violations, penalties and causes of action related to the Released USTs or the Stanley Hall Incident that could have been asserted by the Plaintiffs based upon the acts, omissions and/or events that are alleged in the Complaint; (c) all damages, claims, violations, penalties and causes of action for injury to, destruction of, loss of, or loss of use of, natural resources arising from the Stanley Hall Incident, including natural resource damage assessment costs and restoration monitoring costs associated with the Stanley Hall Incident; and (d) all claims, actions or penalties for the performance, or lack of performance of, cleanup, corrective action, or response action concerning or arising out of actual past or future releases, spills, leaks, discharges or disposal of petroleum hydrocarbons, hazardous wastes, or hazardous substances relating to the Stanley Hall Incident (hereinafter referred to as "Covered Matters").

- 7.2. The Parties reserve the right to pursue any claim that is not a Covered Matter ("Reserved Claim") and to defend against any Reserved Claim. Reserved Claims includes claims, actions or penalties for the performance, or lack of performance of, cleanup, corrective action, or response action concerning or arising out of actual past or future releases, spills, leaks, discharges or disposal of petroleum hydrocarbons, hazardous wastes, or hazardous substances caused or contributed to by the Settling Defendant at locations at or from the Covered USTs or any other UST facility owned and/or operated by the Settling Defendant, other than the release associated with the Stanley Hall Incident. The Final Judgment does not prevent any claims, actions, or penalties by the Plaintiffs and/or other regulatory entity based upon the actual release of any hazardous substance into the soil and/or groundwater, other than the release associated with the Stanley Hall Incident.
- 7.3. Except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation and in this Final Judgment, the Plaintiffs covenant not to sue or pursue any further civil claims, actions or penalties against the

Settling Defendant or any of their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys for the Covered Matters.

- 7.4. The Settling Defendant covenants not to sue or pursue any civil or administrative claims against the Plaintiffs or against any agency of the State of California or against their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys arising out of or related to any Covered Matters.
- 7.5. Any claims, violations, or causes of action that are based on acts, omissions or events occurring after the date of entry of the Final Judgment in this matter, are not resolved, settled or covered by the Final Judgment.
- 7.6 The Stipulation and this Final Judgment do not limit or affect the rights of the Settling Defendant against any third parties.
- 7.7. In any subsequent action that may be brought by the Plaintiffs based on any Reserved Claims, the Settling Defendant agrees that it will not assert that failing to pursue the Reserved Claim as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting, laches, or is otherwise inequitable. This Paragraph does not prohibit the Settling Defendant from asserting any statute of limitations defense that may be applicable to any Reserved Claims.

8. NON-ADMISSION OF LIABILITY

The Settling Defendant does not admit any allegation, finding, determination or conclusion contained, alleged or asserted in the Complaint, and the Final Judgment is not an admission by the Settling Defendant regarding any issue of law or fact alleged in the Complaint and shall not be construed as an admission by the Settling Defendant regarding the same. Except as otherwise expressly provided in the Final Judgment, nothing in the Final Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense that the Settling Defendant has against any person or entity not party to the Final Judgment.

9. PLAINTIFFS NOT LIABLE

The Plaintiffs shall not be liable for any injury or damage to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by the Settling Defendant in carrying out the activities pursuant to the

Final Judgment, nor shall the Plaintiffs be held as a party to or guarantor or any contract entered into by the Settling Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, representatives or contractors in carrying out activities required pursuant to the Final Judgment.

10. EFFECT OF JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in the Final Judgment or applicable statutory or common law, nothing in the Final Judgment is intended not shall it be construed to preclude the Plaintiffs from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation. Except as expressly provided by the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant retains all of its defenses and rights to the exercise of such authority.

11. <u>APPLICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT</u>

This Final Judgment applies to and is binding upon the Plaintiffs, and upon the Settling Defendant and to each of their respective predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, successors and assigns.

12. REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in the Final Judgment shall excuse the Settling Defendant from complying with any more stringent requirements that may be imposed by changes in applicable law. To the extent any future regulatory or statutory changes make the obligations of the Settling Defendant less stringent than as provided for in Paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Stipulation and in the corresponding paragraphs of this Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant may apply to this Court, upon noticed motion, for modification(s) of any of the obligations contained in Paragraphs 5 and 6 hereof, and the Court will grant such motion upon a showing that the applicable requirements have changed.

13. AUTHORITY TO ENTER STIPULATION

Each signatory to the Stipulation certified that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into the Stipulation, to execute it on behalf of the Party, and legally to bind that Party.

_

14. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

Except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation and in this Final judgment, each of the Parties shall bear and pay their own fees and costs, including, but not limited to, their attorney fees, expert witness fees, and costs and all other costs of litigation, investigation, inspection, enforcement, prosecution, recovery and assessment of natural resource damages and suit incurred to date, in and regarding this action, although nothing in this Paragraph is intended to abridge the payments or allocation of the payments made by the Settling Defendant pursuant to Paragraph 4 hereof.

15. <u>COUNTERPART SIGNATURES</u>

The Stipulation may be executed by the Parties in counterpart.

16. ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the Stipulation, the Parties sought approval of the Final Judgment and requested that the Court make a determination that the Final Judgment is fair and in the public interest. The Court determines that the Final Judgment is fair and in the public interest.

17. INTEGRATION

The Stipulation and Final Judgment constitutes the whole agreement between the Parties.

The Final Judgment may not be amended or modified except as provided for in the Stipulation and in this Final Judgment.

18. MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

The Final Judgment may be amended or modified only on a noticed motion by one of the Parties with subsequent approval by the Court or upon written consent by the Parties and the subsequent approval of the Court.

19. NOTICES

All notices and submissions required by the Stipulation and this Final Judgment shall be sent to the following via personal delivery, overnight mail using a reputable delivery courier, or United States Postal Service mail, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested:

| 1 | For Plaintiffs: |
|----|---|
| 2 | State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Enforcement 1001 I Street, 16 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 |
| 3 | Attn: David Boyers |
| 4 | And |
| 5 | State of California Department of Fish and Wildlife |
| 6 | Office of Spill Prevention and Response Attn: Katherine Verrue-Slater, Staff Counsel III |
| 7 | 1700 "K" Street, Suite 250 Sacramento, California 95811 |
| 8 | Office of the California Attorney General |
| 9 | 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102 Attn: Jonathan A. Wiener |
| | For The Regents of the University of California: |
| 11 | Mark Freiberg, CIH, CSP |
| 12 | Executive Director Environment, Health & Safety, and Emergency Management |
| 13 | University of California, Berkeley 2199 Addison, Room 317 |
| 14 | University Hall |
| 15 | Berkeley, CA 94720-1150 |
| 16 | And |
| 17 | Brett S. Henrikson, Esq. Senior Counsel, Environmental Health & Safety |
| 18 | Office of the General Counsel, University of California 1111 Franklin St., 8th Fl. |
| 19 | Oakland, CA 94607 |
| 20 | And |
| 21 | Robert C. Goodman, Esq. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell, P.C. |
| 22 | 311 California Street |
| | San Francisco, CA 94104 |
| 23 | Any Party may change the individual or address for purpose of notice to that Party by |
| 24 | written notice specifying the new individual or address, but no such change is effective until the |
| 25 | written notice is actually received by the Party sought to be charged with its contents. |
| 26 | 20. TERMINATION OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF PROVISIONS |
| 27 | After the Final Judgment has been in effect for five (5) years, the Settling Defendant shal |
| 28 | |

that prior to five (5) years after the effective date this Final Judgment, the State Water Board may file a motion seeking to have the Court extend all and/or some of the injunctive relief provisions of Paragraph 5 of the Stipulation and the corresponding provisions of this Final Judgment based upon the Settling Defendant's demonstrated history of non-compliance with Paragraph 5. Within thirty (30) calendar days of the filing of the State Water Board's motion, Settling Defendant may file either a statement of non-opposition, or an opposition, and within forty-five (45) calendar days of the filing of the Settling Defendant's motion, the State Water Board may file a reply. The Parties agree that the Court may grant the State Water Board's request upon a showing by the State Water Board that Settling Defendant has failed to comply with the obligations set forth in Paragraph 5 of the Stipulation and the corresponding provisions of this Final Judgment.

be relieved from any further compliance with all of the injunctive relief provisions of Paragraph 5

of the Stipulation and the corresponding provisions of this Final Judgment; provided, however,

21. ENFORCEMENT OF FINAL JUDGMENT

- 21.1. The State Water Board may move this Court to enjoin the Settling Defendant from any violation of any provisions of the Final Judgment and to award other appropriate relief, including penalties and costs, by serving and filing a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 ("Enforcement Motion"). The Settling Defendant may file an opposition and the State Water Board may file a reply. At least ten (10) business days before filing an Enforcement Motion, the State Water Board will meet and confer in good faith with the Settling Defendant to attempt to resolve the matter without judicial intervention. Notwithstanding any other provisions in the Stipulation or this Final Judgment, the State Water Board may take immediate action as authorized by law in order to respond to an immediate threat to human health or the environment.
- 21.2. The Court has the authority to enjoin any violation of the Final Judgment. On the State Water Board's Enforcement Motion and the Court's determination that State Water Board has met its burden of proof as required by Paragraph 4.5, if applicable, the payment amounts as provided in those Paragraphs shall be binding on the Settling Defendant, subject to Settling

Defendant's right to seek appellate review of the Court's determination. The Court retains, in addition, its power to enforce the Final Judgment through contempt. Except as to Covered Matters, nothing in this Final Judgment or the Stipulation shall restrict the authority of any state or local agency to seek criminal or civil penalties and injunctive relief as provided by law.

22. FORCE MAJEURE EVENT

- 22.1. It is not a breach of the Settling Defendant's obligations under Paragraphs 5 and 6 if the Settling Defendant is unable to perform due to a Force Majeure Event. Any event due to acts of God, acts of war or circumstances beyond the control of the Settling Defendant that prevents the performance of such an obligation despite the Settling Defendant's timely and diligent efforts to fulfill the obligation is a Force Majeure Event. A Force Majeure Event does not include financial inability to fund or complete any work, any failure by the Settling Defendant's suppliers, contractors, subcontractors or other persons contracted to perform the work for or on behalf of the Settling Defendant (unless their failure to do so is itself due to a Force Majeure Event), nor does it include circumstances which could have been avoided if the Settling Defendant had complied with preventative requirements imposed by law, regulation or ordinance.
- 22.2. If the Settling Defendant claims a Force Majeure Event, it shall notify the State Water Board in writing within five (5) business days of when the Settling Defendant learns that the event will prevent performance of an obligation in Paragraph 5. Within fourteen (14) calendar days thereafter, the Settling Defendant shall provide the State Water Board a written explanation and description of the reasons for the prevention of performance, all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or mitigate the nonperformance, the anticipated date for performance, and explanation of why the event is a Force Majeure Event, and any documentation to support the Settling Defendant's explanation. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of such explanation, the State Water Board will notify the Settling Defendant in writing whether the State Water Board agreed or disagrees with the Settling Defendant's assertion of a Force Majeure Event. If the Parties do not agree that a particular delay or lack of performance is attributable to a

Force Majeure Event, either Party may petition the Court to resolve the dispute. If either Party petitions the Court to resolve the dispute, it will neither prejudice nor preclude the State Water Board from bringing a motion to enforce any of the provisions of Paragraph 5 and 6 against the Settling Defendant as provided in Paragraph 22.4, below.

- 22.3. The time for performance of the obligations under Paragraphs 5 and 6 of the Stipulation that are affected by a *Force Majeure Event* will be extended for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of time for performance of the obligations affected by the *Force Majeure Event* shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation.
- 22.4. If the State Water Board decides to enforce the Provisions of Paragraphs 5 and 6 against the Settling Defendant for the failure to perform in spite of the Settling Defendant's claim of a Force Majeure Event, the Settling Defendant may raise the claimed Force Majeure Event as a defense to such an action and shall have the burden of proof to demonstrate the Force Majeure Event.

23. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the State Water Board to enforce any provision of the Final Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of the Final Judgment. The failure of the State Water Board to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any other provision of the Final Judgment. Except as expressly provided in the Final Judgment, the Settling Defendant retains all defenses allowed by law to any such later enforcement. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in the Final Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under the Final Judgment.

24. NECESSITY FOR WRITTEN APPROVALS

All approvals and decisions of the State Water Board under the terms of the Final Judgment shall be communicated to the Settling Defendant in writing. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees of or officials of the State Water Board regarding

submissions or notices shall be construed to relieve the Settling Defendant of its obligation to obtain any final written approval required by the Final Judgment.

25. ABILITY TO INSPECT AND COPY RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS

The Settling Defendant shall permit any duly authorized representative of the State Water Board to inspect and copy the Settling Defendant's records and documents, and to enter and inspect the Settling Defendant's Covered USTs to determine whether the Settling Defendant is in compliance with the terms of the Final Judgment. Such documents include, but are not limited to, the Settling Defendant's designated operator reports. Nothing in this Paragraph is intended to require access to or production of any documents that are protected from production or disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine or any other applicable privilege afforded to the Settling Defendant under law.

26. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 26.1 This Court has jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the Final Judgment. The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Final Judgment and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Final Judgment.
- 26.2 This Final Judgment shall go into effect immediately upon entry thereof. Entry is authorized by Stipulation of the Parties upon filing.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDICATED AND DECREED

| Dated: | , 2015 | | |
|--------|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| | | Judge of the Superior Court | |