

State of California
State Water Resources Control Board
DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS
P.O. Box 2000, Sacramento, CA 95812-2000
Info: (916) 341-5300, FAX: (916) 341-5400, Web: http://www.waterrights.ca.gov

PETITION FOR CHANGE
(WATER CODE 1700)

2001 DEC 14 11:10:18
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

Application Point of Diversion, A018025 Point of Rediversion, X Place of Use, _____ Purpose of Use
Permit 14045 License _____ Statement or Other _____

I (we) hereby petition for change(s) noted above and shown on the accompanying map and described as follows:

Point of Diversion or Rediversion (Give coordinate distances from section corner or other ties as allowed by Cal CR 715, and the 40-acre subdivision in which the present & proposed points lie.)

Present See attached.
Proposed Same.

Place of Use (If irrigation then state number of acres to be irrigated within each 40-acre tract.)

Present See attached map - no agricultural irrigation
Proposed See attached map - no agricultural irrigation

Purpose of Use

Present Municipal water supply
Proposed Same

Does the proposed use serve to preserve or enhance wetlands habitat, fish and wildlife resources, or recreation in or on the water (See WC 1707)? No
(yes/no)

• GIVE REASON FOR PROPOSED CHANGE: Yuba City growth. All added area is within the City sphere of influence (see attached)

• WILL THE OLD POINT OF DIVERSION OR PLACE OF USE BE ABANDONED? No
(yes/no)

• WATER WILL BE USED FOR Municipal PURPOSES.

I (we) have access to the proposed point of diversion or control the proposed place of use by virtue of? Ownership
(ownership, lease verbal or written agreement)

Are there any persons taking water from the stream between the old point of return flow and the new point of return flow? N/A
(yes/no)

If by lease or agreement, state the name and address of party(s) from whom access has been obtained.

Not applicable

Give name and address of any person(s) taking water from the stream between the present point of diversion or rediversion and the proposed point of diversion or rediversion, as well as any other person(s) known to you who may be affected by the proposed change.

Diversion point unchanged

THIS CHANGE DOES NOT INVOLVE AN INCREASE IN THE AMOUNT OF THE APPROPRIATION OR SEASON OF USE.
I (we) declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct to the best of my (our) knowledge and belief.

Dated DECEMBER 14, 20 01 at Yuba City, California

William Lewis (530) 822-4639
Signature(s) Telephone No.

NOTE: A \$100 filing fee made payable to the State Water Resources Control Board and a \$850 fee made payable to the Department of Fish and Game must accompany a petition for change.

12/14/01
\$100.00
20 C

City of Yuba City
Application 18025, Permit 14045
Petition For Change

Point of Diversion Application 18025 Permit 14045: The point of diversion remains the same as described in the original application dated March 5, 1958. The diversion is located at N55° 33'E, 4268.60' from N ¼ corner of Section 15, Township 15N, Range 3E, MD Base and Meridan within the NW ¼ of SW ¼ (New Helvetia Grant) of Section 11, T15N, R3E, MDB&M in the County of Sutter.

Reason for Proposed Change: Yuba City population growth rate and water supply needs have averaged approximately three percent for the last several years. Currently Yuba City has approximately 9100 surface water connections for residential, commercial, and industrial water supply. In May 2001 Yuba City purchased an adjacent water company, Hillcrest Water Company. This purchase has added an additional 4,600 connections. Hillcrest Water Company water supply is ground water. Some of the customers are outside the existing place of use. It is the intent of Yuba City to convert these customers from ground water to surface water supply. The place of use change is requesting that all the Yuba City sphere of influence be included. The Sutter County Local Area Formation Commission, LAFCO, has approved Yuba City to serve water within all areas of the sphere of influence.

The conversion from groundwater to surface water is desired due to high arsenic, nitrate, manganese, and iron concentration in the many of the groundwater wells. Many of the groundwater wells do not meet the recently implemented arsenic levels of 10 ug/l. All wells in one groundwater pressure zone exceed the nitrate drinking water standard. This conversion will result in significantly higher quality water that meets all water quality standards for the current groundwater customers.

State of California
State Water Resources Control Board
DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS
P.O. Box 2000, Sacramento, CA 95812-2000
Info: (916) 341-5300, FAX: (916) 341-5400, Web: http://www.waterrights.ca.gov

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
2001 DEC 14 AM 10:48
DR. S. J. HARRIS
SACRAMENTO

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

WATER USERS:

Application A018025 Permit 014045

Water Code section 1396 requires an applicant to exercise due diligence in developing a water supply for beneficial use. The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), in considering requests for extension of time, will review the facts presented to determine whether there is good cause for granting an extension of time to complete the project. Where diligence in completing the project is not fully substantiated, the SWRCB may set the matter for hearing to determine the facts upon which to base formal action relating to the permit. Formal action may involve:

1. Revoking the permit for failure to proceed with due diligence in completing the project.
2. Issuing a license for the amount of water heretofore placed to beneficial use under the terms of the permit.
3. Granting a reasonable extension of time to complete construction work and/or full beneficial use of water.

The time previously allowed in your permit within which to complete construction work and/or use of water has either expired or will expire shortly.

Please check below the action you wish taken on this permit.

- The project has been abandoned and I request revocation of the permit.

Signature
- Full use of water has been made, both as to amount and season, and I request license be issued.

Signature
- The project is not yet complete. I request the SWRCB's consideration of the following petition for an extension of time.

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
If START of construction has been delayed

Complete items 1, 2, and 3.

1. What has been done since permit was issued toward commencing construction?

2. Estimate date construction work will begin. _____
3. Reasons why construction work was not begun within the time allowed by the permit.

8/27/04
\$ 1000.00
W

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
If construction work is proceeding

If construction work and/or use of water is proceeding but is not complete, an extension of time may be petitioned by completing items 4 through 16. Statements must be restricted to construction or use of water only under this permit.

4. A 20 - year extension of time is requested to complete construction work and/or beneficial use of water (Indicate number of years).
5. How much water has been used? Est. 7800 in 2001 acre-feet/year _____ cfs
6. How many acres have been irrigated? 0
7. How many houses or people have been served water? 7831 as of 12/00
8. Extent of past use of water for any other purpose. Industrial, commercial, other
9. What construction work has been completed during the last extension? Expansion of water plant and distribution system.
10. Approximate amount spent on project during last extension period. \$ over \$10,000,000
11. Estimate date construction work will be completed. 2025
12. Estimated year in which water will be fully used. 2025
13. Reasons why construction and/or use of water were not completed within time previously allowed. See attached.

If the use of water is for municipal (including industrial) and irrigation supplies and is provided or regulated by public agencies and use of the water has commenced, but additional time is needed to reach full use contemplated, the following information must be provided.

14. What water conservation measures are in effect or feasible within the place of use?

15. How much water is being conserved or is it feasible to conserve using these conservation measures?
_____ acre-feet per annum.
16. How much water per capita is used during the maximum 30-day period? 227 gpd. (see attached)

I (we) declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct to the best of my (our) knowledge and belief.

Dated: DECEMBER 14, 2001, at Yuba City, California
William P Lewis (530) 822-4639
Signature(s) Telephone No.

NOTE: A \$50 FEE MADE PAYABLE TO THE State Water Resources Control Board must accompany a petition for an extension of time. An \$850 fee made payable to the Department of Fish and Game must accompany all but the first petition for an extension of time.

City of Yuba City
Application 18025, Permit 14045
Petition For Extension of Time

Question 13: Reasons why construction and or use of water were not completed within time previously allowed.

Yuba City population growth rate and water supply needs have averaged approximately three percent for the last several years. Currently Yuba City has approximately 9100 connections for residential, commercial, and industrial water supply. In May 2001 Yuba City purchased an adjacent water company, Hillcrest Water Company. This purchase has added an additional 4,600 connections. Hillcrest Water Company water supply was ground water. It is the intent of Yuba City to convert these customers from ground water to surface water supply. The conversion is desired due to high arsenic, nitrate, manganese, and iron concentration in the many of the ground water wells. Many of the groundwater wells do not meet the recently implemented arsenic levels of 10 ug/l. All wells in one groundwater pressure zone exceed the nitrate drinking water standard. This conversion will result in a significant increased need for surface water.

Since the current permit was issued the city has expanded the water treatment plant capacity over 25 percent, and have added many miles of water distribution pipelines. During the last 5 years the city has invested over \$5,000,000 for water system infrastructure improvements. The City Council has approved a capital improvement plan that projects over \$25,000,000 of expenditures in the next ten years. The City Council has implemented a rate structure and revenue stream that can adequately fund the projects.

Question 16: How much water is used per capita during the maximum 30-day period?

Information from the 2000 Urban Water Management Plan was used to estimate the per capita 30-day maximum use. For the year 2000 the population is estimated at 37,120 residents served with surface water. Total surface water withdrawn was 11,620 acre-feet. Single family and multi family metered accounts utilized a total of 5488 acre-feet, or an average of 457 acre-feet per month. Assuming a peaking factor of average usage to maximum usage of 1.7 the maximum month usage would be 686 acre-feet per month. The peaking factor is based on April 2000 that represents average usage to July that represents maximum usage. Based on a population of 37,120, this results in a maximum usage of 227 gallons per person per day.