

State of California
 State Water Resources Control Board
DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS
P.O. Box 2000, Sacramento, CA 95812-2000
 Info: (916) 341-5300, FAX: (916) 341-5400, Web: <http://www.waterrights.ca.gov>

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

WATER USERS:

Application 22680 Permit 15882

Water Code section 1396 requires an applicant to exercise due diligence in developing a water supply for beneficial use. The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), in considering requests for extension of time, will review the facts presented to determine whether there is good cause for granting an extension of time to complete the project. Where diligence in completing the project is not fully substantiated, the SWRCB may set the matter for hearing to determine the facts upon which to base formal action relating to the permit. Formal action may involve:

1. Revoking the permit for failure to proceed with due diligence in completing the project.
2. Issuing a license for the amount of water heretofore placed to beneficial use under the terms of the permit.
3. Granting a reasonable extension of time to complete construction work and/or full beneficial use of water.

The time previously allowed in your permit within which to complete construction work and/or use of water has either expired or will expire shortly.

Please check below the action you wish taken on this permit.

The project has been abandoned and I request revocation of the permit.

Signature

Full use of water has been made, both as to amount and season, and I request license be issued.

Signature

The project is not yet complete. I request the SWRCB's consideration of the following petition for an extension of time.

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
If START of construction has been delayed

Complete items 1, 2, and 3.

1. What has been done since permit was issued toward commencing construction?

2. Estimate date construction work will begin. _____

3. Reasons why construction work was not begun within the time allowed by the permit.

\$850
\$950
\$50
7-19-04
AW

Relaxations \$850
2/12/04
WTR
7-19-04
AW

**PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
If construction work is proceeding**

If construction work and/or use of water is proceeding but is not complete, an extension of time may be petitioned by completing items 4 through 16. Statements must be restricted to construction or use of water only under this permit.

- 4. A twelve (12) - year extension of time is requested to complete construction work and/or beneficial use of water (Indicate number of years).
- 5. How much water has been used? _____ acre-feet/year 1.7 cfs
- 6. How many acres have been irrigated? N/A
- 7. How many houses or people have been served water? 16,000
- 8. Extent of past use of water for any other purpose. _____
- 9. What construction work has been completed during the last extension? See attached.
- 10. Approximate amount spent on project during last extension period. \$ \$85,000-\$100,000
- 11. Estimate date construction work will be completed. 2016
- 12. Estimated year in which water will be fully used. 2016
- 13. Reasons why construction and/or use of water were not completed within time previously allowed. See attached.

If the use of water is for municipal (including industrial) and irrigation supplies and is provided or regulated by public agencies and use of the water has commenced, but additional time is needed to reach full use contemplated, the following information must be provided.

- 14. What water conservation measures are in effect or feasible within the place of use?
See attached.
- 15. How much water is being conserved or is it feasible to conserve using these conservation measures?
See att. acre-feet per annum.
- 16. How much water per capita is used during the maximum 30-day period? 144 gpd.

I (we) declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct to the best of my (our) knowledge and belief.

Dated: June 29, 20 04, at Half Moon Bay, California

Ed Schmitt

(650)726-4405

Signature(s)

Telephone No.

NOTE: A \$50 FEE MADE PAYABLE TO THE State Water Resources Control Board must accompany a petition for an extension of time. An \$850 fee made payable to the Department of Fish and Game must accompany all but the first petition for an extension of time.

Coastside County Water District

SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

9. Since the last extension the following construction and related activities have taken place:
- a. 1994 – completion of preliminary engineering investigation by District Engineer James S. Teter for construction of a booster pump to increase transmission capacity of water from Denniston tanks throughout the District's distribution system entitled "Preliminary Engineering Investigation, Denniston Booster Pump Station", March 1994;
 - b. 1997 – completion of preliminary engineering work by District Engineer James S. Teter on the facilities which need to be constructed for diversion of water from San Vicente Creek;
 - c. 1998 – completion of feasibility study by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants of potential for increasing peak capacity of Denniston water treatment plant from 700 gpm to 1,350 gpm;
 - d. 1999 – completion of pilot membrane filtration study by Kennedy/Jenks Consultants entitled "Draft, Denniston Water Treatment Plan, UF/MF Membrane Filtration, Pilot Study Report, July 1999";
 - e. 2003 – permit issued by California Coastal Commission for coastal development permit to construct first phase of El Granada Transmission Pipeline, the main artery between the northern and southern portions of the District's transmission infrastructure, and a necessary component of the transmission capacity increases necessary to make full beneficial use of water from project;
 - f. June, 2004 – commencement of construction – El Granada Transmission Pipeline
10. The costs for items 9.a through 9.d, above, are approximately \$85,000-\$105,000.
13. Reasons why construction and/or use of water were not completed within time previously allowed:
- a. Effect of drought on water usage. Droughts occurred during the 1976-1977 and 1988-1990. During these periods of low water availability, the District enacted mandatory water rationing. The District's customers adopted water conservation measures because of water rationing, and the result was to reduce usage per capita even during periods when water rationing was not in effect.

- b. **Growth Limitations.** Both San Mateo County and the City of Half Moon Bay have adopted growth control measures that have dramatically reduced the overall rates of growth and development within the service area of the Denniston Project. In conjunction with such growth and development restrictions, both the County of San Mateo and City of Half Moon Bay have adopted Local Coastal Program policies that require phasing of utility infrastructure, including water production, treatment and transmission capacity increases, to basically correspond to and be in phase with the levels of development anticipated and planned for in the respective Local Coastal Programs. Nevertheless, because steady (albeit slow) increases in development and corresponding population increases within the District are expressly planned for and contemplated by the Local Coastal Programs, and because of the escalating costs and uncertainty as to the long-term reliability of water imported from the San Francisco PUC, the ability to fully utilize local supplies, including the Denniston Project, will be critical to meeting the District's long-term water supply needs.
- c. **Treatment Plant and Transmission Capacity Limitations.** In order to make beneficial use of the water authorized by this permit, the following infrastructure improvements will be required: (1) increase capacity of Denniston Treatment Plant; (2) increase demand caused by the addition of new connections within the service area; (3) completion of the El Granada and Carter Hill West pipelines, to increase transmission capacity from Denniston to the southern end of the distribution system.

Because the level of growth and development within the District's service area will continue at its slow and steady pace for the foreseeable future, the District will need to make full beneficial use of the 4,0 cfs diversion amount authorized by the above-referenced permit within the next 10-12 years. The construction of facilities necessary to make full beneficial use will be gradually phased in over the course of that period in order to coincide with projected growth levels and corresponding increases in demand.

14. The District has adopted 13 of 15 Best Management Practices (BMPs) recommended by the American Water Works Association and Association of California Water Agencies. A listing of the adopted BMPs is attached.

15. The District is in the process of attempting to quantify the amount of water conserved using the listed conservation measures.

**REVISED Attachment 3
Summary of Current Conservation Programs
Coastside County Water District**

Description of Conservation Activity
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)
Water Budgets (CA BMP 5)
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates (CA BMP 9)
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)
Financial Incentives for Complying with Water Use Budget
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)
Metering with Commodity Rates (CA BMP 4)
Conservation Coordinator (CA BMP 12)
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)

¹ Measure not evaluated in model.