

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

DIVISION OF WATER RIGHTS

**IN THE MATTER OF PERMITS 12947A, 12949, 12950, AND 16596
(APPLICATIONS 12919A, 15736, 15737, 19351)**

SONOMA COUNTY WATER AGENCY

SOURCES: Dry Creek and Russian River

COUNTIES: Sonoma and Mendocino Counties

ORDER APPROVING TEMPORARY URGENCY CHANGE

BY THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR WATER RIGHTS:

1.0 SUBSTANCE OF TEMPORARY URGENCY CHANGE PETITION

On April 9 2012, the Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA) filed a Temporary Urgency Change Petition (TUCP) with the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) requesting approval of a change to the subject permits pursuant to California Water Code section 1435. The TUCP requests the following temporary modifications to the Russian River instream flow requirements as required by the September 24, 2008 National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Russian River Biological Opinion (Biological Opinion) for the improvement of juvenile salmonid habitat:

- (1) From May 1 through October 15, 2012, instream flow requirements for the Upper Russian River (from its confluence with the East Fork of the Russian River to its confluence with Dry Creek) be reduced from 185 cubic feet per second (cfs) to 125 cfs. The minimum instream flow requirement for the Upper Russian River will be implemented as a 5-day running average of average daily stream flow measurements, with the stipulation that instantaneous stream flows will be no less than 110 cfs. This provision will allow SCWA to manage stream flows with a smaller operational buffer, thereby facilitating the attainment of the flow conditions that the Biological Opinion has concluded are conducive to the enhancement of salmonid habitat.
- (2) From May 1 through October 15, 2012, instream flow requirements for the Lower Russian River (downstream of its confluence with Dry Creek) be reduced from 125 cfs to 70 cfs.

No changes to the instream flow requirements for Dry Creek are requested.

2.0 BACKGROUND

In 1986, State Water Board Decision 1610 (Decision 1610) set instream flows that the State Water Board concluded would benefit both fishery and recreation uses and which would "preserve the fishery and recreation in the river and in Lake Mendocino to the greatest extent possible while serving the needs of the agricultural, municipal, domestic, and industrial uses which are dependent upon the water."

(Decision 1610 at p. 21.) The State Water Board also concluded in Decision 1610 that additional fishery studies should be done. (Decision 1610 at pp. 26-27.)

Under the federal Endangered Species Act, steelhead, coho salmon, and Chinook salmon in the Russian River watershed are listed as threatened or endangered species. In accordance with the requirements of section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, NMFS, SCWA, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) participated in a consultation process involving studies to determine whether the water supply and flood control operations of the Russian River (including the operations authorized under the subject permits) are likely to harm the survival and recovery of these listed fish species. The 2008 NMFS Biological Opinion includes summaries of the studies, analyses of the project impacts, and a determination that the flows set by Decision 1610 no longer benefit both fishery and recreation uses. More specifically, summer flows in the Upper Russian River and Dry Creek required by Decision 1610 are too high for optimal juvenile salmon and steelhead habitat within the Russian River system. According to the Biological Opinion, two types of issues are associated with the summer flows required by Decision 1610: 1) the flows create current velocities that limit the amount of freshwater rearing habitat available to salmon and steelhead; and 2) the flow release requirements deplete the cold water pool in Lake Mendocino, contributing to relatively high water temperatures which reduce the quality of available rearing habitat.

The Biological Opinion also concluded that the historical practice of breaching the sandbar at the mouth of the Russian River during the summer and fall adversely affects the estuarine rearing habitat for these listed species. NMFS concluded in the Biological Opinion that management of the estuary as a seasonal freshwater lagoon could improve conditions for juvenile salmon and steelhead and required SCWA to adopt "adaptive management" practices in the estuary. Minimum instream flows required by Decision 1610 result in flows into the estuary that make it difficult to maintain a freshwater lagoon while preventing flooding of adjacent properties.

Without the requested modifications to the instream flow requirements, the summer time flows required by Decision 1610 will continue to jeopardize the recovery of coho salmon and steelhead in the Russian River and its tributaries. The Biological Opinion required SCWA to file a petition with the State Water Board to improve conditions for listed species by seeking long term reductions in the minimum Russian River instream flow requirements contained in SCWA's existing water rights permits. The Biological Opinion also contains the following requirement:

To help restore freshwater habitats for listed salmon and steelhead in the Russian River estuary, SCWA will pursue interim relief from D1610 minimum flow requirements by petitioning the SWRCB for changes to D1610 beginning in 2010 and for each year prior to the permanent change to D1610. These petitions will request that minimum bypass flows of 70 cfs be implemented at the USGS gage at the Hacienda Bridge between May 1 and October 15, with the understanding that for compliance purposes SCWA will typically maintain about 85 cfs at the Hacienda gage. For purposes of enhancing steelhead rearing habitats between the East Branch [Fork] and Hopland, these petitions will request a minimum bypass flow of 125 cfs at the Healdsburg gage between May 1 and October 15. NMFS will support SCWA's petitions for these changes to D1610 in presentations before the SWRCB.

One of the species listed under the federal Endangered Species Act (coho salmon) is also listed under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). The California Department of Fish and Game (DFG) has issued a consistency determination, in which it determined that the incidental take statement issued to SCWA by NMFS in connection with the Biological Opinion was consistent with the provisions and requirements of CESA.

SCWA's TUCP involves the following permits:

- Permit 12947A is for year-round direct diversion of 92 cubic feet per second (cfs) from the Russian River and storage of 122,500 acre-feet per annum (afa) in Lake Mendocino.

- Permit 12949 is for year-round direct diversion of 20 cfs from the Russian River at the Wohler and Mirabel Park Intakes near Forestville.
- Permit 12950 is for direct diversion of 60 cfs from the Russian River at the Wohler and Mirabel Park Intakes from April 1 through September 30 of each year.
- Permit 16596 is for year-round direct diversion of 180 cfs from the Russian River and storage of 245,000 afa in Lake Sonoma from October 1 of each year to May 1 of the succeeding year.

With the TUCP, SCWA submitted a document titled, "Sonoma County Water Agency, Instream Flow Analysis for 2012 Temporary Urgency Change Petition" (Analysis) dated April 2012. The Analysis provides: (1) a summary of minimum instream flows required under Decision 1610; (2) an assessment of current water supply conditions of the Russian River System; (3) a summary of the Biological Opinion; and (4) a summary of the criteria for approving a TUCP. The Analysis indicates that, unlike the TUCPs filed by SCWA in 2004, 2007 and 2009, which requested reductions in minimum instream flow requirements in response to low storage levels in Lake Mendocino, the TUCP filed in 2012, like the TUCPs filed in 2010 and 2011, is needed in order to benefit threatened and endangered fish species by allowing flows consistent with those specified in the Biological Opinion.

Following is the language contained in SCWA's permits regarding minimum instream flow requirements:

Term 20 of SCWA's Permit 12947A states:

For the protection of fish and wildlife, and for the maintenance of recreation in the Russian River, permittee shall pass through or release from storage at Lake Mendocino sufficient water to maintain:

- (A) A continuous stream flow in the East Fork Russian River from Coyote Dam to its confluence with the Russian River of 25 cfs at all times.
- (B) The following minimum flows in the Russian River between the East Fork Russian River and Dry Creek:

- (1) During normal water supply conditions when the combined water in storage, including dead storage, in Lake Pillsbury and Lake Mendocino on May 31 of any year exceeds 150,000 af or 90 percent of the estimated water supply storage capacity of the reservoirs, whichever is less:

From June 1 through August 31	185 cfs
From September 1 through March 31	150 cfs
From April 1 through May 31	185 cfs

- (2) During normal water supply conditions and when the combined water in storage, including dead storage, in Lake Pillsbury and Lake Mendocino on May 31 of any year is between 150,000 af or 90 percent of the estimated water supply storage capacity of the reservoirs, whichever is less, and 130,000 af or 80 percent of the estimated water supply storage capacity of the reservoirs, whichever is less:

From June 1 through March 31	150 cfs
From April 1 through May 31	185 cfs

If from October 1 through December 31,
storage in Lake Mendocino is less than 30,000 acre-feet 75 cfs

- (3) During normal water supply conditions and when the combined water in storage, including dead storage, in Lake Pillsbury and Lake Mendocino on May 31 of any

year is less than 130,000 af or 80 percent of the estimated water supply storage capacity of the reservoirs, whichever is less:

- | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| From June 1 through December 31 | 75 cfs |
| From January 1 through March 31 | 150 cfs |
| From April 1 through May 31 | 185 cfs |
- (4) During dry water supply conditions 75 cfs
- (5) During critical water supply conditions 25 cfs
- (C) The following minimum flows in the Russian River between its confluence with Dry Creek and the Pacific Ocean to the extent that such flows cannot be met by releases from storage at Lake Sonoma under Permit 16596 issued on Application 19351:
- | | |
|---|---------|
| (1) During normal water supply conditions | 125 cfs |
| (2) During dry water supply conditions | 85 cfs |
| (3) During critical water supply conditions | 35 cfs |

For the purposes of the requirements in this term, the following definitions shall apply:

- (1) Dry water supply conditions exist when cumulative inflow to Lake Pillsbury beginning on October 1 of each year is less than:
- | |
|-----------------------------------|
| 8,000 acre-feet as of January 1 |
| 39,200 acre-feet as of February 1 |
| 65,700 acre-feet as of March 1 |
| 114,500 acre-feet as of April 1 |
| 145,600 acre-feet as of May 1 |
| 160,000 acre-feet as of June 1 |
- (2) Critical water supply conditions exist when cumulative inflow to Lake Pillsbury beginning on October 1 of each year is less than:
- | |
|-----------------------------------|
| 4,000 acre-feet as of January 1 |
| 20,000 acre-feet as of February 1 |
| 45,000 acre-feet as of March 1 |
| 50,000 acre-feet as of April 1 |
| 70,000 acre-feet as of May 1 |
| 75,000 acre-feet as of June 1 |
- (3) Normal water supply conditions exist in the absence of defined dry or critical water supply conditions.
- (4) The water supply condition designation for the months of July through December shall be the same as the designation for the previous June. Water supply conditions for January through June shall be predetermined monthly.
- (5) Cumulative inflow to Lake Pillsbury is the calculated algebraic sum of releases from Lake Pillsbury, increases in storage in Lake Pillsbury, and evaporation from Lake Pillsbury.
- (6) Estimated water supply storage space is the calculated reservoir volume below elevation 1,828.3 feet in Lake Pillsbury and below elevation 749.0 feet in Lake

Mendocino. Both elevations refer to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929. The calculation shall use the most recent two reservoir volume surveys made by the U. S. Geological Survey, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, or other responsible agency to determine the rate of sedimentation to be assumed from the date of the most recent reservoir volume survey.

Term 17 of both Permit 12949 and Permit 12950 require SCWA to allow sufficient water to bypass the points of diversion at the Wohler and Mirabel Park Intakes on the Russian River to maintain the following minimum flows to the Pacific Ocean:

- | | |
|---|---------|
| (1) During normal water supply conditions | 125 cfs |
| (2) During dry water supply conditions | 85 cfs |
| (3) During critical water supply conditions | 35 cfs |

Term 13 of Permit 16596 sets forth the following minimum flows for Dry Creek and the Russian River:

(A) The following minimum flows in Dry Creek between Warm Springs Dam and its confluence with the Russian River:

(1) During normal water supply conditions:

- | |
|---|
| 75 cfs from January 1 through April 30 |
| 80 cfs from May 1 through October 31 |
| 105 cfs from November 1 through December 30 |

(2) During dry or critical water supply conditions:

- | |
|---|
| 25 cfs from April 1 through October 31 |
| 75 cfs from November 1 through March 31 |

(B) The following minimum flows in the Russian River between its confluence with Dry Creek and the Pacific Ocean, unless the water level in Lake Sonoma is below elevation 292.0 feet with reference to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929, or unless prohibited by the United States Government:

- | | |
|---|---------|
| (1) During normal water supply conditions | 125 cfs |
| (2) During dry water supply conditions | 85 cfs |
| (3) During critical water supply conditions | 35 cfs |

Note: Permits 12949, 12950, and 16596 use the same water-year classification definitions as those listed in Permit 12947A. The water year classifications (Normal, Dry or Critical) were established in Decision 1610 and are based on cumulative inflow into Lake Pillsbury beginning October 1.

3.0 COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

SCWA has determined that the change is categorically exempt under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). SCWA found that the change meets the Class 1, 6, 7, and 8 exemption criteria. The State Water Board has reviewed the information submitted by the SCWA and has made its own independent finding that the TUCP is categorically exempt under CEQA. A Class 7 exemption "consists of actions taken by regulatory agencies as authorized by state law or local ordinance to assure the maintenance, restoration, or enhancement of a natural resource where the regulatory process involves procedures for protection of the environment." (Cal. Code Regs, tit. 14, § 15307.) The proposed action will assure the maintenance of a natural resource, i.e., the instream resources of the Russian River, by increasing the availability and improving the quality of steelhead and salmon rearing habitat in the Upper Russian River and more closely mimicking natural inflow to the estuary

between late spring and early fall, thereby possibly enhancing the potential for maintaining a seasonal freshwater lagoon that could support increased production of juvenile steelhead. A Class 8 exemption "consists of actions taken by regulatory agencies, as authorized by state or local ordinance, to assure the maintenance, restoration, enhancement, or protection of the environment where the regulatory process involves procedures for protection of the environment." (*Id.*, § 15308.) The proposed action will assure the maintenance of the environment in the same way as stated for the Class 7 exemption. According to NMFS, the proposed action is necessary to avoid jeopardizing the continued existence of coho salmon, listed as an endangered species under the Endangered Species Act and CESA, and steelhead, listed as a threatened species under the Endangered Species Act. The proposed action also will conserve water in Lake Mendocino to benefit adult Chinook salmon migrating upstream in the fall.

The proposed action consists of the operation of existing facilities involving negligible or no expansion of use beyond that existing, and accordingly is categorically exempt from CEQA under a Class 1 exemption, which specifically includes maintenance of streamflows to protect fish and wildlife resources. (*Id.*, § 15301, subd. (i).) The proposed action will be within the existing operational parameters established by Decision 1610. The proposed action does not request and will not expand the water supply available to SCWA for consumptive purposes.

In addition, a Class 6 exemption "consists of basic data collection, research, experimental management, and resource evaluation activities which do not result in a serious or major disturbance to an environmental resource. These [activities] may be . . . part of a study leading to an action which a public agency has not yet approved, adopted or funded." (*Id.*, § 15306.) The water quality and fishery information and data collected during the period that the proposed action is in effect will assist with the study and development of future long-term changes to Decision 1610 instream flow requirements required by the Biological Opinion, for which a separate petition is pending.

4.0 PUBLIC NOTICE OF THE TUCP

On April 25, 2012 the State Water Board issued and delivered to SCWA, a notice of the TUCP. Any interested person may file an objection to the temporary change with the State Water Board and the State Water Board shall give prompt consideration to any objection. Pursuant to Water Code section 1438, subdivision (b)(1), SCWA is required to publish the notice in a newspaper having a general circulation, and that is published within the counties where the points of diversion lie. The State Water Board has posted the notice of the temporary urgency change and the TUCP (and accompanying materials) on its website. The State Water Board also distributed the notice through an electronic notification system. Pursuant to Water Code section 1438, the State Water Board may issue a temporary change order in advance of the required notice.

5.0 CRITERIA FOR APPROVING THE PROPOSED TEMPORARY URGENCY CHANGE

Water Code section 1435 provides that a permittee or licensee who has an urgent need to change the point of diversion, place of use, or purpose of use from that specified in the permit or license may petition for a conditional temporary change. The State Water Board's regulations set forth the filing and other procedural requirements applicable to TUCPs. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, §§ 805, 806.) The State Water Board's regulations also clarify that a TUCP for a permit or license other than a change in point of diversion, place of use, or purpose of use may be filed, subject to the same filing and procedural requirements that apply to changes in point of diversion, place of use, or purpose of use. (*Id.*, § 791, subd. (e).)

Before approving a temporary urgency change, the State Water Board must make the following findings:

1. the permittee or licensee has an urgent need to make the proposed change;
2. the proposed change may be made without injury to any other lawful user of water;
3. the proposed change may be made without unreasonable effect upon fish, wildlife, or other instream beneficial uses; and

4. the proposed change is in the public interest.
(Wat. Code, § 1435, subd. (b)(1-4).)

5.1 Urgency of the Proposed Change

Under Water Code section 1435, subdivision (c), an "urgent need" means "the existence of circumstances from which the board may in its judgment conclude that the proposed temporary change is necessary to further the constitutional policy that the water resources of the state be put to beneficial use to the fullest extent of which they are capable and that waste of water be prevented . . ." However, the State Water Board shall not find the need urgent if it concludes that the petitioner has failed to exercise due diligence in petitioning for a change pursuant to other appropriate provisions of the Water Code.

In this case, an "urgent need" for the proposed changes exists within the meaning of section 1435, subdivision (c). The proposed temporary changes are "necessary to further the constitutional policy that the water resources of the state be put to beneficial use to the fullest extent of which they are capable and that waste of water be prevented" within the meaning of section 1435, subdivision (c). As described in the Biological Opinion, the changes will improve habitat for the listed species by reducing instream flow and increasing storage for later fishery use, without unreasonably impairing other beneficial uses, thus maximizing the use of Russian River water resources. Moreover, given the listings of Chinook salmon, coho salmon, and steelhead under the federal Endangered Species Act, there is a need for prompt action. In this case, there has been an extensive analysis of the needs of the fishery, fishery experts agree that instream flows appear to be too high, and the change will not affect the ability of SCWA to deliver water for approved beneficial uses in its service area.

As stated above, beginning in 2010, SCWA will request temporary changes each year until long term changes to Decision 1610 are approved. This is expected to take 6 to 8 years, during which SCWA, DFG, Corps, NMFS, and the State Water Board will coordinate efforts to evaluate the impacts of flow regime changes on water supply, water quality, fisheries, recreation, and other uses and resources of the Russian River watershed. Potential water supply and stream flow regulation alternatives under consideration by these agencies cannot be fully analyzed based on the limited available information at this point in time; meaning a period of study and assessment is prudent to evaluate the effects of long term changes to Decision 1610.

5.2 No Injury to Any Other Lawful User of Water

Under this Order, SCWA will be required to maintain specific flows in the Russian River from its most upstream point of diversion to the river's confluence with the ocean. Therefore, it is anticipated that all SCWA water contractors and other legal users of water will receive the water to which they are entitled during the reduced flows specified in this Order. Pursuant to Water Code section 1439, the State Water Board shall supervise diversion and use of water under this temporary change order for the protection of all other lawful users of water and instream beneficial uses.

5.3 No Unreasonable Effect upon Fish, Wildlife, or Other Instream Beneficial Uses

This Order is based upon the analysis contained in the Biological Opinion, which has as its primary purpose improving conditions for the fishery resources. Improved conditions that result from this Order will be threefold. First minimum instream flows lower than those required by Decision 1610 would result in improved salmon and steelhead rearing habitat in the mainstem Russian River. Second, lowering instream flows will result in conservation of a cold water pool in Lake Mendocino which would allow for cooler water temperatures in the Upper Russian River, improved freshwater rearing habitat quality, and enhanced management of the flows in early fall for the benefit of fish migration. Third, the proposed reduced minimum flow requirements could encourage formation of a closed or perched lagoon at the mouth of the Russian River and therefore noticeably enhance the salmonid estuarine rearing habitat, while also conserving water and minimizing impacts to other river resources.

It is possible that reduced flows in the Russian River may impair some instream beneficial uses, principally recreation use. However, since 2004, Russian River flows have frequently been managed at decreased levels, both under Decision 1610 and under other temporary urgency change orders. Notwithstanding lower flows, Russian River recreation has continued. Accordingly, although recreation uses may be affected, given the analysis in the Biological Opinion and the potential impacts to fisheries that could occur if the TUCP were not approved, any impact on recreation for this summer is reasonable under the circumstances.

SCWA collects water quality and fishery information and data during the period that the flow reductions are in effect. These monitoring activities are summarized in annual reports intended to evaluate whether and to what extent the reduced flows caused any impacts to water quality and availability of aquatic habitat for salmonids. This information serves to inform the review and approval of the TUCP and the State Water Board's continuing supervision of the diversion and use of water under this temporary change order pursuant to Water Code section 1439. In addition, this information will assist with the study and development of future long-term changes in the Decision 1610 instream flow requirements mandated by NMFS, for which a separate petition is pending.

SCWA also strives to make water available for reasonable beneficial use and to preserve instream values by continuing to work on water use efficiency. As part of this goal, SCWA continues to work with its Water Contractors to achieve SBx7-7's goal of reducing per capita water use 20 percent by the year 2020. Additionally, the majority of SCWA's Water Contractors require their dedicated irrigation customers be assigned a water budget designed to achieve a maximum applied water allowance of 60 percent ETo, which exceeds the State's Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance requirements.

Pursuant to Water Code section 1439, the State Water Board shall supervise diversion and use of water under this temporary change order for the protection of all other lawful users of water and instream beneficial uses.

5.4 The Proposed Change is in the Public Interest

As discussed above, the sole purpose of this Order is to improve conditions for listed Russian River salmonid species, as determined necessary by NMFS and DFG. Approval of SCWA's TUCP to reduce instream flows to benefit the fishery will also maintain storage levels in Lake Mendocino for a longer period of time so that the water is available in the fall for fishery purposes.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The State Water Board has adequate information in its files to make the evaluation required by Water Code section 1435.

I conclude that, based on the available evidence:

1. The permittee has an urgent need to make the proposed change;
2. The petitioned change will not operate to the injury of any other lawful user of water;
3. The petitioned change will not have an unreasonable effect upon fish, wildlife, or other instream beneficial uses; and
4. The petitioned change is in the public interest.

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED THAT: the TUCP filed by Sonoma County Water Agency for Permits 12947A, 12949, 12950, and 16596 is approved, in part.

All existing terms and conditions of the subject permits remain in effect, except as temporarily amended by the following provisions:

1. From the date of this Order until October 15, 2012, minimum flows in the Russian River, as specified in Term 20 of Permit 12947A, Term 17 of Permits 12949 and 12950, and Term 13 of Permit 16596, shall be modified as follows:
 - Minimum instream flow in the Upper Russian River from its confluence with the East Fork of the Russian River to its confluence with Dry Creek shall be 125 cfs; and
 - Minimum instream flow in the Russian River from its confluence with Dry Creek to the Pacific Ocean shall be 70 cfs as measured at the U.S. Geological Survey gage located at Hacienda Bridge, with the understanding that SCWA will typically maintain approximately 85 cfs at the gage to provide an operational buffer.

For purposes of compliance with this term, the minimum instream flow requirement that applies to the Upper Russian River will be implemented on a 5-day running average of average daily stream flow measurements, with the stipulation that instantaneous stream flows will be no less than 110 cfs. Minimum instream flow requirements in the Russian River from its confluence with Dry Creek to the Pacific Ocean shall be met on an instantaneous flow basis.

2. SCWA shall monitor and record daily numbers of adult Chinook salmon moving upstream past the Mirabel inflatable dam beginning no later than September 1, 2012, and continuing through at least November 15, 2012.
3. If adult Chinook salmon can enter the Russian River estuary, SCWA shall monitor numbers of adult Chinook salmon in representative deep pools in the Lower Russian River downstream of the Mirabel inflatable dam on a weekly basis beginning September 15, 2012, and ending when 200 fish have passed Mirabel Dam, when sustained flows in the Russian River at Hacienda Bridge are greater than 125 cfs, or on November 15, 2012, whichever is earliest.
4. SCWA shall monitor numbers of adult Chinook salmon at known spawning sites and in representative deep pools in the Upper Russian River (Lake Mendocino to Healdsburg) on a weekly basis after the number of adult Chinook salmon counted at Mirabel Dam exceeds 200 fish. Weekly surveys will continue until November 15, 2012, or when sustained flow at Healdsburg is above 185 cfs, whichever is earlier.
5. SCWA shall monitor juvenile salmonids and other native fishes by snorkel survey at six sites in the Upper main stem Russian River (upstream of Mirabel) between August 2012 and September 15, 2012, when suitable visibility conditions exist. Snorkel survey sites will correspond to those locations monitored by SCWA in 2010 and 2011.
6. Consistent with the requirements of the Biological Opinion, SCWA shall monitor downstream movement of juvenile salmonids in Dry Creek and the main stem Russian River at Mirabel Dam and monitor and record juvenile salmonid population and life history data at the Russian River Estuary (when river conditions permit safe monitoring).
7. SCWA shall report to NMFS and DFG every two weeks regarding the fisheries monitoring activities specified in Terms 2 through 6 of this Order. Consistent with the Biological Opinion, SCWA shall consult with NMFS and DFG regarding any necessary adaptations to the monitoring program including revisions to Terms 2 through 6. Upon consultation with NMFS and DFG, any

necessary revisions to Terms 2 through 6 shall be made upon approval by the State Water Board's Deputy Director for Water Rights (Deputy Director). Reporting of fisheries monitoring tasks described in Terms 2 through 6 shall be submitted to the Deputy Director by April 1, 2013 in accordance with NMFS and DFG annual reporting requirements as more fully described in the Biological Opinion.

8. SCWA shall prepare a Water Quality Monitoring Plan (Monitoring Plan) for the Russian River in consultation with: (1) the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board; (2) the United States Geological Survey; (3) NMFS; and (4) the Division of Water Rights. The objectives of the Monitoring Plan should be to provide information to evaluate potential changes primarily to water quality, but also to the availability of aquatic habitat for salmonids, resulting from the proposed long term reduction of Decision 1610 minimum instream flows required by the Biological Opinion. At a minimum, the following water quality parameters in the Monitoring Plan should be evaluated: water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, specific conductivity, bacteria, nutrients, and algae. Furthermore, the Monitoring Plan should build upon previous water quality studies that have been conducted in the Russian River and the estuary water quality monitoring required by the Biological Opinion, include a Quality Assurance Project Plan or description of an existing quality assurance protocol to be followed, and provide information to support the development of a CEQA document required for permanent changes to Decision 1610. Additionally, a completed Monitoring Plan that is adequate for use in future monitoring seasons will ensure collection of a continuous, comparable, and comprehensive data set. A Monitoring Plan developed in consultation with the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, the United States Geological Survey, and NMFS shall be submitted to the Deputy Director for approval within 28 days of the date of this Order, and SCWA shall immediately implement the Monitoring Plan upon submittal.
9. SCWA shall summarize all data collected during the 2012 water quality monitoring program. The summary report shall include an evaluation of whether, and to what extent, the reduced flows authorized by the Order caused any impacts to water quality, including any water quality impacts affecting the availability of aquatic habitat for salmonids and recreation. The report shall be submitted to the Deputy Director by March 31, 2013.
10. This Order does not authorize any act that results in the taking of a threatened or endangered species, or any act that is now prohibited, or becomes prohibited in the future, under either the California Endangered Species Act (Fish and Game Code sections 2050 to 2097) or the federal Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C.A. sections 1531 to 1544). If a "take" will result from any act authorized under this Order, the permittee shall obtain authorization for an incidental take permit prior to construction or operation. Permittee shall be responsible for meeting all requirements of the applicable Endangered Species Act for the temporary urgency change authorized under this Order.
11. The State Water Board reserves jurisdiction to supervise the temporary urgency change under this Order, and to coordinate or modify terms and conditions, for the protection of vested rights, fish, wildlife, instream beneficial uses and the public interest as future conditions may warrant.
12. SCWA shall continue to work with agricultural Russian River water users to pursue opportunities that will result in improved management of the Russian River by better anticipating periods of high water demand. SCWA shall provide a written update to the Deputy Director regarding the progress of these efforts by March 31, 2013.
13. SCWA shall provide a written update to the Deputy Director by March 31, 2013 regarding activities and programs being implemented by SCWA and its Water Contractors to assess and reduce water loss and promote increasing water use efficiency.

14. SCWA shall provide a written update to the Deputy Director regarding the progress of the Santa Rosa Plain Groundwater Management Planning Program by March 31, 2013. The update shall include a discussion of: (1) progress being made towards implementation of groundwater recharge in the Santa Rosa basin; and (2) efforts by SCWA and its Water Contractors to conjunctively manage surface water and groundwater resources within SCWA's service area. Such management should emphasize the conservation and replenishment of groundwater resources and utilization of available surface water supplies to the extent feasible.

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD



*Barbara Evoy, Deputy Director
Division of Water Rights*

Dated: **MAY 02 2012**

