

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

In the Matter of: )  
)  
)  
Public Hearing to Consider Water Right )  
Applications 31487 and 31488 filed by )  
the United States Bureau of Reclamation )  
and Petitions to Change License 3723 )  
(Application 5169) of Washoe County )  
Water Conservation District, License )  
4196 (Application 9247) of Truckee )  
Meadows Water Authority, and Permit )  
11605 (Application 15673) and License )  
10180 (Application 18006) of the United )  
States Bureau of Reclamation Truckee )  
River Watershed )  
~~~~~ )

JOE SERNA JR./CALEPA BUILDING

1001 I STREET

COASTAL HEARING ROOM

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTER'S CORRECTED PAGES 180-182

WEDNESDAY, JULY 21, 2010

9:04 A.M.

LINDA KAY RIGEL, CSR  
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER  
LICENSE NUMBER 13196

1 those issues.

2 MR. PALMER: When you say "they", who are you  
3 referring to.

4 MR. RIEKER: The Truckee-Carson Irrigation  
5 District who operates the canal under contract for us.

6 MR. PALMER: So whose responsibility is it to  
7 take care of that maintenance issue?

8 MR. RIEKER: The Truckee-Carson Irrigation  
9 District per the contract.

10 MR. PALMER: Thank you.

11 I have no further questions of Mr. Rieker.

12 CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Mr. Palmer? Any  
13 redirect?

14 MR. DePAOLI: Thank you.

15 --o0o--

16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DePAOLI

17 --o0o--

18 MR. DePAOLI: Mr. Blanchard, Mr. Van Zandt  
19 asked you some questions about Floriston rates and what  
20 Floriston rates could satisfy.

21 Is the Floriston rate flow, the 500 cfs flow  
22 during the irrigation season, sufficient to meet the  
23 diversion rate that's allowed into the Truckee Canal  
24 under Claim No. 3?

25 MR. BLANCHARD: Not all the time. It is

1 sufficient to meet all the upstream demands, but the  
2 water that makes it through the system down to the  
3 Truckee Canal is not always sufficient to meet the OCAP  
4 allowable diversions, anyway.

5 MR. DePAOLI: And if it's not sufficient to  
6 meet the OCAP allowable diversions, would it ever have  
7 been sufficient to meet the number that's actually in  
8 Claim No. 3, the 1500 cfs?

9 MR. BLANCHARD: No, it would not.

10 MR. DePAOLI: No further questions.

11 CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Thank you.

12 Mr. Van Zandt, any recross?

13 MR. VAN ZANDT: Just maybe a couple here for  
14 Mr. Blanchard.

15 --o0o--

16 RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. VAN ZANDT

17 --o0o--

18 MR. VAN ZANDT: Following up on what Mr.  
19 DePaoli had just asked you. The rate of diversion for  
20 the Newlands Project, it can vary month-to-month; isn't  
21 that correct under the OCAP?

22 MR. BLANCHARD: Correct.

23 MR. VAN ZANDT: And though there may be  
24 available water, say in the spring, there may be some  
25 limits on the amount of water that TCID can divert into

1 the project, even though you're making rates but TCID's  
2 only allowed to take, you know, a limited amount of  
3 water into the project, right?

4 MR. BLANCHARD: Yes.

5 MR. VAN ZANDT: Then later on, say in the late  
6 summer when water availability drops off, you may be  
7 able to make rates; but there's so much demand in the  
8 river, you know, up above or you have to pass water past  
9 Derby Dam, that now there's insufficient water to meet  
10 the OCAP diversions levels, right?

11 MR. BLANCHARD: Yes, that's correct.

12 MR. VAN ZANDT: Okay. Thank you.

13 CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: Thank you.

14 Recross Mr. Mackedon?

15 MR. MACKEDON: No.

16 CO-HEARING OFFICER DODUC: So that completes  
17 the recross for these witnesses. Questions, Chair  
18 Hoppin? Staff? Mr. Murphey.

19 --o0o--

20 QUESTIONS FROM BOARD STAFF

21 --o0o--

22 ENGINEERING GEOLOGIST MURPHEY: I had a  
23 question for Mr. Blanchard about just general operations  
24 of reservoirs and rediversions.

25 I notice there's roughly about 60-some-odd-plus

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, Linda Kay Rigel, Certified Shorthand Reporter  
in and for the State of California, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing pages 180-182 are corrected  
pages in the above-captioned proceedings; that the name  
of the witness on these pages which had been  
inadvertently transcribed as Rieker should have been  
transcribed as Blanchard.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name  
on November 6, 2010.

LINDA KAY RIGEL, CSR  
Certified Shorthand Reporter  
License No. 13196