

Mona, Ernie@Waterboards

From: Tauriainen, Andrew@Waterboards
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 7:41 AM
To: Unit, Wr_Hearing@Waterboards
Cc: Jeanne Zolezzi; kharrigfeld@herumcrabtree.com; Janelle Krattiger; Stefanie Morris (smorris@swc.org); O'Hanlon, Daniel; Akroyd, Rebecca@KMTG; Philip Williams (pwilliams@westlandswater.org); Herrick, John @aol.com; 'Jennifer Spaletta' (jennifer@spalettalaw.com); ngmplcs@pacbell.net; "Dante Nomellini, Jr." (dantejr@pacbell.net); Jonathan Knapp (jonathan.knapp@sfgov.org); Valerie Kincaid; McGinnis, Robin C.@DWR; Dan Kelly (dkelly@somachlaw.com)
Subject: WSID Draft CDO Hearing - Proposed Deadlines

The Prosecution Team sent emails to the parties on the afternoon of October 27, and again on the morning of October 29, seeking conference on the following proposed deadlines:

1. December 9, 2015, noon: Receipt and service of proposed Case-in-Chief, including testimony, exhibits, lists of exhibits, qualifications, and statements of service.
2. January 6, 2016, noon: Receipt and service of proposed Rebuttal testimony, exhibits, lists of exhibits, qualifications, and statements of service.

The Department of Water Resources, State Water Contractors, and Westlands Water District responded around noon on October 29, agreeing with these proposed deadlines and also proposing that written policy statements/openings and legal briefs be due on January 4, 2015.

In response to the DWR, SWC and WWD proposed January 4 deadline, the Prosecution Team suggests that opening briefs should be due with the case-in-chief deadline, rebuttal briefs due with the rebuttal deadline, and any pre-hearing legal motions due no later than January 4 at noon, with opposition due no later than January 8 at noon.

Counsel for WSID responded around 3:30pm on October 29, disagreeing with the Prosecution Team's proposed deadlines and proposing instead a case-in-chief deadline of 20 business days after completion of all depositions and full production under public records act requests, and a rebuttal deadline of 20 business days after the case-in-chief deadline. WSID's proposal is not possible because WSID, BBID, CDWA and SDWA have noticed depositions starting November 12, and continuing until at least November 25, which are well within 40 business days of commencement of the hearing. On that basis, the Prosecution Team disagrees with WSID's proposal.

The Prosecution Team has not heard from any other party regarding these proposed deadlines. Prosecution Team counsel is in meetings the rest of this morning, and is unavailable for further conference on the proposed deadlines.

Andrew Tauriainen, Attorney III
State Water Resources Control Board
Office of Enforcement
1001 I Street, 16th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
tel: (916) 341-5445
fax: (916)341-5896
atauriainen@waterboards.ca.gov

***CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is

prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.