

DRAFT SACCWIS Meeting Minutes
July 5, 2011

- Introductions
- Overview of Agenda
- April 8 minutes approved
- Action Item – DWP’s request for change to implementation schedule
 - Dominic Gregorio presentation
 - Board considered amendment, but tabled it pending a recommendation from SACCWIS
 - Summarized proposed OTC policy amendment
 - public comment deadline today
 - Interim requirements
 - Jonathan Bishop presentation
 - Summarized proposed resolution
 - SACCWIS to make a recommendation to State Board
 - Unit by unit compliance is better approach than grouping them
 - ask for additional information if it is needed
 - IAWG presentation (See slides)
 - information from reviewing plan
 - limited time to review
 - review of state energy policy
 - SB1368 (2006)
 - CA Clean Energy Future (Sept 2010)
 - AB32 Scoping plan
 - Governor Brown Jobs/Energy plan
 - SB1x-2 (2011) codifies 33% renewables by 2020
 - LADWP implementation plan
 - Harbor 5-6 are required to accelerate repowering by SCAQMD
 - backout of coal power pursued
 - “rate shock” is an issue (want to avoid)
 - repowering schedule for other LADWP units are substantially delayed
 - analysis of LADWP plan (see slides)
 - Conclusion
 - LADWP plan still under development
 - many uncertainties
 - have not demonstrated need to make changes
 - other options to large-scale powerplants and they need to be considered.
- LADWP Presentation
 - reduced # of units expect to eliminate another 3
 - LA required to provide contingency and replacement reserves
 - LA does not have excess reserve capacity on its system
 - no corridors for overhead transmission

- amendment cannot be considered in isolation
 - have to work with other regulatory mandates and initiatives.
- increase demand for electric power
- slides Timeframe 2014-2020
- amendment ensures local and system reliability
- eventually all LA plants will be off OTC
- Discussion
 - Oglesby:-need additional work to address uncertainties
 - LADWP:-working on cooperative solutions
 - Millar:-close gap between available information and presentation
 - LADWP: type of technology when going through replacement, demonstrated capabilities for some, don't know type of cooling tower-some still needs to be analyzed.
 - Millar: concern about reliability in between shut down and reliability of new
 - LADWP: dovetailing of schedule, see executive summary 6 and 7
 - Millar: important to understand what drives some delays
 - Corey: CHP energy efficiency
 - LADWP: goal 10% by 2016, depend on funding depend on DG. Capacity and backup reserves.
 - goal: energy available where and when needed.
 - maintain equipment and contingencies
 - Fitch: Can CEQA permitting, planning be done in conjunction?
 - LADWP: cannot order equipment until CEQA approved, need to start construction within five years
- Sean Backwell?
 - Coast Keeper
 - concerns to proposal
 - need further details on grid reliability, wants postponement
 - fails to consider other options
 - cost should not be an issue for SACCWIS-just grid reliability
- David Nelson
 - Coastal Alliance on Plant Expansion
 - echo coast keeper's comments
 - facts don't uphold extra 2 decades of OTC
 - Morro Bays was done 7 years ago by CEC
 - If make exceptions for LADWP make it only to them.
 - Morro Bay has taken 12 years now want to extend further.
- Angela Kelly-NRDC
 - submitted letter on Friday
 - p17 cost is not a factor in feasibility
 - charge of SACCWIS
 - Sec3a)1)
 - realistic schedules, as short as possible.
 - LADWP does not address this

- too many “To be determined” statements
- detailed unit by unit analysis is needed to determine if extension is warranted.
- 2nd number 4 clause should be adopted
- 1st clause of 4 is contradictory
- delete clause number 5
- Sean Beatty –GenOn
 - Operates power plants
 - language in proposed amendment a concern
 - asked for Track 2 compliance schedule
 - is too specific to LADWP
 - OTC would still occur at his plants after 2020
 - treat us fairly – hope it does not affect those other than LADWP
 - Just use table of extensions not language.
- Julie Gill - Southland
 - concern w/ section C of proposed language
 - supports extension of time
- Oglesby: -dilemma, uncertainty of market
 - skepticism of others
 - difficult to make decisions through 2025 without a snapshot
 - 5 year review allows for progress to be made and further analytical work
 - IAWG frustrated with lack of info
 - recommend Water Board require an update on implementation plan
- Millar: -plan put forward would be reliable
 - do reliability concerns necessitate additional 15 years?
 - case can be made but have to consider IAWG concerns
- Fitch: -need really strong justification
 - challenges mentioned by LADWP same as other face.
 - updated plan sooner rather than approve 15 year extension.
 - how to implement in the fastest feasible way
- Oggins: -too soon to be asking for extensions
 - support IAWG recommendation
- Corey: -if strike first number for and the
- Millar: -update by LADWP by year end.
- IAWG – 4a is an accurate statement.
 - 4b needs to be more clear.
- SACCWIS revised language of proposed resolution (see final edits)
- Revisions
 - 1 opposed (Oglesby) rest in favor
- Jon Bishop will present item to the Board at the July 19 meeting
- Dominic: update on Merchant Generator Implementation plans
 - see slides

- 24 of 25 units will meet deadlines
- 11 requested extensions
- Public comments
 - David Nelson (CAPE)
 - lacks substance – Morro Bay timeline example
 - sucks all water through one unit.
- Adjourn