

**commentletters**

---

**From:** Diane Lesser <dmlesser@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 04, 2015 9:37 AM  
**To:** commentletters  
**Subject:** Comment Letter-Emergency Conservation Regulations



Dear Commissioner:

I am the owner of the residence of 4 Hillside Lane, Rolling Hills, CA. I am writing in response to the proposed water use reduction of 36% which is intended to be applied to properties within the city of Rolling Hills.

We have already undertaken multiple water conservation measures in response to the drought. We have removed three sections of lawn replacing one with artificial grass and substantial expense and the other with a bark mulch area. We no longer have any natural lawn in the back of our home. We have installed drip irrigation in all areas of our property where such watering is feasible. We have ordered and will be installing a pool cover for our pool to drastically reduce evaporation, notwithstanding that such cover will likely also drastically reduce our usage of the pool.

Despite all of the above measures, we do not believe it will be possible for us to achieve a 36% reduction in water usage. Any further reduction will cause a major impairment to our property value. We believe that we are being responsible citizens in response to the drought, but that mandating a 36% reduction in Rolling Hills when the statewide reduction is set at 25% is overly Draconian and confiscatory. We urge you to revisit the mandated reduction level for Rolling Hills and set it at the 25% mandate for the state.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

David I. Lesser