

ATTACHMENT 1

<p>COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEM:</p>	<p>“D” for 11 January 2011</p>
<p>ACTION NEEDED:</p>	<p><i>Consider Whether the LSJR Committee is Capable of Preparing an Adequate Section of the Basin Plan Amendment Describing the Existing and Background Water Quality for the Basin Plan Amendment for Salinity and Boron on the San Joaquin River</i></p>
<p>BACKGROUND:</p>	<p>The LSJR Committee will be requested to provide input on several issues during the development of the Substitute Environmental Document (SED) or staff report for CEQA compliance. One of the sections that will be requested will be a description of the “Existing and Background Water Quality”. There a number of reports available on SJR water quality but the LSJR Committee needs to be clear in this section on what it wants developed including such things as seasonal variability, history of violations and a description of water quality by reaches.</p>
<p>ISSUES:</p>	<p>Does the LSJR Committee feel there is adequate information available to prepare a description of existing and previous water quality for salinity and boron, including trends?</p> <p>What issues would the LSJR Committee like to see covered in such a document (seasonality, history of violations, water quality by reach, changes over time in water quality, etc)?</p> <p>Is the LSJR Committee capable of preparing the baseline description of existing and previous water quality for salinity and boron that is needed for the SED or staff Report?</p> <p>Is the LSJR Committee capable of managing the preparation of a water quality description for the basin that is needed for the SED or staff Report?</p> <p>What sources of information would the LSJR Committee feel comfortable in using for such a write-up?</p> <p>Do the LSJR Committee members see any controversy that is likely to arise during the preparation of such a document? How would the LSJR Committee settle differences?</p> <p>How do the LSJR Committee members want to proceed?</p>