Administrative Civil Liability (ACL) and Cleanup and Abatement Actions Issued February 2016:

a. ACL Complaint(s) Issued

Region	Discharger/Facility	Violation(s) Addressed	ACL Complaint Amount	Date Issued
		WATER QUALITY		
1	Forestville Water District / Wastewater Treatment, Reclamation, and Disposal Facility	Effluent limit violations (7/2008 – 12/2015: coliform organisms, biochemical oxygen demand, copper, cyanide, nitrate, dichlorobromomethane)	\$210,000 (MMP)	1/26/2016
2	MDI Forest Products, LLC / Log-Shipping Facilities	Discharge of 406,000 gallons polluted stormwater; failure to implement and maintain minimum stormwater best management practices	\$176,000 (min: \$17,100; max: \$4,110,000)	2/17/2016
6	Aramark Sports and Entertainment Services, LLC, and Travel Systems, LLC / Tahoe Queen	Discharge of approximately 4 gallons of hydraulic oil to Lake Tahoe	\$4,983 (min: \$4,983; max: \$10,000)	2/19/2016
	WATER RIGHTS—A	O ACL COMPLAINTS ISSUED FEBRUARY	2016	

b. ACL Order(s) Issued

Region	Discharger/Facility	Violation(s) Addressed	ACL Order Amount	Date Issued
		WATER QUALITY		
2	Phillips 66 Company / San Francisco Refinery at Rodeo	Effluent limit violations (2/2014 – 2/2015: chlorine)	\$9,000 (MMP—all to CAA)	2/18/2016
3	Carmel Area Wastewater District	Discharge of approximately 4,500 gallons of raw sewage from collection system to surface water drainage course	\$12,461.63 (CAA: \$6,230.82; SEP: \$6,230.81; max: \$ 44,500.00)	2/11/2016
OE (3)	City of San Luis Obispo / Wastewater Treatment Plant	Effluent limit violations (chlorine, total coliform organisms, dissolved oxygen, dichlorobromomethane, oil and grease)	\$30,000 (MMP—all to CAA)	2/19/2016
5	The Morning Star Packing Company, L.P. / Tomato Packing Plant	Unauthorized discharge of tomato waste material from unpermitted, expanded cooling and settling ponds to groundwater;	\$1,500,000 (all to WDPF; min: \$226,135; max: >\$266,974,560)	2/18/2016

c.Cleanup and Abatement Order(s) Issued

Region	Discharger/Facility	Action(s) Required	Date Issued
4	Linn Energy / Placerita Oil Field	Submit technical discharge report regarding August 2015 discharge; develop, submit, and implement a site assessment work plan to assess, characterize, and delineate the extent of wastes in soil and groundwater; develop and implement remedial action plan for waste that originated on-site;	2/12/2016
5	CMO, Inc. / Mitchel Lease, Chico- Martinez Oil Field	By 4/15/2016, submit a work plan and time schedule to determine whether the discharge can comply with regulations that would allow for issuance of WDRs by 10/31/2016, including identification of all owners of surface and mineral rights at the site, hydrogeological site characterization, monitoring well installation, soil and groundwater monitoring in accordance with companion monitoring and reporting program, water supply well survey; if a release to groundwater or soils has occurred, characterization of the nature and extent of the release, groundwater remediation program; submit quarterly technical reports documenting completion of work plan activities; cease discharge if WDRs not obtained by 12/31/2016	2/11/2016
5	Valley Water Management Company / Kern Front No. 2. Facility, Kern Front Oil Field	By 4/22/2016, submit a work plan and time schedule to determine whether the discharge can comply with regulations that would allow for issuance of WDRs by 10/31/2016, including identification of all owners of surface and mineral rights at the site, hydrogeological site characterization, monitoring well installation, soil and groundwater monitoring in accordance with companion monitoring and reporting program, water supply well survey; if a release to groundwater or soils has occurred, characterization of the nature and extent of the release, groundwater remediation program; submit quarterly technical reports documenting completion of work plan activities; cease discharge if WDRs not obtained by 12/31/2016	2/22/2016
5	Little Creek Properties, Inc., and Daybreak Oil and Gas, Inc. / Black Satin Lease and Salisbury Lease, Mount Poso Oil Field	determine whether the discharge can comply with regulations that would allow for issuance of WDRs by 10/31/2016, including identification of all owners of surface and mineral rights at the site, hydrogeological site characterization, monitoring well	

City of Alhambra Consent Judgment

On January 19, 2016, the Los Angeles Superior Court entered a consent judgment for the Los Angeles Regional Water Board against the City of Alhambra and issued a civil penalty of \$2,617,040 for the discharge of millions of gallons of raw sewage into the state's waters. Of the total fine, \$1,882,040 is suspended pending completion of specified sanitary sewer gravity system capital improvements, lift station replacement and rehabilitation projects, sewer main repair projects with priority ratings of "major" or "severe", video analysis of the collection system, and

submission of status reports. The remaining \$795,000, less the cost of an acceptable asset management software system (not to exceed \$70,914.66), must be paid into the Cleanup and Abatement Account. Alhambra violated the terms of the statewide General Waste Discharge Requirements for Sanitary Sewer Systems by discharging raw sewage on five separate occasions, from March 2011 to July 2013, into storm drain systems that led to the Los Angeles and Rio Hondo rivers, as well as other water bodies. In one instance, a sewer line was accidentally connected to a storm drain, funneling 6,084,529 gallons of raw sewage into the Laguna Wash.