## APPENDIX S

Field and Laboratory Operations

# FIELD AND LABORATORY OPERATIONS 

## Sample Collection

Sample collections were obtained using a Smith-Root Model VII and Model XIA Portable Electrofishers; a Smith-Root SR-16E electrofishing boat; variable mesh, woven, and monofilament gill nets; baited hoop nets measuring three feet in diameter with one inch square mesh; or beach seines of varying lengths, widths, and material. Collected fish were kept in clean stainless steel buckets until they could be double-wrapped in extra-heavy duty aluminum foil (dull side inward), labeled, and packed in dry ice where they were frozen.

## Laboratory Analysis

A detailed description of procedures and techniques discussed below can be found in the Department of Fish and Game's (DFG) Laboratory Quality Assurance Program Plan (DFG 1990). The following is a summary of the 1994-95 Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA|QC) results provided by the DFG's Water Pollution Control Laboratory. Copies of the Laboratory Quality Assurance Program Plan and QAIQC results are available upon request.

## Trace Elements Analytical Techniques in Tissues

A Varian Model Spectra 300 atomic absorption spectrophotometer was used for techniques employing conventional (flame) atomic absorption spectrophotometry (copper and zinc). A Varian Model VGA-76 Hydride Generator was used for hydride generation atomic absorption spectrophotometry (arsenic and selenium), and cold vapor technique for mercury (Adrian 1971; Uthe et al. 1974; and Evans et al. 1986). A Perkin-Elmer Model 3030 Zeeman atomic absorption spectrophotometer equipped with a HGA-600 graphite furnace and an AS-60 autosampler was used for techniques requiring a graphite furnace (cadmium, chromium, nickel, lead, and silver). All analytical values were corrected using procedural blanks. Trace element analytical and digestion techniques along with their detection limits are presented in Table S-1. All digestion techniques, except for mercury, are the same as those used since 1988.

Samples were weighed into pre-cleaned $200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ glass tubes which had been checked for trace element contamination. Digestion of the sample was accomplished by adding concentrated nitric acid and heating the tube in an aluminum block to reflux the acid. The acid was allowed to reflux until the evolution of $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ (brown fumes) was no longer apparent (about 2 hours). The block temperature was increased to reduce the volume in the tube by evaporation. When the volume in the tube reached about 0.5 ml the tube was removed and allowed to cool. The digestate was diluted to 40.0 ml with $1 \%$ nitric acid solution. The digestate was mixed on a vortex mixer and transferred to a clean polyethylene bottle.

In addition to routine trace element analyses, 10 percent of the samples were analyzed in duplicate to determine precision. The results of duplicate laboratory sample analyses are presented in Table S-2. To protect sample integrity, all materials contacting samples during laboratory operations were analyzed for trace element content. To ensure accuracy, reference materials from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) and the National Research Council of Canada were analyzed (Table S-3).

## Synthetic Organic Compounds Analytical Techniques in Tissues

A 10 gram sample of the flesh-water (1:1) paste was spiked with a mixture of 4,4'dibromooctafluorobiphenyl, decachlorobiphenyl and dibutylchlorendate (DBOB, DCB, and DBCE) and extracted twice with acetonitrile by shaking for two minutes. The decachlorobiphenyl (DCB) was used as an internal standard to determine relative retention times and as a surrogate to determine analyte recovery of the Florisil ${ }^{R}$ F1 compounds. DBOB was used to check the analyte recovery of the F2 compounds but was found to elute with the F1 compounds. DBCE was used to check the analyte recovery of the F3 compounds. The sample extracts were combined, filtered, and partitioned with petroleum ether. An aliquot of the petroleum ether extract was eluted through a Florisil ${ }^{R}$ column. The Florisil ${ }^{R}$ columns were eluted with petroleum ether (Fraction 1), six percent ethyl ether (Fraction 2), and 15 percent ethyl ether (Fraction 3). Fractions 2 and 3 were spiked with DCB and all of the fractions were concentrated to an appropriate volume in a Zymark ${ }^{R}$ Turbovap concentrator prior to analysis by gas chromatography. The DCB was used as an internal standard to determine relative retention times and gas chromatograph operation. A mixture of synthetic standards was eluted through the Florisil ${ }^{R}$ column to determine the recovery and separation characteristics of the column. The distribution of synthetic organic compounds in the fractions are listed in Table S-4.

At stations where the TSMP had previously detected endosulfan, samples were analyzed for endosulfan I, endosulfan II, and endosulfan sulfate. This required an additional elution through Florisil ${ }^{R}$ with 50 percent ethyl ether in petroleum ether (Fraction 4, Table S-4). All other stations were initially analyzed for endosulfan I only. This fraction was also spiked with DCB prior to the concentration step. Due to the high lipid content of the fraction all of the 50 percent extracts were diluted with iso-octane by a factor of ten prior to analysis by gas chromatography. The detection levels for synthetic organics in flesh are presented in Table S-5.

In 1994, a solution containing known concentrations of target analytes was added to a fish sample to assess accuracy and matrix effects. In 1995, a matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate were analyzed. Percent recoveries of the target analytes are listed in Table S-6.

Ten percent of the samples were analyzed in duplicate (Table S-7). All materials and solutions contacting the sample were analyzed for organic contamination. To preclude errors due to contamination, a vertical solvent blank analyzed for each set of glassware before introducing a new sample.

## Synthetic Organic Compounds Analytical Techniques in Sediment

The sediment sample was spiked with the DBOB, DCB and DBCE solution. After adding approximately 200 ml of a $1: 1$ solution of acetone in dichloromethane, the sample was placed on a Lab-Line Orbit Shaker and shaken for two hours at 400 rpm. This step was repeated after the sample was filtered. After evaporating and exchanging solvents, the sample extract was eluted through a Florisil ${ }^{R}$ column as was done with tissue samples.

Synthetic organic compound concentrations in sediments are reported on a dry weight basis. The moisture content of sediments can widely vary. The detection limit is dependent on sample size, therefore, the detection limit varies with moisture content. Table S-8 lists the detection limits for the sediment sample analyzed in 1994. Sediments were not analyzed in 1995.

## Instrument and Analytical Conditions for Chlorinated Hydrocarbons

Chlorinated hydrocarbons were determined with a Varian Model 3500 gas chromatograph equipped with a model 8035 autosampler, temperature programmable on-column injector, and dual $\mathrm{Ni}^{63}$ electron capture detectors. A 5 meter J\&W DB5 fused silica capillary pre-column is connected to the temperature programmable injector, the column effluent is split using a press-fit "Y" connector to a 60 meter J\&W DB5 and a 60 meter J\&W DB17 column. The DB5 and DB17 columns are connected to the electron capture detectors. All three columns have a 0.25 mm ID and a 25 um liquid phase thickness. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a linear velocity of $35 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ and nitrogen was used as the detector makeup gas at a flow of $25 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Chromatographic data were acquired and processed with a Hewlett-Packard ChemStation, version A.03.02.

All samples were analyzed using a single injection for each extract under the following conditions:

Injector temperature program:
Initial temperature $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Program rate $-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$
Final temperature $-280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Final temperature hold time - 70 min

Column temperature program:
Initial temperature $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Program rate $1-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $210^{\circ}$
Program 1 hold time - 10 min
Program rate $2-2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Final temperature hold time - 11 min

Detector temperature: $330^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## Analytical Techniques for Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon Compounds (PAHs) in Flesh

A 20 gram tissue sample was dried with sodium sulfate, spiked with deuterated PAH compounds and extracted with dichloromethane. Sample extracts were cleaned up using gel permeation chromatography followed by alumina and silica gel chromatography.

Sample extracts were analyzed using a Varian Saturn II lon Trap GC-MS. One microliter of sample extract was injected into a J\&W Scientific DB-5MS, 30 meter x 0.25 mm I.D. fused silica capillary column having a 0.25 um film thickness. The GC oven temperature was initially held at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two minutes.

The temperature ramp was $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per minute until the oven reached $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The second temperature ramp was $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per minute to a final temperature of $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and held for 5 minutes. Initial injector temperature was $70^{\circ}$ and was programmed to $280^{\circ}$ at $300^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$ immediately after injection. The GC carrier gas was helium at a linear velocity of $37 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}$. Detection limits of the PAHs are reported in Table S-9.

## Procedure for Lipid Determination

As synthetic organic concentrations in organisms may vary with lipid content, it is customary to provide lipid data when reporting tissue concentrations. A thoroughly homogenized sample weighing approximately 5 g (wet weight) is macerated and dried with anhydrous granular $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The dried sample is transferred to a blender with 150 ml of petroleum ether and blended for two minutes at high speed. The liquid is vacuum-filtered into a 250 ml filter flask through a 10 cm Buchner funnel containing Whatman \#1 filter paper. The sample is blended once more with an additional 150 ml of petroleum ether and filtered. The filtrate is concentrated to approximately 25 ml with heat (steam bath) and nitrogen steam. The remaining filtrate is then quantitatively transferred into a 50 ml pre-weighed planchet. The petroleum ether is evaporated, the planchet containing the residue is reweighed, and the percent lipid is calculated.

## TABLE S-1

Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
1994-95 Digestion Techniques and Detection Limits in Fish Tissue

| Element | Detection Limits Digestion Techniques | Instrumental Analysis | (ug/g wet weight) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arsenic | Dry Ash w/Mg( $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ Reduction A.A. | 0.05 |
| Mercury | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ reflux | Cold Vapor A.A. | 0.02 |
| Copper | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ reflux | Flame A.A. or Graphite Furnace | 0.02 |
| Zinc | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ reflux | Flame A.A. | 0.05 |
| Cadmium | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ reflux | Graphite Furnace <br> (Ammonium phosphate/magnesium nitrate) | 0.01 |
| Chromium | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ reflux | Graphite Furnace | 0.02 |
| Lead | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ reflux | Graphite Furnace <br> (Ammonium phosphate/magnesium nitrate) | 0.1 |
| Nickel | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ reflux | Graphite Furnace | 0.1 |
| Selenium | Dry Ash w/Mg( $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ Reduction A.A. | 0.05 |
| Silver | $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ reflux | Graphite Furnace | 0.02 |

TABLE S-2
Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Results of Duplicate Sample Analysis: 1994 Trace Metal Quality Control
(ug/g wet weight)

| Station <br> Number | Station Name | Species Code* | Tissue | Arsenic | Cadmium | Chromium | Copper | Lead | Mercury | Nickel | Selenium | Silver | Zinc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 204.10.00 | San Francisco Bay | PHG | $0$ | 0.42 |  |  |  |  | <0.02 |  | $0.88$ |  |  |
| $204.10 .00$ | San Francisco Bay | PHG | $0$ | 0.43 |  |  |  |  | $<0.02$ |  | $0.88$ |  |  |
| 603.20 .36 | Pleasant Valley Reservoir | BN | L |  |  | <0.02 | 210 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 0.82 | 26 |
| 603.20.36 | Pleasant Valley Reservoir | BN | L |  |  | <0.02 | 200 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 0.83 | 26 |
| 603.20 .36 | Pleasant Valley Reservoir | BN | F | 0.06 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.34 | <0.1 | 0.32 |  |  |
| 603.20.36 | Pleasant Valley Reservoir | BN | F | 0.05 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.34 | <0.1 | 0.30 |  |  |
| 628.20 .13 | Mojave River | AC | W | 0.12 |  |  |  |  | 0.07 |  | $0.16$ |  |  |
| 628.20 .13 | Mojave River | AC | W | 0.11 |  |  |  |  | 0.06 |  | $0.17$ |  |  |
| $114.22 .90$ | Santa Rosa Cr/Willowside Rd. | SKR | F | 0.06 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.13 | <0.1 | 0.16 |  |  |
| $114.22 .90$ | Santa Rosa Cr/Willowside Rd. | SKR | F | 0.05 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.14 | <0.1 | 0.16 |  |  |
| 723.10 .01 | Alamo River/Calipatria | CCF | F |  | <0.01 |  |  |  |  | <0.1 |  |  |  |
| 723.10.01 | Alamo River/Calipatria | CCF | F |  | <0.01 |  |  |  |  | <0.1 |  |  |  |
| 544.00 .90 | San Joaquin River/Mossdale | CP | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.81 |  |  |
| 544.00 .90 | San Joaquin River/Mossdale | CP | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.84 |  |  |
| 114.11.23 | Russian River/Wohler Bridge | SKR | W |  | <0.01 | 0.74 | $0.73$ | $<0.1$ |  | 1.0 |  | <0.02 | 19 |
| 114.11.23 | Russian River/Wohler Bridge | SKR | W |  | 0.01 | 0.90 | 0.67 | <0.1 |  | 1.0 |  | <0.02 | 18 |
| 801.26.03 | Anza Channel | FHM | W | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 1.6 | <0.1 | 0.02 | <0.1 | 0.53 | <0.02 | 35 |
| 801.26.03 | Anza Channel | FHM | W | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 1.6 | <0.1 | 0.02 | <0.1 | 0.55 | <0.02 | 34 |
| 801.71 .12 | Big Bear Lake/Rathbone Creek | LMB | F | <0.05 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.21 | <0.1 | 0.12 |  |  |
| 801.71.12 | Big Bear Lake/Rathbone Creek | LMB | F | <0.05 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.20 | <0.1 | 0.10 |  |  |
| 801.11 .07 | San Diego Creek/Michelson Drive | PRS | W | 0.08 | 0.07 | <0.02 | 1.1 | <0.1 | 0.03 | <0.1 | 1.6 | <0.02 | 49 |
| 801.11 .07 | San Diego Creek/Michelson Drive | PRS | W | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 1.1 | <0.1 | 0.03 | <0.1 | 1.6 | <0.02 | 51 |
| 801.25 .00 | Santa Ana River/Prado Dam | BB | L |  |  | <0.02 | 3.6 | <0.1 |  |  |  | <0.02 | 17 |
| 801.25 .00 | Santa Ana River/Prado Dam | BB | L |  |  | <0.02 | 3.8 | <0.1 |  |  |  | <0.02 | 17 |
| * Tables 3, 4, and 5 list code names for species. |  | L = Liver. |  |  | $F=$ Filet |  | W = Whole Body |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE S-2
Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Results of Duplicate Sample Analysis: 1994 Trace Metal Quality Control
(ug/g wet weight)

| Station <br> Number | Station Name | Species Code* | Tissue | Arsenic | Cadmium | Chromium | Copper | Lead | Mercury | Nickel | Selenium | Silver | Zinc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 904.61 .00 | San Elijo Lagoon/Central Basin | CKF | W | 0.30 | <0.01 | 0.05 | 1.3 | <0.1 | <0.02 | <0.1 | 0.33 | <0.02 | 23 |
| 904.61 .00 | San Elijo Lagoon/Central Basin | CKF | W | 0.31 | <0.01 | 0.05 | 1.3 | <0.1 | <0.02 | <0.1 | 0.35 | <0.02 | 24 |
| 904.21 .02 | Buena Vista Lagoon | LMB | F | 0.08 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.07 | <0.1 | 0.40 |  |  |
| 904.21 .02 | Buena Vista Lagoon | LMB | F | 0.11 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.07 | <0.1 | 0.40 |  |  |
| 801.25 .00 | Santa Ana River/Prado Dam | BH | F | <0.05 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.15 | <0.1 | 0.14 |  |  |
| 801.25 .00 | Santa Ana River/Prado Dam | BH | F | <0.05 | <0.01 |  |  |  | 0.16 | <0.1 | 0.15 |  |  |
| 728.00.90 | Salton Sea/South | TLZ | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.9 |  |  |
| 728.00 .90 | Salton Sea/South | TLZ | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.0 |  |  |
| 632.20 .00 | Indian Creek Reservoir | RBT | L |  |  | <0.02 | 150 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 1.1 | 28 |
| 632.20 .00 | Indian Creek Reservoir | RBT | L |  |  | <0.02 | 150 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 28 |
| 632.20 .00 | Indian Creek Reservoir | RBT | F | <0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.10 |  |  |
| 632.20 .00 | Indian Creek Reservoir | RBT | F | <0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.11 |  |  |
| 603.30 .05 | Haiwee Reservoir | LMB | F | 0.09 |  |  |  |  | 0.07 |  | 0.31 |  |  |
| 603.30 .05 | Haiwee Reservoir | LMB | F | 0.11 |  |  |  |  | 0.06 |  | 0.31 |  |  |
| 603.10 .16 | Mammoth Creek/d/s Murphy's Gulch | BN | L |  |  | <0.02 | 41 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 2.2 | 23 |
| 603.10 .16 | Mammoth Creek/d/s Murphy's Gulch | BN | L |  |  | <0.02 | 42 | <0.1 |  |  |  | 2.2 | 23 |
| 603.10 .16 | Mammoth Creek/d/s Murphy's Gulch | BN | F | 0.55 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.44 |  |  |
| 603.10.16 | Mammoth Creek/d/s Murphy's Gulch | BN | F | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.44 |  |  |

TABLE S-2
Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Results of Duplicate Sample Analysis: 1994 Trace Metal Quality Control
(ug/g wet weight)

| Station <br> Number | Station Name | Species Code* | Tissue | Arsenic | Cadmium | Chromium | Copper | Lead | Mercury | Nickel | Selenium | Silver | Zinc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 544.00.16 | Old River | RSF | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.37 |  |  |
| 544.00 .16 | Old River | RSF | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.37 |  |  |
| 405.13 .91 | Marina del Rey/Basin D | RSR | L |  |  | 0.08 | 5.8 | 0.13 |  |  |  | 0.19 | 16 |
| 405.13.91 | Marina del Rey/Basin D | RSR | L |  |  | 0.08 | 6.2 | 0.14 |  |  |  | 0.18 | 17 |
| 205.50 .06 | San Fransquito Creek | SKR | w |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 205.50 .06 | San Fransquito Creek | SKR | W |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE S-3
Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
1994-95 Trace Metal Analysis of Reference Materials (ug/g dry weight)*

| REFERENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MATERIAL** | AG | AS | $C D$ | CR | CU | HG | NI | PB | SE | ZN |
| NBS-1577a <br> (Bovine Liver) |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.059 \pm 0.022 \\ (0.047 \pm 0.006) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.71 \pm 0.05 \\ (0.71 \pm 0.07) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| DOLT-1 <br> (Dogfish Liver) |  | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \pm 1.4 \\ (10.1 \pm 1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.33 \pm 0.41 \\ (4.18 \pm 0.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.43 \pm 0.11 \\ (0.40 \pm 0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.8 \pm 1.2 \\ (20.8 \pm 1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.277 \pm 0.08 \\ (0.225 \pm 0.04) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.28 \pm 0.19 \\ (0.26 \pm 0.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.32 \pm 0.72 \\ (1.36 \pm 0.29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.39 \pm 0.41 \\ (7.34 \pm 0.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 91.9 \pm 11 \\ (92.5 \pm 2.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| DOLT-2 <br> (Dogfish Liver) |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.6 \pm 0.31 \\ & (16.6 \pm 1.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.6 \pm 1.2 \\ (20.8 \pm 0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.43 \pm 0.14 \\ (0.37 \pm 0.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.2 \pm 1.3 \\ (25.8 \pm 1.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.05 \pm 0.07 \\ (1.99 \pm 0.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.21 \pm 0.04 \\ (0.20 \pm 0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.26 \pm 0.08 \\ (0.22 \pm 0.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.40 \pm 0.16 \\ (6.06 \pm 0.49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.1 \pm 2.5 \\ (85.5 \pm 2.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| DORM-1 <br> (Dogfish Muscle) |  | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \pm 1.8 \\ (17.7 \pm 2.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.093 \pm 0.017 \\ (0.086 \pm 0.012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.72 \pm 0.49 \\ (3.60 \pm 0.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.98 \pm 0.62 \\ (5.22 \pm 0.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.746 \pm 0.10 \\ (0.798 \pm 0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.20 \pm 0.17 \\ (1.20 \pm 0.30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.42 \pm 0.14 \\ (0.40 \pm 0.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.52 \pm 0.10 \\ (1.62 \pm 0.12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \pm 2.3 \\ (21.3 \pm 1.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| NBS-1566a (Oyster) | $\begin{gathered} 1.54 \pm 0.12 \\ (1.63 \pm 0.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.1 \pm 0.67 \\ & (14.0 \pm 1.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.16 \pm 0.33 \\ (4.15 \pm 0.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.22 \pm 0.35 \\ (1.43 \pm 0.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.4 \pm 2.8 \\ (66.3 \pm 4.3) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.34 \pm 0.60 \\ (2.25 \pm 0.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.359 \pm 0.067 \\ (0.371 \pm 0.014) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 840 \pm 40 \\ (830 \pm 57) \end{gathered}$ |

[^0]TABLE S-4
Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Distribution of Synthetic Organic Compounds Among
Four Fractions of a Standard Florisil ${ }^{\text {R }}$ Column

| (0\%) Fraction 1 | (6\%) Fraction 2 | (15\%) Fraction 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCH, alpha* <br> aldrin <br> chlordene, alpha <br> chlordene, gamma <br> DDE, o, p' <br> DDE, p,p' <br> DDMU, p,p ${ }^{\prime *}$ <br> DDT, o, p' <br> DDT, p,p** <br> heptachlor <br> hexachlorobenzene <br> trans-nonachlor <br> PCB 1248 <br> PCB 1254 <br> PCB 1260 <br> methoxychlor <br> cis-nonachlor <br> toxaphene <br> endosulfan sulfate | HCH, alpha* <br> HCH, beta <br> HCH, gamma <br> HCH, delta <br> cis-chlordane <br> oxychlordane <br> trans-chlordane <br> chlorpyrifos <br> DDD, o, p' <br> DDD, p,p' <br> DDMU p, p'* <br> DDT, p,p** <br> dicofol (kelthane) <br> ethion <br> heptachlor epoxide <br> (50\%) Fraction 4 <br> endosulfan II | dacthal <br> diazinon <br> dichlorobenzophenone, p,p' <br> dieldrin <br> endosulfan I <br> endrin <br> malathion <br> oxadiazon <br> parathion, ethyl <br> parathion, methyl <br> tetradifon (tedion) |

[^1]TABLE S-5
Toxic Substances Monitoring Program Synthetic Organic Compounds Analyzed and Their Detection Limits in Flesh

| Compound ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$, ppb wet weight) | Detection Limit |
| :---: | :---: |
| aldrin | 5 |
| cis-chlordane | 5 |
| trans-chlordane | 5 |
| chlordene, alpha | 5 |
| chlordene, gamma | 5 |
| chlorpyrifos | 10 |
| dacthal | 5 |
| DDD, o,'p | 10 |
| DDD, p, p' | 10 |
| DDE, o, $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ | 10 |
| DDE, p, ${ }^{\prime}$ | 5 |
| DDMS, p,p' | 30 |
| DDMU,p,p' | 15 |
| DDT, o, ${ }^{\prime}$ | 10 |
| DDT, p, p' | 10 |
| diazinon | 50 |
| dichlorobenzophenone-p,p' | 30 |
| dicofol (Kelthane) | 100 |
| dieldrin | 5 |
| endosulfan I | 5 |
| endosulfan II | 70 |
| endosulfan sulfate | 85 |
| endrin | 15 |
| ethion | 20 |
| HCH, alpha | 2 |
| HCH , beta | 10 |
| HCH, gamma | 2 |
| HCH, delta | 5 |
| heptachlor | 5 |
| heptachlor epoxide | 5 |
| HCB | 2 |
| methoxychlor | 15 |
| cis-nonachlor | 5 |
| trans-nonachlor | 5 |
| oxadiazon | 5 |
| oxychlordane | 5 |
| parathion, ethyl | 10 |
| parathion, methyl | 10 |
| PCB 1248 | 50 |
| PCB 1254 | 50 |
| PCB 1260 | 50 |
| pentachlorophenol* | 2 |
| 2,3,5,6-tetrachlorophenol* | 2 |
| tetradifon (Tedion) | 10 |
| toxaphene | 100 |

[^2]TABLE S-6
Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Results of Matrix Spike Analyses: 1994-95 Organic Chemicals in Fish Tissue

| Compound | $\begin{gathered} 1994 \\ \text { Percent Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1995 \\ \text { Percent Recovery } \end{gathered}$ | 1995 Percent Recovery (duplicate) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aldrin | 67 | 59 | 70 |
| cis-chlordane | 92 | 73 | 95 |
| trans-chlordane | 81 | 72 | 94 |
| chlordene, alpha | 69 | 62 | 71 |
| chlordene, gamma | 65 | 62 | 63 |
| chlorpyrifos | 58 | 55 | 68 |
| dacthal | 99 | 100 | 110 |
| DDD, o,'p | 94 | 83 | 99 |
| DDD, $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ | 100 | 82 | 96 |
| DDE, o, ${ }^{\prime}$ | 69 | 71 | 62 |
| DDE, p, p' | 83 | 68 | 71 |
| DDMU, ${ }^{\text {, p }}$ ' | 80 | 63 | 76 |
| DDT, o, ${ }^{\prime}$ | 65 | 55 | 46 |
| DDT, $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ | 98 | 82 | 95 |
| diazinon | 96 | 84 | 96 |
| dichlorobenzophenone-p,p' | na | 96 | 110 |
| dicofol (Kelthane) | na | 48 | 51 |
| dieldrin | 110 | 100 | 110 |
| endosulfan I | 99 | 96 | 100 |
| endosulfan II | 110 | 120 | 120 |
| endosulfan sulfate | 110 | 120 | 120 |
| endrin | 120 | 100 | 120 |
| ethion | 49 | 37 | 46 |
| HCH, alpha | 64 | 63 | 74 |
| HCH, beta | 64 | 61 | 81 |
| HCH, gamma | 67 | 64 | 81 |
| HCH, delta | 46 | 65 | 80 |
| heptachlor | 50 | 38 | 42 |
| heptachlor epoxide | 70 | 74 | 94 |
| HCB | 66 | 50 | 50 |
| methoxychlor | 100 | 92 | 100 |
| cis-nonachlor | 100 | 82 | 98 |
| trans-nonachlor | 94 | 74 | 81 |
| oxadiazon | 62 | 100 | 110 |
| oxychlordane | 64 | 68 | 92 |
| parathion, ethyl | 88 | 82 | 95 |
| parathion, methyl | 73 | 59 | 67 |
| tetradifon (Tedion) | 110 | 100 | 120 |

na = Not analyzed.

TABLE S-7
Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Results of Duplicate Sample Analysis: 1994 Synthetic Organic Compounds Quality Control
( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ wet weight)


* Tables 3, 4, and 5 list code names for species.
< Below detection limit.


## TABLE S-7 (continued)

Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Results of Duplicate Sample Analysis: 1994 Synthetic Organic Compounds Quality Control
( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ wet weight)
Station Name
Station No.
Span Francisco Bay
Species
REPLICATE

* Tables 3, 4, and 5 list code names for species.
< Below detection limit.


## TABLE S-7 (continued)

Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Results of Duplicate Sample Analysis: 1995 Synthetic Organic Compounds Quality Control
( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ wet weight)


* Tables 3, 4, and 5 list code names for species.
< Below detection limit.


## TABLE S-7 (continued)

Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Results of Duplicate Sample Analysis: 1995 Synthetic Organic Compounds Quality Control ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$ wet weight)

| Station Name | Los Penasquitos Creek/u/s Highway l-805 |  | Los Penasquitos Creek |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station No. | 906.10 .10LMB |  | 906.10 .10 |  |
| Species* <br> REPLICATE |  |  |  |  |
| COMPOUNDS |  |  |  |  |
| cis-chlordane |  |  |  |  |
| cis-nonachlor |  |  |  |  |
| gamma-chlordene |  |  |  |  |
| oxychlordane |  |  |  |  |
| trans-chlordane |  |  |  |  |
| trans-nonachlor |  |  |  |  |
| chlorpyrifos |  |  |  |  |
| dacthal |  |  |  |  |
| DDD, o, ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| DDD, p, p' |  |  |  |  |
| DDE, o, $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| DDE, p, p' |  |  |  |  |
| DDT, $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| DDT, p, p' |  |  |  |  |
| DDMU, ${ }^{\text {, }}{ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| diazinon |  |  |  |  |
| dieldrin |  |  |  |  |
| endosulfan I |  |  |  |  |
| endosulfan II |  |  |  |  |
| endosulfan sulfate |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| alpha- HCH |  |  |  |  |
| gamma-HCH |  |  |  |  |
| heptachlor epoxide |  |  |  |  |
| hexachlorobenzene |  |  |  |  |
| oxadiazon |  |  |  |  |
| PCB 1248 |  |  |  |  |
| PCB 1254 |  |  |  |  |
| PCB 1260toxaphene |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| percent moisture | 78.6 | 78.5 | 78.3 | 78.6 |
| percent lipid | 0.240 | 0.157 | 0.296 | 0.133 |

* Tables 3, 4, and 5 list code names for species.
< Below detection limit.

TABLE S-8
Toxic Substances Monitoring Program
Sediment Detection Limits: 1994 Synthetic Organic Compounds
Compound
$(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{ppb}$ dry weight) Detection Limit
( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{g}$, ppb dry weight)
aldrin 0.70
cis-chlordane 1.2
cis-nonachlor 1.8
gamma-chlordene 0.81
oxychlordane 1.1
trans-chlordane 1.1
trans-nonachlor 0.70
chlorpyrifos 3.1
dacthal 1.5
DDD, o, p' 3.0
DDD, $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \quad 3.1$
DDE, o,p' 1.4
DDE, p,p' 1.4
DDT, o,p' 1.5
DDT, p,p' 1.8
DDMU,p,p' 2.7
diazinon 6.2
dieldrin 0.31
endosulfan I 0.27
endosulfan II 0.29
endosulfan sulfate 0.51
ethion 7.6
hexachlorobenzene 0.43
alpha- $\mathrm{HCH} \quad 0.57$
beta- $\mathrm{HCH} \quad 1.8$
gamma-HCH 0.84
heptachlor 0.66
heptachlor epoxide 1.2
oxadiazon 0.55
PCB 1248 14.0
PCB 1254 14.0
PCB 1260 14.0
toxaphene 70.0
percent moisture 43.6

## TABLE S-9

Toxic Substances Monitoring Program Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) Analyzed and Their Detection Limits in Flesh

| Compound | Detection Limit <br> (ng/g, ppb wet weight) <br> 1991 |
| :--- | :---: |
| naphthalene |  |
| 1-methylnaphthalene | 100 |
| 2-methylnaphthalene | 100 |
| biphenyl | 100 |
| 2,6-dimethylnaphthalene | 100 |
| acenaphthylene | 100 |
| acenaphthene | 100 |
| 2,3,5-trimethylnaphthalene | 100 |
| fluorene | 100 |
| phenanthrene | 100 |
| anthracene | 100 |
| 1-methylphenanthrene | 100 |
| fluoranthene | 100 |
| pyrene | 100 |
| benz[a]anthracene | 100 |
| chrysene | 100 |
| benzo[b]fluoranthene | 100 |
| benzo[kfluoranthene | 100 |
| benzo[e]pyrene | 100 |
| benzola]pyrene | 100 |
| perylene | 100 |
| indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 100 |
| dibenz[a,h]anthracene | 100 |
| benzo[ghi]perylene | 100 |
|  | 100 |

S-19


[^0]:    * Sample values are given first, followed by reference values in parentheses, both values include $95 \%$ confidence interval.
    ** NBS refers to the National Bureau of Standards; DOLT-1, DOLT-2, and DORM-1 are from the National Research Council of Canada.

[^1]:    * Found in both 0\% and 6\% fractions.

[^2]:    * Analyzed only when requested.

