

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of Apr. 1, 2015

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. These data report the status of these reviews for Fiscal Year 2014-2015. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	104	29	8	14	5
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	307	7	11	5	1
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	44	4	48	30	13
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	677	8	127	40	17
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	135	1	34	4	3
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	67	3	3	2	2
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	167	5	100	11	2
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	54	7	6	28	19
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	59	1	6	18	4
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	162	3	12	13	5
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	58	4	3	7	3
TOTALS	1,834	72	358	172	74

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	127	13	22	48	28
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	39	5	9	14	8
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	9	0	2	3	1
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	103	13	78	31	5
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	30	0	9	13	1
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	52	9	55	34	19
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	195	3	10	24	17
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	33	1	16	27	9
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	47	0	21	5	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	55	0	42	20	5
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	20	5	37	15	12
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	17	7	104	69	32
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	9	0	22	2	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	23	0	11	9	3
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	27	0	64	22	13
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	13	0	1	10	4
TULARE COUNTY LOP	27	1	7	8	4
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	826	57	510	354	161

Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	132	1	2	129	18	26	31	72
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	304	4	4	296	117	170	88	38
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	91	0	0	91	24	37	49	5
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	770	17	3	750	135	254	455	41
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	154	0	1	153	13	18	74	61
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	67	2	3	62	5	14	26	22
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	268	5	4	259	23	38	106	115
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	64	0	4	60	26	37	4	19
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	63	0	1	62	23	28	14	20
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	175	0	1	174	36	67	67	40
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	63	1	1	61	24	26	32	3
SWRCB-DWQ	61	14	0	47	40	41	6	0
UST CLEAN UP FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,212	44	24	2,144	484	756	952	436

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	149	1	4	144	34	53	90	1
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	50	0	2	48	21	40	4	4
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	9	0	0	9	6	8	1	0
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	192	0	7	185	40	57	121	7
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	37	0	0	37	0	1	22	14
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	114	0	11	103	62	78	15	10
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	198	1	4	193	44	47	139	7
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	41	0	0	41	14	16	21	4
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	65	0	4	61	21	38	21	2
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	88	0	0	88	22	37	50	1
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	60	1	9	50	9	12	38	0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	125	0	0	125	10	62	63	0
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	30	0	0	30	26	27	1	2
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	33	3	0	30	7	15	14	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	88	0	0	88	12	13	74	1
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	14	0	1	13	3	4	9	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	35	0	2	33	15	23	4	6
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

TOTALS	1,328	6	44	1,278	346	531	687	60
---------------	--------------	----------	-----------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	-----------

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Closure Ordered by SWRCBⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	153	22	925

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012ⁱⁱ	Petitions Resolvedⁱⁱⁱ Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ – PETITIONS	71	22	3	5	16	31	33	85	8

ⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

ⁱⁱⁱ The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.