

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND CLOSURES REPORT: May 7, 2013

All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, the Local Implementing Agencies, and the State Water Resources Control Board is compiled from GeoTracker, as of May 7, 2013. The data for the Division of Water Quality was compiled from internal tracking mechanisms as of May 7, 2013.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards:

| ORGANIZATION NAME | Open Cases as of 8/17/12 | Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP | Case Review for LTCP in Progress | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met | Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria | Cases Closed Since 8/17/12 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1) | 308 | 55 | 18 | 137 | 68 | 17 | 34 |
| SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2) | 293 | 64 | 27 | 165 | 24 | 2 | 16 |
| CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3) | 219 | 1 | 48 | 105 | 52 | 6 | 14 |
| LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4) | 1,238 | 295 | 20 | 639 | 198 | 62 | 88 |
| CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F) | 225 | 31 | 2 | 157 | 23 | 2 | 13 |
| CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R) | 76 | 39 | 4 | 23 | 2 | 1 | 11 |
| CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S) | 363 | 69 | 47 | 192 | 28 | 11 | 27 |
| LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T) | 136 | 30 | 71 | 13 | 16 | 3 | 8 |
| LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7) | 97 | 23 | 19 | 46 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8) | 237 | 96 | 41 | 75 | 18 | 1 | 7 |
| SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9) | 49 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| TOTALS | 3,241 | 719 | 310 | 1,563 | 438 | 108 | 229 |

Local Oversight Programs (LOP):

| ORGANIZATION NAME | Open Cases as of 8/17/12 | Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP | Case Review for LTCP in Progress | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met | Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria | Cases Closed Since 8/17/12 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP | 288 | 88 | 100 | 64 | 22 | 6 | 16 |
| EL DORADO COUNTY LOP | 18 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP | 102 | 52 | 22 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 12 |
| MERCED COUNTY LOP | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NAPA COUNTY LOP | 25 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| NEVADA COUNTY LOP | 17 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| ORANGE COUNTY LOP | 305 | 33 | 45 | 121 | 91 | 11 | 15 |
| RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP | 77 | 19 | 42 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
| SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP | 187 | 110 | 14 | 43 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP | 479 | 102 | 332 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 32 |
| SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP | 97 | 40 | 14 | 30 | 7 | 5 | 19 |
| SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP | 116 | 36 | 47 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 7 |
| SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP | 168 | 63 | 36 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP | 153 | 43 | 11 | 47 | 25 | 18 | 26 |
| SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP | 235 | 87 | 50 | 74 | 7 | 0 | 21 |
| SOLANO COUNTY LOP | 73 | 49 | 13 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| SONOMA COUNTY LOP | 145 | 8 | 16 | 100 | 14 | 3 | 10 |
| STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP | 35 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| TULARE COUNTY LOP | 68 | 36 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 2 |
| VENTURA COUNTY LOP | 72 | 0 | 25 | 18 | 18 | 5 | 12 |
| TOTALS | 2,890 | 816 | 785 | 634 | 248 | 68 | 219 |

Local Implementing Agencies (LIA):

| ORGANIZATION NAME | Open Cases as of 8/17/12 | Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP | Case Review for LTCP in Progress | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met | Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria | Cases Closed Since 8/17/12 |
|--|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| ALAMEDA COUNTY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ALAMEDA COUNTY WATER DISTRICT | 105 | 23 | 69 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| ANAHEIM, CITY OF | 17 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| BAKERSFIELD, CITY OF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BERKELEY, CITY OF | 41 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| BURBANK, CITY OF | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BUTTE COUNTY | 9 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL | 39 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| FULLERTON, CITY OF | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GILROY, CITY OF | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| GLENDALE, CITY OF | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HAYWARD, CITY OF | 38 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| KINGS COUNTY | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LONG BEACH, CITY OF | 22 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| LOS ANGELES COUNTY | 201 | 198 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| LOS ANGELES, CITY OF | 139 | 105 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 27 |
| MADERA COUNTY | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MONO COUNTY | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MONTEREY COUNTY | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ORANGE COUNTY | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| ORANGE, CITY OF | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PALO ALTO, CITY OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PASADENA, CITY OF | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PLACER COUNTY | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| PLUMAS COUNTY | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SAN BENITO COUNTY | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SAN JOSE, CITY OF | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO, CITY OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SANTA CRUZ COUNTY | 19 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| SANTA FE SPRINGS, CITY OF | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| SANTA MONICA, CITY OF | 15 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SHASTA COUNTY | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TORRANCE, CITY OF | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TUOLUMNE COUNTY | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VERNON, CITY OF | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 741 | 561 | 187 | 28 | 16 | 5 | 49 |

State Water Resources Control Board:

| ORGANIZATION NAME | Open Cases as of 8/17/12ⁱ | Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP | Case Review for LTCP in Progress | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met | Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met | Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteriaⁱⁱ | Cases Closed Since 8/17/12ⁱⁱⁱ |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND | 555 ^{iv} | 0 | 339 ^v | 21 | 65 | 15 | 125 |

| ORGANIZATION NAME | Active Petitions as of 8/17/12 | New Petitions Since 8/17/12 | Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012 | Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012 | Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012 | Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012 | Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012 | Petitions Resolved^{vi} Since 8/17/2012 | Active Petitions as of Reporting Date |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| DWQ – PETITIONS | 72 | 9 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 20 | 61 |

ⁱ DFA cases are already included above under the appropriate (lead) regulatory agency. The number of cases under review reflects both State Water Board closures and regulatory agency closures of the cases under the State Water Board review.

ⁱⁱ Cases ordered to close by the State Water Board. Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱⁱ Cases closed by regulatory agencies after 8/17/2012 that were planned for State Water Board closure.

^{iv} Total number of cases with 5-year reviews that had previously recommended the regulatory agency pursue closure based on Resolution No. 92-49 criteria as of 8/17/2012.

^v Includes cases that regulatory agencies have committed to pursue case closure prior to 8/17/2013.

^{vi} The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.