

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of June 1, 2015

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. These data report the status of these reviews for Fiscal Year 2014-2015. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	50	28	53	22	7
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	239	9	67	19	3
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	37	4	55	34	16
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	630	10	194	61	17
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	1	0	154	19	6
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	63	3	8	5	3
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	57	8	201	19	4
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	46	8	7	37	22
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	58	1	7	17	9
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	139	2	36	19	6
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	49	5	6	23	6
TOTALS	1,369	78	788	275	99

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	66	13	77	55	32
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	27	3	19	21	12
NAPA COUNTY LOP	8	0	2	4	1
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	11	11	166	38	13
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	29	0	9	16	1
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	2	0	108	46	22
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	150	3	39	40	20
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	35	1	16	29	9
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	15	5	41	10	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	7	0	86	25	7
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	18	5	34	20	14
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	0	4	115	78	41
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	5	0	22	3	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	6	1	26	14	5
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	18	1	72	24	15
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	13	0	1	10	4
TULARE COUNTY LOP	22	1	12	8	4
TOTALS	432	48	845	441	200

Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	124	1	4	119	21	26	27	66
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	296	4	5	287	113	165	87	35
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	93	0	0	93	28	39	49	5
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	788	20	3	765	192	264	460	41
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	139	0	0	139	11	13	71	55
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	66	2	6	58	5	13	24	21
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	259	2	5	252	15	26	111	115
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	59	1	5	53	21	31	5	17
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	63	0	1	62	23	28	14	20
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	176	0	4	172	34	64	67	41
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	59	1	2	56	21	23	29	4
SWRCB-DWQ	16	0	0	16	0	0	16	0
UST CLEAN UP FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,138	31	35	2,072	484	692	960	420

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected Afer 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	143	1	5	137	31	34	101	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	46	0	0	46	14	34	8	4
NAPA COUNTY LOP	8	0	0	8	6	7	1	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	185	0	4	181	33	46	129	6
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	36	0	0	36	1	1	21	14
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	107	0	11	96	47	70	16	10
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	191	1	4	186	39	41	138	7
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	40	0	1	39	14	14	21	4
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	59	0	2	57	17	28	28	1
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	84	0	0	84	11	21	60	3
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	55	1	6	48	8	10	8	0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	116	0	0	116	1	49	67	0
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	26	0	0	26	24	25	0	1
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	32	2	1	29	6	13	15	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	86	0	0	86	10	10	75	1
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	14	0	1	13	3	4	9	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	34	0	2	32	14	24	4	4
TOTALS	1,262	5	37	1,220	279	431	701	58

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Closure Ordered by SWRCBⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	156	836	43

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012ⁱⁱ	Petitions Resolvedⁱⁱⁱ Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ – PETITIONS	70	24	2	6	16	31	33	86	8

ⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

ⁱⁱⁱ The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.