

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND CLOSURES REPORT: December 1, 2013

All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, the Local Implementing Agencies, and the State Water Resources Control Board is compiled from GeoTracker, as of December 1, 2013. The data for the Division of Water Quality was compiled from internal tracking mechanisms as of December 1, 2013.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Open Cases as of 8/17/13	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria	Cases Closed Since 8/17/13
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	173	132	1	33	7	0	27
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	386	378	1	2	2	0	14
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	135	134	0	0	0	0	13
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	844	795	5	24	9	1	57
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	198	164	0	32	2	0	10
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	72	68	0	2	1	0	10
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	294	286	1	5	2	1	12
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	88	79	2	2	5	1	12
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	85	81	1	2	1	0	2
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	192	184	2	4	2	0	4
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	73	71	1	0	0	0	4
TOTALS	2,540	2,372	14	106	31	3	165

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Open Cases as of 8/17/13	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria	Cases Closed Since 8/17/13
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	212	202	1	4	5	0	4
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	9	8	0	1	0	0	1
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	10	4	5	1	0	0	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	71	67	2	2	0	0	4
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	15	15	0	0	0	0	2
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	8	8	0	0	0	0	2
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	177	162	4	7	4	0	6
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	50	50	0	0	0	0	10
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	117	102	0	10	5	0	6
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	313	308	1	0	0	0	16
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	61	53	0	1	7	2	7
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	75	75	0	0	0	0	10
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	113	99	1	8	5	0	5
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	65	21	6	35	2	0	19
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	177	147	4	19	7	0	6
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	36	34	0	2	0	0	2
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	43	40	0	1	2	0	5
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	116	73	0	35	8	0	7
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	19	19	0	0	0	0	3
TULARE COUNTY LOP	45	38	0	4	3	1	1
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	27	18	4	2	2	0	14
TOTALS	1,759	1,543	28	132	50	3	132

Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	156	32	7	117	37	50	13	54
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	322	147	36	139	32	120	4	15
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	127	11	13	103	52	90	2	11
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	816	15	0	801	58	346	417	39
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	193	0	1	192	8	48	70	74
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	67	9	1	57	0	16	21	20
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	282	26	38	218	6	47	57	114
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	81	24	13	44	4	31	1	12
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	81	23	2	56	5	38	3	15
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	186	102	18	66	8	39	12	15
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	68	0	61	7	6	6	1	0
SWRCB-DWQ	282	173	18	91	39	75	1	15
UST CLEAN UP FUND	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,676	577	208	1,891	255	906	602	384

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	200	7	186	7	3	7	0	0
EL DORADO COUNTY LOP	9	0	0	9	6	8	0	1
ANAHEIM CITY LOP	10	3	7	0	0	0	0	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	68	4	5	59	13	50	0	9
MERCED COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	14	6	1	7	4	6	1	0
NEVADA COUNTY LOP	8	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	170	1	0	169	3	58	105	6
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	49	9	39	1	0	1	0	0
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	113	50	3	60	9	35	13	12
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	303	136	137	30	12	26	2	2
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	51	3	4	44	14	19	15	10
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	71	0	23	48	1	31	12	5
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	104	10	2	92	11	50	42	0
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	61	14	5	42	7	15	23	4
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	162	0	0	162	15	121	39	2
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	33	16	0	17	9	11	0	6
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	38	11	12	15	1	7	7	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	107	0	0	107	1	58	42	7
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	18	17	1	0	0	0	0	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	41	23	2	16	2	9	0	7
VENTURA COUNTY LOP	24	0	0	24	8	24	0	0
TOTALS	1,654	317	428	909	119	536	301	72

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Open Cases as of 8/17/13 ⁱ	Reviews Not Complete	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Closure Ordered by SWRCB ⁱⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	14	0	0	0	80	78	222

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012 ⁱⁱⁱ	Petitions Resolved ^{iv} Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ – PETITIONS	71	17	13	5	1	25	28	59	29

ⁱ DFA cases are already included above under the appropriate (lead) regulatory agency. Total number of cases with 5-year reviews that had previously recommended the regulatory agency pursue closure based on Resolution No. 92-49 criteria as of 8/17/2013.

ⁱⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

^{iv} The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.