

## Tauriainen, Andrew@Waterboards

---

**From:** Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards </O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=EVOY, BARBARA@WATEROC556DE1-8FEA-4DD1-91A5-2BBA7A82D039430>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 21, 2015 12:30 PM  
**To:** Grober, Les@Waterboards; Howard, Tom  
**Cc:** O'Hagan, John@Waterboards  
**Subject:** FW: 25 percent reduction program

The web appears to potentially be more tangled.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 21, 2015 12:25 PM  
**To:** Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards  
**Cc:** O'Hagan, John@Waterboards; George, Michael@Waterboards  
**Subject:** 25 percent reduction program

I just met with Dan Kelly and Byron Bethany ID. The district wants to participate as a pre-1914. He will be asking Michael about it. Their priority date is 1914. They are at the SWP intake location. Mostly Sac water. Pre-1914 curtailment date now yet determined for that watershed.

They are on CDEC daily. Willing to cut 25 percent more than current use. Already down on use. Trying to swap with DWR for Oroville water after curtailment. Jerry Johns wants a 50 percent cut, same as Feather River contractors. BBID wants to get to end of June with water.

They want to cut now, to provide some of the pre-1914 pay back water to DWR prior to curtailment. Don't want to do 50 percent cut.

Told him he would have to talk to Michael about the program. I have no problem with crediting early reduction prior to curtailments, coupled with use of DWR water after curtailment. Of course, their goal is no curtailment by participating in program.

It may make sense to work out a special case exemption, to the extent they pre-load a swap of water with DWR and limit use after curtailment to that quantity.

Kathy Mrowka, Manager  
Complaints and Enforcement  
(916) 341-5363

Sent from my iPhone. Please pardon any typos.