

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

In re: Draft Order WR 2009-00XX-DWR) TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL
) ROBINSON
)
YONG PAK and SUN YOUNG) APN 131-180-07
_____)

1. I am currently, and have been involved in Delta farming for the past 50 years. In that capacity, I am familiar with the current and past practices of irrigation and drainage in the southern Delta.

2. My grandfather I. N. Robinson purchased San Joaquin County Assessor's Parcel No. 131-310-02 (the "Parcel") in 1891. Thereafter, the parcel passed to my father, I. N. Robinson, Jr. The parcel has remained in the family under various names and/or entities and/or trusts until now. The current owner is my daughter Heather Tanaka.

3. I worked with my father in the family farming and related businesses for 42 years until he died, and had numerous discussions with him regarding farming in the area and the various properties owned or controlled by him.

4. The Parcel abuts what was previously a larger parcel owned by the Vasquez family ("Vasquez Parcel"). That neighboring parcel is now two parcels, including APN 131-170-03, which is currently owned by the Mussis.

5. The Parcel and the Vasquez Parcel were created in the same year (1890) pursuant to sales from Stewart, Burton and King to I. N. Robinson (my grandfather) and Robert Vasquez, respectively.

6. From these various discussions with my father, I understand the following and believe to be true:

a. Both the Parcel and the Vasquez Parcel have been farmed since at or immediately after purchase in 1890.

b. Since around 1900, each parcel has been irrigated as part of the farming practices on the land.

Exhibit 8

c. Water was originally available to these lands from Duck Slough, which abutted each, and or the ditches and canals which supplemented, and eventually replaced the Slough.

d. Prior to constructing/installing the current system which provides water to the parcels, these lands received water from the Woods Irrigation Company (Woods IC"). That company was officially created (documents recorded) in 1911, but delivery of water to various lands within the company's service area began before 1911.

e. The Parcel and Vasquez Parcel were at the end of the Woods IC irrigation canal system. As a result, the delivery of water was unreliable in the sense that it was inconsistent in the amounts of water being delivered and the timing of those deliveries. This meant that these parcel were regularly "flooded" out by too much water being delivered by and through the Woods IC system.

f. To address this problem, my father and others switched the point of diversion and began delivering water to the Parcel and the Vasquez parcel via a dirt, or unlined ditch. Thereafter, my father, Mr. Vasquez, and Alice. Woods (and others) decided to create what is now known as the Woods Robinson Vasquez irrigation district to better supply water to their lands. Attached hereto as Exhibit 8A is a copy of the Agreement which memorialized the relationships and obligations involved in this new district. In this process they eventually acquired a strip of land and installed a cement lined ditch to replace the earlier unlined ditch, which was likely a portion of the original Duck Slough. Thus the ditch and pump described in the Agreement replaced that which they were already using. I note that Alice Woods was already a member of, and receiving water from Woods IC, yet also joined in forming this new district. I believe this helps confirm and supports my statements about the Parcel and the Vasquez Parcel receiving water from Woods IC. The Pak Parcel was also part of this district.

g. I am informed by counsel that the last map indicating Duck Slough is dated 1911, and that a 1913 map shows canals along the Duck Slough route, connecting to an other Slough near the Kingston School. This information confirms my statements. Based on the above I conclude that irrigation of the Parcel and the Vasquez Parcel occurred each year since before 1911, continuing through the creation of the Woods Robinson Vasquez irrigation district, and to the present, excepting any years when flooding may have interrupted normal farming operations. I also believe it is unreasonable to conclude that a gap of time exists wherein these parcels were without the ability to irrigate or did irrigate. I am certain that neither my grandfather nor father purchased and/or held this land without having the ability to irrigate, and actually irrigating it for increased agriculture production.

h. The purpose for owning these lands was to farm them. From the very beginning, water was available and was used for irrigation purposes. Everyone who could, applied irrigation water for improved production, and to control salt build up in the soils as may have been necessary.