

**From:** Luke Coletti [<mailto:ljcoletti@gmail.com>] **On Behalf Of** Luke Coletti  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 05, 2017 2:30 PM  
**To:** Dave Stoldt; Stephanie Locke; David Laredo; [bkampe@cityofpacificgrove.org](mailto:bkampe@cityofpacificgrove.org);  
[Richard.Svindland@amwater.com](mailto:Richard.Svindland@amwater.com); Eric Sabolsice; [robert.maclean@amwater.com](mailto:robert.maclean@amwater.com)  
**Cc:** O'Hagan,; Aue,; Mrowka,; [Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov)  
**Subject:** RE: WR 2009-060 Condition 2 Meeting Yesterday.

Dave,

I believe your proposal to void Condition 2, by using "paper water" as a proxy for "wet water" demand, is not consistent with the intent of Condition 2 or the CDO in general. Further, your stated justification for permitting increased demand at specific service addresses, because the total unlawful demand since 2009 has decreased (for a variety of unspecified reasons), does not mean the CDO is no longer in effect or that unlawful diversions have ceased. Indeed, in the context of an ongoing cease and desist order, your proposal and justifications are truly remarkable. I will oppose your proposal in a follow up comment letter and thank you for your email.

Regards,

Luke Coletti  
Pacific Grove