

The Spread of Potential Outcomes Generated by the Tool (assuming random distribution of harvest plan and site characteristics)

CER	DDI	SDF	Tier
Hi	Hi	Hi	4
Hi	Hi	Med	4
Hi	Hi	Lo	3
Hi	Lo	Hi	4
Hi	Lo	Med	3
Hi	Lo	Lo	2
Med	Hi	Hi	4
Med	Hi	Med	3
Med	Hi	Lo	2
Med	Lo	Hi	3
Med	Lo	Med	3
Med	Lo	Lo	2
Lo	Hi	Hi	3
Lo	Hi	Med	2
Lo	Hi	Lo	1
Lo	Lo	Hi	3
Lo	Lo	Med	1
Lo	Lo	Lo	1

4s	3s	2s	1s	Total
4	7	4	3	18
22%	39%	22%	17%	100%

Twenty-two percent of the outcomes result in development of Plan-specific MRPs through individual waivers. Another 17% would result in enrollments under the low-threat waiver, requiring no monitoring. Under the General Conditional Waiver, water quality compliance monitoring would be required of 39% of all outcomes, and 22% would result in implementation and effectiveness monitoring, and forensic monitoring as necessary. Staff knows from experience that harvest plans typically fall into the central portion of this spread. Thus we would expect a greater percentage of actual outcomes in Tiers 3 and 2 and fewer in Tiers 4 and 1. This would likely result in the majority of plans being required to perform water compliance monitoring, if future plans were typical of past plans.