

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD  
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

RESOLUTION NO.R5-2009-0120

APPROVING THE INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY AND  
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION  
FOR  
BASS LAKE BOAT OWNERS DOCK ASSOCIATION  
OLD DUCEY'S COVE STREAM CHANNEL ARMORING PROJECT  
MADERA COUNTY

WHEREAS, Bass Lake Boat Owners Dock Association (hereafter Discharger) submitted a complete application for Section 401 Water Quality Certification on 10 May 2007 to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, (hereafter Central Valley Water Board) requesting Certification for the discharge of fill material to waters of the U.S. from activities associated with the Old Ducey's Cove Stream Channel Armoring Project (Project), and the Project will occur in the lakebed of Bass Lake, a water of the U.S., in Madera County; and

WHEREAS, the Central Valley Water Board has assumed the lead agency role for this project under CEQA and has conducted an Initial Environmental Study in accordance with Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15063, *Guidelines for the Implementation of the California Environmental Act*; and

WHEREAS, copies of the Initial Study and proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration were transmitted to all agencies and persons known to be interested in this matter, and the Regional Board received comments and addressed the issues raised by those comments; and

WHEREAS, the Central Valley Water Board has determined that any potentially significant environmental impacts from the proposed Project will be mitigated by appropriate mitigation measures in the Mitigated Negative Declaration to less than significant levels; and

WHEREAS, the Central Valley Water Board considered all testimony and evidence at a public hearing held on 10 December 2009, in Rancho Cordova, California, and good cause was found to approve the Initial Environmental Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration: Therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, hereby approves the Initial Environmental Study and Mitigated Negative Declaration for the discharge of fill material associated with the Old Ducey's Cove Stream Channel Armoring Project.

I, PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer, do hereby certify the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, on 10 December 2009.

*Original signed by:*

\_\_\_\_\_  
PAMELA C. CREEDON, Executive Officer

**CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD  
CENTRAL VALLEY REGION**

**INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY  
AND  
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION  
FOR  
BASS LAKE BOAT OWNERS DOCK ASSOCIATION  
OLD DUCEY'S COVE STREAM CHANNEL ARMORING  
MADERA COUNTY**

**December 2009  
(Incorporating Late Revisions)**

**CONTENTS**

<b>SECTION 1</b>	<b>Project Description</b>
<b>SECTION 2</b>	<b>Environmental Checklist</b>
<b>SECTION 3</b>	<b>Environmental Checklist Discussion</b>
<b>SECTION 4</b>	<b>Mitigated Negative Declaration</b>
<b>SECTION 5</b>	<b>Maps</b>
<b>SECTION 6</b>	<b>Notice of Completion</b>
<b>SECTION 7</b>	<b>Comments/Responses</b>
<b>SECTION 8</b>	<b>Attachments</b>

**SECTION 1  
PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

1. **Project Title:** Old Ducey's Cove Stream Channel Armoring Project
2. **Lead Agency Name and Address:**  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board - Central Valley Region  
1685 E Street,  
Fresno, CA 93706

3. **Contact Person and Phone Number:**  
Debra Bates, (559) 445-6281

4. **Project Location:**  
Old Ducey's Cove, Bass Lake.

Section 16, T7S, R22E, MDB&M

5. **Project Sponsor's Name and Address:**

Bass Lake Boat Owners Dock Association  
P.O. Box 488  
Bass Lake, California 93604  
Attn: Jay Duhn

Consultant: John Wilson  
1400 Easton Drive #132  
Bakersfield, California 93309

6. **Description of Project:**

The Bass Lake Boat Owners Dock Association (hereinafter Discharger) owns a 31-slip dock in Old Ducey's Cove on Bass Lake. The dock has been in its present location since 1995. Since 2001, during periods when the lake is drawn down for the winter, Dogwood Creek has been eroding the creek channel under a portion of the dock causing damage. The Discharger proposes to backfill and armor with 35 cubic yards of rock riprap, approximately 50 linear feet of stream channel bank that has eroded from beneath the dock to provide support and prevent further damage. Soil excavated from the lakebed downstream from the project site will be used to backfill the channel bank.

The original application submitted by the Discharger in 2001 to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers proposed to relocate the stream channel by moving approximately 550 cubic yards of fill and placing 470 cubic yards of riprap. The Discharger scaled back the project, as localized channel bank armoring placed

## Section 1 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Continued)

during a 2003 project, upstream from the project site, has adequately prevented further erosion beneath the dock in the upstream area and has not had observable adverse effects on water quality.

Pacific Gas & Electric, owner of the lake, has approved the current project and the Discharger has entered into a Riprap Agreement (Agreement) (Attachment 1) with PG & E, to place and maintain the riprap around the dock and in the channel. Under the Agreement, the Discharger must submit a work plan that incorporates PG & E requirements and describes in detail the project's schedule, nature, scope, location and purpose. According to the terms of the agreement, the Discharger must obtain written permission from PG & E prior to commencing riprap placement or maintenance.

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region (Central Valley Water Board), is considering issuing a federal Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification for the proposed fill. However, prior to issuance, the Central Valley Water Board must satisfy the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.

The fill is being permitted under a U.S. Department of the Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) individual 404 Permit. In 2001, the Discharger submitted an initial *Application for Nationwide Permit No. 13: Bank Stabilization, Section 404 of the Clean Water Act* that provides information on the original proposed fill. On 5 May 2009, the Discharger supplied the Corps additional information amending the project to include only the localized armoring described above.

Department of Fish and Game issued a determination on *Stream Alteration Notification No. R4-2001-0122*, (Attachment 2) that no existing fish or wildlife resources would be substantially adversely affected by the previously proposed, more expansive project. The Discharger re-notified the Department of Fish and Game of its modification to the project, and will not proceed with the project until it receives an updated determination.

### 7. **Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: (Briefly describe the project's surroundings):**

The project is confined to the lakebed in a portion of Old Ducey's Cove. The cove is an outlet of Dogwood Creek. For most of the year, the cove is filled by Bass Lake and the project site is underwater. Numerous private docks are anchored in the cove and residences surround the shoreline.

## Section 1 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Continued)

8. **Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval or participation agreement.):**

Department of the Army- Individual 404 permit

Department of Fish and Game- Lake or Streambed Alteration Notification

Pacific Gas & Electric- Riprap Agreement

Undetermined permits may be required in the future.

## SECTION 2 ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

### ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics           | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Resources              | <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geology/Soils |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Noise                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems       | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |   |

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Impact-	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS -- Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining

**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation_ Incorporated Impact-	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
 III. AIR QUALITY -- Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:</b>				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated Impact-	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS -- Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS --</b>				
Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY -- Would the project:</b>				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
X. MINERAL RESOURCES -- Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XI. NOISE -- Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING -- Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people,	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				

**XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES**

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**XIV. RECREATION**

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

**XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Would the project:**

a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				
b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
 XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS -- Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE</b>				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



## Section 2 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (Continued)

### DETERMINATION.

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect(s) on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets, if the effect is a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated." An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there WILL NOT be a significant effect in this case because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR pursuant to applicable standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project.

Original Signed by:

17 December 2009

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Lonnie M. Wass

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name

## **SECTION 3 ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST DISCUSSION**

The following discussions are grouped according to each of the major areas of the Environmental Checklist and cover the Potentially Significant Impact Unless Mitigation Measures Incorporated, Less Than Significant Impact, and No Impact categories. No Potentially Significant Impacts were identified; therefore this category is not discussed herein.

### **I. Aesthetics.**

A primary use of Bass Lake is recreation with an emphasis on boating. The primary recreation season is from the end of May (Memorial Day Weekend) through the first weekend in September (Labor Day Weekend). During this period, the proposed project will be underwater and will have no demonstrable negative impact on aesthetics. During the winter when the lake is drawn down, the project area will be exposed and the project may have demonstrable adverse impacts on aesthetics. These impacts will be mitigated to less than significant levels by incorporation of the following mitigation measures.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

The area to be riprapped has been minimized by amendments to the original project.

The riprap will conform to PG & E requirements and will be similar to other shore erosion riprap projects constructed around the lake. Riprap material is to consist of rocks only and shall not contain any dirt or spoils.

The Discharger will be required to maintain the riprap areas in a "good and safe condition," pursuant to the Discharger's agreement with PG & E.

### **II. Agriculture Resources.**

The proposed project will not result in significant changes to aesthetics. Therefore, no impacts to aesthetics have been identified and no mitigation measures are required.

### **III. Air Quality.**

The proposed project will be conducted in the lake bed under conditions not conducive to dust generation. According to the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Rules, activities conducted at an elevation of 3,000 feet or higher above sea level, or that are disturbing under an acre in size are exempt from dust control rules. Emissions generated by this project will be short-term in nature. No mitigation measures are required.

## **Section 3 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST DISCUSSION (Continued)**

### **IV. Biological Resources.**

The Department of Fish and Game is concerned that noise disturbances resulting from use of construction equipment in implementation of the project may negatively impact reproductive success of bald eagles and other raptor, migratory birds or other protected bird species in the area. The bald eagle is a State endangered and fully protected species known to nest at multiple locations in the vicinity of the project.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To prevent negative impact to reproductive success of bald eagles and other protected bird species, the project proponent has agreed to limit construction activities to the non-breeding season. The Water Quality Certification for the project will prohibited construction during the bird breeding season, defined as February 1 through September 15.

### **V. Cultural Resources.**

The proposed project will not result in significant changes to cultural resources. Therefore, no impacts to cultural resources have been identified and no mitigation measures are required.

### **VI. Geologic Problems**

The proposed project may result in any minor changes to geologic resources. Future erosion of the channel may result as a result of the proposed project. These impacts will be mitigated to less than significant levels by incorporation of the following mitigation measures.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the potential for erosion in the lake, the project will be conducted when the lake level is well below the project area. Fill soil will be compacted and covered with rock riprap to limit the impact.

The Discharger must install and maintain the riprap according to the terms and conditions in the attached PG&E riprap agreement.

### **VII. Hazards.**

The proposed project will not result in new hazards. Therefore, no hazard impacts have been identified and no mitigation measures are required.

## **Section 3 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST DISCUSSION (Continued)**

### **VIII. Water (c)**

The proposed project may result in minor temporary alterations to surface water quality. Turbidity in the lake water may be increased for a short period of time in the area where the soil will be disturbed. Future erosion in other portions of the channel may result as a result of the proposed project. These impacts will be mitigated to less than significant levels by incorporation of the following mitigation measures.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

To reduce the potential for increases for turbidity in the lake, the project will be conducted when the lake level is well below the project area. Fill soil will be compacted and covered with rock riprap to limit the impact.

The Discharger must install and maintain the riprap according to the terms and conditions in the attached PG&E riprap agreement. The Agreement specifically requires the Discharger to:

1. Consult with PG & E prior to commencing any installation and maintenance activity.
2. Submit a detailed work plan to PG & E which describes in detail the project's schedule, nature, scope, location and purpose.
3. Not commence the installation of riprap until the lake is substantially below the project level.
4. Remove any excavated material from the lakebed.

The Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification issued by the Central Valley Water Board, for the proposed project, will include the following technical conditions which must be complied with by the Discharger:

1. Activities shall not cause oils, greases or other materials to form a visible film or coating on the water surface or on objects in the receiving waters.
2. Activities shall not cause oils, greases, floating material (liquids, solids, foams, and scums) or suspended material to create a nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
3. Activities shall not cause petroleum products or hazardous materials to be placed or stored in any surface waters, or anywhere they may discharge to surface waters.

### **Section 3 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST DISCUSSION (Continued)**

4. Except for activities permitted by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, soil, silt, or other organic or earthen materials shall not be placed where such materials could pass into surface waters or surface water drainage courses, and adversely affect beneficial uses.
5. Activities shall not cause changes in turbidity that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses. Increases in turbidity attributable to controllable water quality factors shall not exceed the following limits:
  - a. Where natural turbidity is between 0 and 5 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTUs), increases shall not exceed 1 NTU.
  - b. Where natural turbidity is between 5 and 50 NTUs, increases shall not exceed 20 percent.
  - c. Where natural turbidity is equal to or between 50 and 100 NTUs, increases shall not exceed 10 NTUs.
  - d. Where natural turbidity is greater than 100 NTUs, increases shall not exceed 10 percent.
6. Activities shall not cause the normal ambient pH to fall below 6.5, exceed 8.3, or change by more than 0.3 units.
7. Diverted stream flow energy must be dissipated, to the extent necessary, such that no erosion of the streambed results. Other BMPs must be employed as necessary to prevent downstream sedimentation.
8. All areas disturbed by project activities shall be protected from washout or erosion.
9. The Discharger shall notify the Central Valley Water Board immediately if any of the above conditions are violated, along with a description of measures it is taking to remedy the violation.

The implementation of the proposed mitigation measures will reduce less than significant impacts to no impact.

#### **IX. Land Use Planning**

The proposed fill will not result in planning impacts. Therefore no impacts have been identified and no mitigation measures are necessary.

#### **X Mineral Resources.**

### **Section 3 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST DISCUSSION (Continued)**

The proposed project will not result in significant changes to mineral resources. Therefore, no impacts to mineral resources have been identified and no mitigation measures are required.

#### **XI. Noise.**

The proposed project may result in a temporary increase in noise during the duration of the project.

#### **Mitigation Measures**

Madera County allows construction noise only during the period from half an hour prior to sunrise to half an hour after sunset. Project activity will be restricted to this time period.

The implementation of the proposed mitigation measures will reduce less than significant impacts to no impact.

#### **XII. Population and Housing**

There will be no population or housing impacts associated with this project. There will be no displacement or demand for additional housing as a result of this project. Therefore, no impacts to population or housing have been identified and no mitigation measures are required.

#### **XII. Public Services.**

The proposed project will not result in changes to public services. Therefore, no impacts to public services have been identified and no mitigation measures are required.

#### **XIV Recreational Activities.**

The proposed project will not result in changes to recreational activities. Therefore, no impacts to recreational activities have been identified and no mitigation measures are required.

#### **XV. Transportation/Circulation.**

The proposed project will not result in significant changes to transportation and/or circulation. Therefore, no impacts to transportation/circulation have been identified and no mitigation measures are required.

### **Section 3 - ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST DISCUSSION (Continued)**

#### **XVI. Utilities and Service Systems.**

The proposed project will not result in significant changes to existing utilities and service systems. Therefore, no impacts to utilities and service systems have been identified and no mitigation measures are required.

#### **XVII. Mandatory Findings of Significance.**

The project will not result in any of the impacts listed under mandatory findings of significance.

**SECTION 4  
PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION  
PURSUANT TO THE TITLE 14, CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS  
SECTION 15000, et seq.**

**PROJECT TITLE:** Old Ducey's Cove Stream Channel Armoring Project

**LEAD AGENCY:** Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board

**APPLICANT:** Bass Lake Boat Owners Dock Association

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

The Bass Lake Boat Owners Dock Association (hereinafter Discharger) will backfill approximately 50 feet of eroded channel bank within the lakebed and subsequently armor the filled bank with approximately 35 cubic yards of rock riprap to prevent future erosion. Soil excavated from the lakebed downstream from the project area will be used to backfill the channel bank. Pacific Gas & Electric, owner of the lake, approves the current project and is requiring the Discharger to enter into a maintenance agreement to maintain the riprap once the channel has been armored.

**PROJECT LOCATION:**

The project site is located in the lakebed of Bass Lake, County of Madera, State of California, in the area known as Old Ducey's Cove.

**MITIGATION MEASURES:**

This subsection includes the full text of project-specific mitigation measures identified in the Initial Study/Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration.

**Aesthetics**

The area to be riprapped has been minimized by amendments to the original project.

The riprap will conform to PG & E requirements and will be similar to other shore erosion riprap projects constructed around the lake. Riprap material is to consist of rocks only and shall not contain any dirt or spoils.

The Discharger will be required to maintain the riprap areas in a "good and safe condition," pursuant to the Discharger's agreement with PG & E.



## **Section 4 - NEGATIVE DECLARATION (Continued)**

### **Biological Resources**

To prevent negative impact to reproductive success of bald eagles and other protected bird species, the project proponent has agreed to limit construction activities to the non-breeding season. The Water Quality Certification for the project will prohibited construction during the bird breeding season, defined as February 1 through September 15.

### **Water**

To reduce the potential for increases for turbidity in the lake, the project will be conducted when the lake level is well below the project area. Fill soil will be compacted and covered with rock riprap to limit the impact.

The Discharger must install and maintain the riprap according to the terms and conditions in the attached PG&E riprap agreement. The Agreement specifically requires the Discharger to:

1. Consult with PG & E prior to commencing any installation and maintenance activity.
2. Submit a detailed work plan to PG & E which describes in detail the project's schedule, nature, scope, location and purpose.
3. Not commence the installation of riprap until the lake is substantially below the project level.
4. Remove any excavated material from the lakebed.

The Clean Water Act Section 401 water quality certification issued by the Regional Water Board, for the proposed project, will include the following technical conditions which must be complied with by the Discharger:

1. Activities shall not cause oils, greases or other materials to form a visible film or coating on the water surface or on objects in the receiving waters.
2. Activities shall not cause oils, greases, floating material (liquids, solids, foams, and scums) or suspended material to create a nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.

## Section 4 - NEGATIVE DECLARATION (Continued)

3. Activities shall not cause petroleum products or hazardous materials to be placed or stored in any surface waters, or anywhere they may discharge to surface waters.
4. Except for activities permitted by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, soil, silt, or other organic or earthen materials shall not be placed where such materials could pass into surface waters or surface water drainage courses, and adversely affect beneficial uses.
5. Activities shall not cause changes in turbidity that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses. Increases in turbidity attributable to controllable water quality factors shall not exceed the following limits:
  - a. Where natural turbidity is between 0 and 5 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTUs), increases shall not exceed 1 NTU.
  - b. Where natural turbidity is between 5 and 50 NTUs, increases shall not exceed 20 percent.
  - c. Where natural turbidity is equal to or between 50 and 100 NTUs, increases shall not exceed 10 NTUs.
  - d. Where natural turbidity is greater than 100 NTUs, increases shall not exceed 10 percent.
6. Activities shall not cause the normal ambient pH to fall below 6.5, exceed 8.3, or change by more than 0.3 units.
7. Diverted stream flow energy must be dissipated, to the extent necessary, such that no erosion of the streambed results. Other BMPs must be employed as necessary to prevent downstream sedimentation.
8. All areas disturbed by project activities shall be protected from washout or erosion.
9. The Discharger shall notify the Regional Water Board immediately if any of the above conditions are violated, along with a description of measures it is taking to remedy the violation.

### **Noise**

Madera County allows construction noise only during the period from half an hour prior to sunrise to half an hour after sunset. Project activity will be restricted to this time period.

**Section 4 - NEGATIVE DECLARATION (Continued)**

**FINDING:**

Based on the Initial Study prepared for the project, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, has determined that potential project impacts on the environment would be mitigated to a less than significant level through incorporation of mitigation measures and therefore, the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report is not required. A copy of the Initial Study is attached.

California Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Central Valley Region  
1685 E Street, Fresno, CA 93726  
(559) 445-5116

*Original Signed by:*

*17 December 2009*


\_\_\_\_\_  
LONNIE WASS  
Supervising Engineer

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date


# SECTION 5

## MAPS

### 1. Vicinity Map



## 2. Site Map



**EXHIBIT A**

<p><b>JOHN R. WILSON</b>  <b>CIVIL ENGINEER</b>          CIVIL ENGINEERING SURVEYING          1405 SOUTHVALE WAY SUITE 101    BIRMINGHAM, AL 35226          (205) 988-1111    FAX (205) 988-0200</p>
<p>OWNER: J. COVINA          DESIGNED: J.R. WILSON          DATE: 7/20/08          DRAWN: JAC          CHECKED: JAC          DATE: 04/20/08</p>
<p><b>SITE PLAN - BASS LAKE MARINA</b>          MARINA DOCKS, EXISTING AND PROPOSED CHANNEL AREAS</p>
<p>REVISION          07/10/08    0207    JAC          0208    JAC</p>
<p>SHEET NO.  <b>1</b>          OF 2 SHEETS</p>

# SECTION 6 Notice of Completion

## Form A Notice of Completion & Environmental Document Transmittal

SCH # **2009101025**

Mail to: State Clearinghouse, P. O. Box 3044, Sacramento, CA 95812-3044 (916) 445-0613  
For Hand Delivery/Street Address: 1400 Tenth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

Project Title: Old Ducey's Cove Stream Channel Armoring  
Lead Agency: Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board Contact Person: Debra Bates  
Mailing Address: 1685 E Street Phone: 559-445-6281  
City: Fresno Zip: 93706 County: Fresno

Project Location:  
County: Madera City/Nearest Community: Bass Lake Total Acres: <1  
Cross Streets: 37 19' 24" N 119 34' 62" W Zip Code: \_\_\_\_\_  
Assessor's Parcel No. \_\_\_\_\_ Section: \_\_\_\_\_ Twp. \_\_\_\_\_ Range: \_\_\_\_\_ Base: \_\_\_\_\_  
Within 2 Miles: State Hwy #: \_\_\_\_\_ Waterways: Bass Lake  
Airports: \_\_\_\_\_ Railways: \_\_\_\_\_ Schools: \_\_\_\_\_

### Document Type:

CEQA:  NOP  Draft EIR NEPA:  NOI Other:  Joint Document  
 Early Cons  Supplement to EIR (Note prior SCH # below)  EA  Final Document  
 Neg Dec  Subsequent EIR (Note prior SCH # below)  Draft EIS  Other \_\_\_\_\_  
 Mit Neg Dec  Other \_\_\_\_\_  FONSI

### Local Action Type:

General Plan Update  Specific Plan  Rezone  Annexation  
 General Plan Amendment  Master Plan  Prezone  Redevelopment  
 General Plan Element  Planned Unit Development  Use Permit  Coastal Permit  
 Community Plan  Site Plan  Land Division (Subdivision, etc.)  Other \_\_\_\_\_

### Development Type:

Residential: Units \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_  Water Facilities: Type \_\_\_\_\_ MGD \_\_\_\_\_  
 Office: Sq.ft. \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_ Employees \_\_\_\_\_  Transportation: Type \_\_\_\_\_  
 Commercial: Sq.ft. \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_ Employees \_\_\_\_\_  Mining: Mineral \_\_\_\_\_  
 Industrial: Sq.ft. \_\_\_\_\_ Acres \_\_\_\_\_ Employees \_\_\_\_\_  Power: Type \_\_\_\_\_ MW \_\_\_\_\_  
 Educational \_\_\_\_\_  Waste Treatment: Type \_\_\_\_\_ MGD \_\_\_\_\_  
 Recreational \_\_\_\_\_ Boat Dock \_\_\_\_\_  Hazardous Waste: Type \_\_\_\_\_  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### Project Issues Discussed in Document:

Aesthetic/Visual  Fiscal  Recreation/Parks  Vegetation  
 Agricultural Land  Flood Plain/Flooding  Schools/Universities  Water Quality  
 Air Quality  Forest Land/Fire Hazard  Septic Systems  Water Supply/Groundwater  
 Archeological/Historical  Geologic/Seismic  Sewer Capacity  Wetland/Riparian  
 Biological Resources  Minerals  Soil Erosion/Compaction/Grading  Growth Inducement  
 Coastal Zone  Noise  Solid Waste  Land Use  
 Drainage/Absorption  Population/Housing Balance  Toxic/Hazardous  Cumulative Effects  
 Economic/Jobs  Public Services/Facilities  Traffic/Circulation  Other \_\_\_\_\_

### Present Land Use/Zoning/General Plan Designation:

Lakebed

### Project Description: (please use a separate page if necessary)

The project will backfill and armor with riprap, approximately 30 linear feet of eroded channel bank in the Bass Lake lakebed. The channel contains Dogwood Creek during the periods when the lake is drawn down for the winter. The area is underwater when the lake is full.

**Reviewing Agencies Checklist**

continued

Lead Agencies may recommend State Clearinghouse distribution by marking agencies below with and "X". If you have already sent your document to the agency please denote that with an "S".

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Resources Board                | <input type="checkbox"/> Office of Emergency Services                                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Boating & Waterways, Department of | <input type="checkbox"/> Office of Historic Preservation                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> California Highway Patrol                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Parks & Recreation   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans District # _____                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Pesticide Regulation, Department of                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans Division of Aeronautics              | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Utilities Commission                                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Caltrans Planning                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Reclamation Board  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coachella Valley Mountains Conservancy        | <input type="checkbox"/> Regional WQCB # _____  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Coastal Commission                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Resources Agency   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Colorado River Board Commission               | <input type="checkbox"/> S.F. Bay Conservation & Development Commission                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Conservation, Department of                   | <input type="checkbox"/> San Gabriel & Lower Los Angeles Rivers & Mountains Conservancy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections, Department of                    | <input type="checkbox"/> San Joaquin River Conservancy                                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Delta Protection Commission                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Santa Monica Mountains Conservancy                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Education, Department of                      | <input type="checkbox"/> State Lands Commission   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Office of Public School Construction          | <input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Clean Water Grants                                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Energy Commission                             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Water Quality                                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fish & Game Region # <u>6</u>      | <input type="checkbox"/> SWRCB: Water Rights  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Food & Agriculture, Department of             | <input type="checkbox"/> Tahoe Regional Planning Agency                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Forestry & Fire Protection                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Toxic Substances Control, Department of                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> General Services, Department of               | <input type="checkbox"/> Water Resources, Department of                                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Health Services, Department of                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Madera County Planning Department</u>      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Housing & Community Development               | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Pacific Gas and Electric</u>               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Integrated Waste Management Board             |   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Native American Heritage Commission           |   |

**Local Public Review Period (to be filled in by lead agency)**

Starting Date 10/5/2009 Ending Date 11/5/2009

**Lead Agency (Complete if applicable):**

Consulting Firm: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
City/State/Zip: \_\_\_\_\_  
Contact: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: (\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_\_

**Applicant:** Bass Lake Boat Owners Dock Association  
Address: P O Box 488  
City/State/Zip: Bass Lake, CA 93604  
Phone: ( 559 ) 642-3991

Signature of Lead Agency Representative ORIGINAL SIGNED BY LONNIE M WASS Date 5 OCT 2009

## **SECTION 7**

### **COMMENTS/ RESPONSES**

- 1. Dept of Fish and Game, 20 October 2009 letter**
- 2. Central Valley Water Board, 28 October 2009 response to 20 October letter**
- 3. Philip and Beverly Fleming, 1 November 2009 letter**
- 4. Central Valley Water Board, 9 November 2009 response to 1 November letter**



**SECTION 8**

**ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. 22 January 2002 letter from the California Department of Fish and Game (DFG)**
- 2. 14 February 2009 Riprap Agreement with Pacific Gas and Electric**