SUBGROUP 2

Proposed Modifications of the TNI Standard

Mindy Boele

Jill Brodt

Stephen Clark

Miriam Ghabour

Bruce Godfrey (Abstaining)

Rich Gossett

									Modification Requested						
ltem #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
	1.0		Introduction, Scope and Applicability	1	-										
		1.1	Introduction	1	х										
		1.2	Scope	1	x										
	2.0		Normative Reference	2	x										
	3.0		Terms and Definitions	2	x										
		3.1	Additional Terms and Definitions	2 to 7											
		3.2	Sources	7	x										
		3.3	Exclusion and Exceptions	7				x							
	4.0		Management Requirements	8	-										
		4.1	Organization	8	-										
		4.1.1		8	x										
		4.1.2		8	x										
		4.1.3		8	x										
		4.1.4		8	x				x					5 out of 6 agree	
		Note 1		8	x				~						
		Note 1		8	x										
		4.1.5	The Laboratory Shall	8	x										
		a)		8	x										
		a)		0	<u> </u>										
		b)		8	x										

									1	Modificatio	n Requeste	ed .			
ltem #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		c)		8				x		×			Revise to: "Commercial laboratories shall: have policies and procedures to ensure the protection of its customers' confidential information and proprietary rights, including procedures for protecting the electronic storage and transmission of results;"	5 out of 6 agree	
		d)		8	х										
		e)		8	х										
		f)		9	х										
		g)		9	x					×			Strike "provide adequate spervision of" and replace with "assure that"	5 out of 6 agree	
		h)		9	х									5 out of 6 agree	
		i)		9			x			x			Add: "Each lab will need to determine when their size is sufficient to require a seperate Quality Manager."	5 out of 6 agree	
		j)		9	x								, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5 out of 6 agree	
		Note								1				5 out of 6 agree	
		k)		9	х									5 out of 6 agree	
		4.1.6	To Management shall	9	х									5 out of 6 agree	
		4.1.7	Additional Requirements for Lab	9										5 out of 6 agree	

										Modificatio	n Requeste	d			
ltem #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		4.1.7.1		9						x			Add: "Each lab will need to determine when their size is sufficient to require a seperate Quality Manager."	5 out of 6 agree	
		a)		9	х									5 out of 6 agree	
		b)		9	х									5 out of 6 agree	
		c)		9	x									5 out of 6 agree	
		d)		9	x									5 out of 6 agree	
		e)		9	x									5 out of 6 agree	
		f)		9	x					×			Add "one person laboratories can perform internal audits every other year, alternating with their ELAP audit"	5 out of 6 agree	
		g)		9	x					x			add "it is assumed that a one person laboratory wil notify themselves."	5 out of 6 agree	
		h)		9	x										
		4.1.7.2	Technical Manager	9											
		a)		9	x										
		b)		10	x										
		i)		10	x										
		ii)		10	x										

										Modificatio	n Regueste	d			
ltem #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		d)		10		x				x			Modify to apply to commercial labs	5 out of 6 agree	
		i) ii)		10 10		x x				x x			See 4.1.7.2 d)	5 out of 6 agree	
		iii)		10		х				х			above		
		e)		10						x			Remove arbitray temporal timelines and require the assignement of alternates when the Technical Managers on leave.	5 out of 6 agree	
		f)		10									See comment for Section 5.2.6.1 below		
		4.2	Management	10											
		4.2.1		10	x										
		4.2.2		10	x										
		a)		10	х										
		b)		10	x										
		c)		10	х										
		d)		10	x										
		e)		10			x								
		e		11	x										
		4.2.3		11											
		4.2.3		11											
		4.2.4		11 11	x									l	
		4.2.6		11	х										
		4.2.7		11											
		4.2.8	Additional MS Requirements	11											

		Page # of Modification Requested					Modificatio								
ltem #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		4.2.8.1		11	x										
		a)		11	x										
		b)		11	x										
		4.2.8.2		11	х										
		4.2.8.3	The QAM shall obtain	11 to 12		-									
		a-i		12											
		4.2.8.4	Shall contain or reference	12											
		a-r		12 to 13	x										
		4.2.8.5	SOPs	13	x										
		4.3	Document Control	14 to 15							x		Request assistance from ELAP and a 3 year implementation	5 out of 6 agree	
		4.4	Review of Requests, Tenders, and Contracts	15		x				x			Revise to indicatae applies to commercial laboratories	5 out of 6 agree	

										Modificatio	n Requeste	d			
ltem #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		4.5	Subcontracting of Environmental tests	16		x				x			Revise to: 1) remove requirement to comply with the International Standard and replace with complying with CA standard or higher, and 2) delete Section 4.5.2	5 out of 6 agree	
		4.6	Purchasing Services and Supplies	16		x			x					5 out of 6 agree	Busy Work that is already addressed in the methods.
		4.7	Service to the Client	16 16 to 17									Feedback element should only required for labs		
		4.7.1		16 to 17	x					X			that perform work outside their own agency.	5 out of 6 agree	
		4.7.2	Complaints	17	x						x		Request assistance from ELAP to provide supporting documentation/S OPs for municipal labs	5 out of 6 agree	
		4.9	Control of non conforming Environmental Testing Work	17	x									5 out of 6 agree	
		4.10	Improvement	18										5 out of 6 agree	

									Modification Requested		1				
ltem #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		4.11	Corrective Action	18							x		Request assistance from ELAP to provide supporting documentation/S OPs for municipal labs		
		4.12	Preventive Action	19							x		Request assistance from ELAP to provide supporting documentation/S OPs for municipal labs	5 out of 6 agree	
		4.13	Control of Records	19-21							x		Have a phase in implementation and ELAP to provide assistance.	5 out of 6 agree	
		4.14	Internal Audits	21-22			x			x		x	see above (relaxed frequency for small labs)	5 out of 6 agree	
		4.15	Management Audits	22-23			x					x		5 out of 6 agree	ELAP should proivide training and a checklist
		4.16	Data Integrity	23	x										
	5.0		Technical Requirements	23											
		5.1	General	23											
		5.1.1		23	x										
		5.1.2		23	х										
		5.2	Personnel	23											
		5.2.1		23	х					J					

						1	1		Modification Requested						
				Page # of								Needs	Modification	Group 2 vote during	
Item #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	the Module	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Discussio	languange	conference call	Notes:
				(T=48)						,	,	n at ELTAC	0 0	(10/20/16)	
		Note 1		23								LLIAC			
		NOLE 1		25			 						-		
		Noto 2		23-24											
		Note 2		23-24						1		1			
		5.2.2		24	x										
													Replace with what		
													ELAP currently has		
										v			in their	E aut af C anna	
										х			regulations (applies to all of	5 out of 6 agree	
		5.2.3		24						-			Section 5.21-		
													5.2.6)		
		5.2.4		24				x							
		5.2.5		24				x							
		5.2.6	Technical Manager	24,25,26						-			-		
		5.2.6.1-													
		5.2.6.2		24-26			x								
		5.2.6.2		26			х								
		5.2.6.2.a		26			x								
		5.2.0.2.0		20			^								
														5 out of 6 agree	
														5 Out of 0 agree	
		5.2.7	Data Integrity	26,27	х	<u> </u>	}		}	}	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		5 out of 6 agree	
		5.30	Environmental conditions	27	x	<u> </u>				+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		5 out of 6 agree	
		5.40	environmental methods, validation	27		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		5 out of 6 agree	
		5.4.1		27	х						L			5 out of 6 agree	

										Modificatio	n Requeste	d			
				Page # of								Needs	Modification	Group 2 vote during	
Item #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Discussio	languange	conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
				(1-48)								n at ELTAC		(10/20/10)	
													Revise to add: "1)		
													only US EPA or		
													State agencies can		
													approve methods. ELAP must review		
													the State agency		
													permit and/or EPA		
													ATP before issuing certification for		
													any unregulated		
													method/		
		5.4.2		28	x					x			unapproved analysis. 2)	5 out of 6 agree	
		5.4.3		28				x		-	-		Certified modified		
		5.4.4		28				x					methods must be		
		5.4.5		29				х		-	-		publically accessible and		
													available for		
													review. 3) Clients		
													must approve the use of any		
													modified method		
													prior to use."		
		5.4.5.2	Validation of Methods	29			x			4	<u> </u>				
<u> </u>		5.4.5.3		30	x					4					
		5.4.5.4		30	x					1					
		5.4.5.4		30			x								
													Need to yield the		
													Need to add Title 22 exception for		
													treatment plant	5 out of 6 agree	
		5.2.6.1	Technical Manager Qualifications	24-26						x			operators.		
<u> </u>		5.4.6.2		31	x		<u> </u>			x	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
		•								•					

									I	Modificatio	n Requeste	d			
Item #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		5.4.6.3		31	х										
		5.4.7		31	х										
		5.5	5.5.1 to 5.5.13	31,32	х										
		5.6.2.1		34,35				x	x					5 out of 6 agree	Needs to be removed; Does not apply to testing laboratories-only applies to calibration labs
		5.6.2.2		35				х							
		5.6.3		36	x				x					5 out of 6 agree	Procedures for transport and storage of reference materials is in the method, if necessary. If not, no need.
		5.6.4		36	x				x					5 out of 6 agree	The additional paperwork is an undue administrative cost.

										Modificatio	n Requeste	d	1		
ltem #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		5.7		37 38	<u>x</u>			x		×			The laboratory shall have a sampling plan and procedures for sampling when it carries out sampling of substances, materials or products for subsequent testing or calibration. The sampling plan as well as the sampling plan as well as the available at the location where sampling process shall address the factors to be controlled to ensure the validity of the test and calibration	5 out of 6 agree	Sampling plans should be based on appropriate statistical method. Who determines this? The auditor?
		5.8.1													

							1			Modificatio	n Requeste	d			
ltem #	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		5.8.5		38,39			x			x			"Except for process laboratories and field samples, the laboratory shall have a documented system for uniquely identifying the sample containers that hold samples to be tested, to ensure that there can be no confusion regarding the identify of such samples at any time. This system shall include identification for all samples, sub- samples, preservations, sample containers, tests, and subsequent	5 out of 6 agree	Many process labs use sample bottles repeatedly with the sample name on the container. Conversely, they may collect the sample in a beaker and do analysis immediately. This requirement is not meant for process labs.
		5.8.6 5.8.7		39 39,40			x								
		5.8.7		39,40 40	v			x							
		5.8.9(c)		40					x					5 out of 6 agree	Outside of ELAP's legal purview (CalOSHA & local government are the regulators).
	5.10	5.9.3 5.10.1		41,42 42 42 42	x			x							
		5.10.2 5.10.3		42				x							
1		5.10.3		43	^	1	1		1			1			

ltem #	Section		Name	Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Modification Requested				1		
		Sub - Section							Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		5.10.3.1.c	Reporting	43			x								
		5.10.3.2		44	x										
		5.10.4		44				х							
		5.10.5		44,45				х							
		5.10.6		45											
		5.10.7		45	х										
		5.10.8 5.10.9		45 45											-
		5.10.9		45											
		5.10.10		43			x								
olume 1 N	Module 3	0.10.11	NOT reviewing Asbestos Testing - does not apply to my lab	10			~								
/olume 1 N	Module 4														
													"Follow EPA's		May lead labs to use
													MDL procedure specified at 40 CFR Part 136	5 out of 6 agree	unapproved practice Allows for possible reductions in data
		1.5.2.1.1								x			Appendix B."		quality
		1.7.1.1.f							x				Increased costs to labs. Lab procedures may need to be changed. Training would need to be done for the method changes. Likely does not improve quality, if the method requirements are different.	5 out of 6 agree	Remove. The meth specifies the minimu number of calibratio points.
		1.7.2.3.3 (b)							x				Remove. The method will specify if surrogates are or are not appropriate.	5 out of 6 agree	Remove. The meth will specify if surroga are or are not appropriate.

	Section	Sub - Section	Name	Т					Modification Requested				1		
ltem #				Page # of the Module (T=48)	Yes	No	Maybe	NA	Delete	Modify	Delay	Needs Discussio n at ELTAC	Modification languange	Group 2 vote during conference call (10/20/16)	Notes:
		1.7.2.4							x				The benefit of documentation is unclear. Compliance may be open to interpretation. Adds undue administrative burden.	5 out of 6 agree	Unclear what this means. Oftentimes, the procedure for data reduction is done by software. Unclear what kind of documentation is required.
		1.7.2.5.c.								x			"The laboratory shall verify the concentration of prepared titrants in accordance with written laboratory procedures."		For commercially purchased titrants, labs should not be required to standardize.
		1.7.3.2	Positive Control b)	17						x			"If any analyte exceeds the LCS control limit, the source of the error shall be located and corrective action taken."	5 out of 6 agree	All analytes should be within LCS acceptance limits to report data - not a percentage of them. This section is less stringent than promulgated methods and according to the standard, the most stringent requirement must be followed. This section must be deleted, except for the (revised) last sentence.

Training Documents Comments:

From: Bruce Godfrey bruce.godfrey@ctberk.com

Subject: Re: ELTAC Group 2 - Next steps

Date: October 18, 2016 at 2:34 PM

To: Stephen L. Clark slclark@pacificecorisk.com, Bruce Godfrey bgodfrey@montrose-env.com, Mindy Boele Mindy.Boele@cityofvacaville.com, Miriam Ghabour mghabour@mwdh2o.com, Rich Gossett richgossett@physislabs.com, Jill Brodt jillb@brlabsinc.com

Team

It looks like I'm gonna have to st this round out. I represent ACIL on ELTAC. Here's the ACIL view that keeps me from participating in discussions related to modifying the standard.

ACIL (and I) oppose any two tier accreditation system for CA labs. It looks bad for "public consumption"....Who's water is tested by a lower tier lab? Anyway, more than one is a "bad idea". To avoid the appearance, if not reality of a two tier system, ACIL members suggest the following....

1) All CA labs performing fee for service to the public must be full TNI standard accredited. Either by ELAP or a TNI AB as part of NELAP, they must comply with the full standard. The public paying fees for services, deserves the confidence conferred by full compliance to the standard from day 1 of its implementation as CA's standard of practice.

2) ACIL will support "staged implementation" of the unedited TNI standard for the smaller public/muni district labs in CA. It appears experience implementing the standard is required on both the AB and Lab sides of the bench. Continuing to work toward full implementation of the National Standard of Lab Practices at some future date is a "placeholder". A two tier system with an "expiration date" has some "sellable" underlying quality improvement motives too.

3) ACIL opposes ELAP allowing any modifications to the language of/in the TNI standard. A modified standard is not "The National Standard". Under a staged implementation, the standard remains intact, and ELAP has a *"we're working on it"* status that seems better than allowing and codifying a set of rapid mods to a standard created by a whole lot of much more knowledgeable, diverse, and experienced folks over nearly 20 years of hard work and compromises.

"Unofficially" ACIL member lab reps expressed no concerns about how long or what practices are selectively ignored by either ELAP or the muni labs. ACIL would like the ignored sections and compliance time frames specified and agreed upon through ELTAC.

Anyway, this will have to suffice as my input for our group, and should help advance the discussions.

All the best, and my apologies for quite literally "mailing it in"....Bruce

C. Bruce Godfrey, Ph.D. Special Projects Coordinator Montrose Environmental Group, Inc. 2323 5th Street, Berkeley, CA 94710 T: 510.204.2222 | M: 510.409.2211 bgodfrey@montrose-env.com www.montrose-env.com

On 10/18/2016 10:11 AM, Stephen L. Clark wrote: Colleagues,

Per the attachment to Christine's 10/12 email, the original spreadsheet that we submitted to summarize the work of Group 2 will not suffice for the ELTAC meeting on 11/2. I personally would have preferred to know this in advance of our efforts, as all of us are volunteers and our time is very valuable to our organizations. Regardless, if we want our efforts to be considered at the 11/2 meeting, we must submit a spreadsheet by 10/25 that includes the following:

- specific section of TNI to be modified (we already have this in our spreadsheet);
- requested modification (e.g., deletion, specific revision requested, or delayed implementation); and
- rationally for why modification is needed (much of this is noted in our spreadsheet).

Per Christine's letter, she encourages us "to strive to find balance between difficulties laboratories will have with implementation and the value each section provides to the State Agency Partner Committee's programs' needs."

I have attached the spreadsheet that we completed, and added the necessary columns. I am proposing that we have another conference call to work through our submittal. I am currently not available on 10/20 from 11:00 a.m. - 2:00 p.m. Otherwise, my schedule is flexible.

ΒG

My regards,

Stephen

Stephen L. Clark Vice President Pacific EcoRisk 2250 Cordelia Road Fairfield, CA 94534 P: (707) 207-7760 P: (707) 207-7766 (direct line) C: (707) 207-7766 (direct line) C: (707) 207-7916 http://www.pacificecorisk.com

Pacific EcoRisk is committed to providing professional quality services to its clients. As part of an ongoing improvement process, we would appreciate your feedback on our performance. Please click on the link below to complete a short survey.

Click here

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail and document(s) accompanying this e-mail contain confidential information that is legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the intended recipient(s) named above. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this e-mail and its attachments, except its direct delivery to the intended recipient(s) named above, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by telephone.

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Sotelo, Christine@Waterboards" <<u>Christine.Sotelo@waterboards.ca.gov</u>> Subject: Next steps Date: October 12, 2016 at 1:09:10 PM PDT To: "Sotelo, Christine@Waterboards" <<u>Christine.Sotelo@waterboards.ca.gov</u>>

Dear ELTAC Members:

Please read the attached letter.

Regards, Christine Sotelo, Chief

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program | Division of Drinking Water | CA Water Boards P: 916.341.5175 | <u>christine.sotelo@waterboards.ca.gov</u> | 1001 | Street | Sacramento, CA 95814

For the latest updates on ELAP visit our website at www.waterboards.ca.gov/elap