

Response to Comments on Supplementary Maps Provided on April 16, 2013

No.	Commenter	Comment	Response
1.1	Calleguas Municipal Water District	Please provide GIS data for the red sub-basin boundaries shown on Figure A2-12.	GIS data for all sub-basin boundaries were made available on the Regional Board's website on April 19, 2013.
1.2	Calleguas Municipal Water District	<p>Please provide more information concerning how the four additional subdivisions of the "South Las Posas Area" (sub-basin) listed in Table 3-13 are addressed by this update. Figure A2-12 does not show these "sub-sub-basin" areas, yet they are listed in Table 3-13 and have very different Objectives. Will these areas be shown on a separate figure in the basin plan?</p> <p>We are working on a groundwater management plan for this area and are very interested in how this particular issue will be handled and would like to work with you on this. We believe that basin conditions have changed notably since the initial basin plan and the initial area definitions (and perhaps Objectives) may benefit from a more detailed review and potential update.</p>	<p>The supplementary maps simply overlay the 1994 Basin Plan sub-boundaries on the updated 2011 groundwater basin maps. The "sub-sub-basins" in question were not depicted on the 1994 Basin Plan Groundwater Basin maps and, therefore, are not included in the overlay.</p> <p>Any modifications to the groundwater basin boundaries as determined by Department of Water Resources (DWR) in its Bulletin 118 will require a separate Basin Plan amendment. One potential upcoming opportunity for further modifying groundwater basin boundaries may be during the development of Salt and Nutrient Management Plans for the region and their incorporation into the Basin Plan.</p>
2.1	Six Basins Watermaster	The sub-basin boundaries in the Basin Plan (Figure A2-17) do not coincide with the adjudicated boundaries of the Six Basins Watermaster and the Main San Gabriel Basin Watermaster, nor do they coincide with the hydrologic boundaries estimated	The supplementary maps are not meant as an update; rather, they provide historical sub-basin boundaries contained in the 1994 Basin Plan as a means of assisting stakeholders in determining where the sub-basin-specific water quality objectives contained in

No.	Commenter	Comment	Response
		by both Watermasters.	<p>Table 3-13 (previously 3-8 in the 1994 Basin Plan) apply.</p> <p>The Regional Board's Basin Plan generally uses the groundwater basin boundaries as defined by the Department of Water Resources, as was the case in the 1994 Basin Plan (based on Bulletin 118-80) and in the 2011 update to the groundwater basin maps contained in the Basin Plan (based on DWR Bulletin 118-2003 Update).</p> <p>The supplementary maps provide alignment of the groundwater sub-basins with the corresponding water quality objectives provided in Table 3-13. Modification of these boundaries is outside the scope of the proposed administrative update to Chapter 3 of the Basin Plan.</p> <p>However, the process of Salt and Nutrient Management Plan development may provide an opportunity to address modifications to these boundaries taking into account data and information provided by stakeholders. The commenter is encouraged to be actively involved in the development of these stakeholder-driven plans.</p>
3.1	County Sanitation Districts	The Sanitation Districts have reviewed these	Regional Board staff agrees these corrections are

No.	Commenter	Comment	Response
		<p>updated maps and have identified some items that require minor corrections.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Figure A2-12: Red labels should be added for “Santa Clara – Santa Paula”, “Santa-Clara – Sespe”, and “Santa Clara – Piru”. The Piru Basin is not labeled on this figure, although it is labeled in Figure 2-12 in Chapter 2. • Figure A2-16: A border should be added between the southern side of the Sylmar sub-basin and the northern side of the San Fernando sub-basin. 	<p>warranted. The corrections have been made and will be reflected in revised maps prior to Regional Board consideration of the proposed amendment.</p>
4.1	Plains Exploration and Production Company (PXP)	<p>PXP objects to the deletion of the Baldwin Hills from Figure A2-15 depicting Los Angeles groundwater basins. The deletion implies that the Baldwin Hills would be considered a groundwater basin, which runs counter to findings of the Department of Water Resources (1961), the US Geological Survey (2003), and focused groundwater studies in the Baldwin Hills.</p> <p>Please refer to the attached Technical Memorandum for greater detail.</p>	<p>Figure A2-15 contains no new information. The 1994 sub-basin boundaries were merely superimposed on the updated 2011 maps as a means of assisting stakeholders in determining where the sub-basin-specific water quality objectives contained on Table 3-13 (previously 3-10 in the 1994 Basin Plan) apply. Comments on the appropriateness of the groundwater basin maps, which were updated in 2011, are outside the scope of this proposed administrative update to Chapter 3 of the Basin Plan.</p> <p>Also see Response to Comment No. 2.1</p>