NPDES Permit No. DC0000221

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM PERMIT

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq.

Government of the District of Columbia The John A. Wilson Building 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

is authorized to discharge from all portions of the municipal separate storm sewer system owned and operated by the District of Columbia to receiving waters named:

Potomac River, Anacostia River, Rock Creek and stream segments tributary to each such water body

in accordance with the Stormwater Management Program(s) dated February 19, 2009, subsequent updates, and related reports, strategies, effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in Parts I through IX herein.

The effective issuance date of this permit is: Detaller 7, 2011,

This permit and the authorization to discharge shall expire at midnight, on: October 7, 2016.

Signed this 30th day of September. 2011.

Hen M. Capacasa, Director Water Protection Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III

1. DISCHARGES AUTHORIZED UNDER THIS PERMIT

1.1 Permit Area

This permit covers all areas within the jurisdictional boundary of the District of Columbia served by, or otherwise contributing to discharges from, the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) owned or operated by the District of Columbia. This permit also covers all areas served by or contributing to discharges from MS4s owned or operated by other entities within the jurisdictional boundaries of the District of Columbia unless those areas have separate NPDES MS4 permit coverage or are specifically excluded herein from authorization under the District's stormwater program. Hereinafter these areas collectively are referred to as "MS4 Permit Area".

1.2 Authorized Discharges

This permit authorizes all stormwater point source discharges to waters of the United States from the District of Columbia's MS4 that comply with the requirements of this permit. This permit also authorizes the discharge of stormwater commingled with flows contributed by process wastewater, non-process wastewater, or stormwater associated with industrial activity provided such discharges are authorized under separate NPDES permits.

This permit authorizes the following non-stormwater discharges to the MS4 when appropriate stormwater activities and controls required through this permit have been applied and which are: (1) discharges resulting from clear water flows, roof drainage, dechlorinated water line flushing, landscape irrigation, ornamental fountains, diverted stream flows, rising ground waters, uncontaminated ground water infiltration to separate storm sewers, uncontaminated pumped ground water, discharges from potable water sources, foundation drains, air conditioning condensation, irrigation waters, springs, footing drains, lawn watering, individual resident car washing, flows from riparian habitats and wetlands, dechlorinated swimming pool discharges, wash water, fire fighting activities, and similar types of activities; and (2) which are managed so that water quality is not further impaired and that the requirements of the federal Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq., and EPA regulations are met.

- 1.3 Limitations to Coverage
- 1.3.1 Non-stormwater Discharges

The permittee, as defined herein, shall effectively prohibit non-stormwater discharges into the MS4, except to the extent such discharges are regulated with an NPDES permit.

1.3.2 Waivers and Exemptions

This permit does not authorize the discharge of any pollutant from the MS4 which arises from or is based on any existing waivers and exemptions that may otherwise apply and are not consistent with the Federal Clean Water Act and other pertinent guidance, policies, and regulations. This narrative prohibition on the applicability of such waivers and exemptions extends to any activity that would otherwise be authorized under District law, regulations or ordinance but which impedes the reduction or control of pollutants through the use of stormwater control measures and/or prevents compliance with the narrative /numeric effluent limits of this permit. Any such discharge not otherwise authorized may constitute a violation of this permit.

1.4 Discharge Limitations

The permittee must manage, implement and enforce a stormwater management program (SWMP) in accordance with the Clean Water Act and corresponding stormwater NPDES regulations, 40 C.F.R. Part 122, to meet the following requirements:

1.4.1. Effectively prohibit pollutants in stormwater discharges or other unauthorized discharges into the MS4 as necessary to comply with existing District of Columbia Water Quality Standards (DCWQS);

1.4.2. Attain applicable wasteload allocations (WLAs) for each established or approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for each receiving water body, consistent with 33 U.S.C. \S 1342(p)(3)(B)(iii); 40 C.F.R. \S 122.44(k)(2) and (3); and

1.4.3. Comply with all other provisions and requirements contained in this permit, and in plans and schedules developed in fulfillment of this permit.

Compliance with the performance standards and provisions contained in Parts 2 through 8 of this permit shall constitute adequate progress toward compliance with DCWQS and WLAs for this permit term.

2. <u>LEGAL AUTHORITY, RESOURCES AND STORMWATER PROGRAM</u> <u>ADMINSTRATION</u>

2.1 Legal Authority

2.1.1 The permittee shall use its existing legal authority to control discharges to and from the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System in order to prevent or reduce the discharge of pollutants to achieve water quality objectives, including but not limited to applicable water quality standards. To the extent deficiencies can be addressed through regulation or other Executive Branch action, the permittee shall remedy such deficiencies within 120 days. Deficiencies that can only be addressed through legislative action shall be remedied within 2 years of the effective date of this permit, except where otherwise stipulated, in accordance with the District's legislative process. Any changes to or deficiencies in the legal authority shall be explained in each Annual Report.

2.1.2 No later than 18 months following the effective date of this permit, the District shall update and implement Chapter 5 of Title 21 of District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (Water Quality and Pollution) ("updated DC Stormwater Regulations"), to address the control of stormwater throughout the MS4 Permit Area. Such regulations shall be consistent with this

FACT SHEET

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit No. DC0000221 (Government of the District of Columbia)

NPDES PERMIT NUMBER: DC0000221 (Reissuance)

FACILITY NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS:

Government of the District of Columbia The John A. Wilson Building 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

MS4 ADMINISTRATOR NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS:

Director, District Department of the Environment 1200 First Street, N.E., 6th Floor Washington, D.C. 20002

FACILITY LOCATION:

District of Columbia's Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)

RECEIVING WATERS:

Potomac River, Anacostia River, Rock Creek, and Stream Segments Tributary To Each Such Water Body

INTRODUCTION:

Today's action finalizes reissuance of the District of Columbia Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit. In the Final Permit EPA has continued to integrate the adaptive management approach with enhanced control measures to address the complex issues associated with urban stormwater runoff within the corporate boundaries of the District of Columbia, where stormwater discharges via the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4).

Since the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region III (EPA) issued the District of Columbia (the District) its first MS4 Permit in 2000, the Agency has responded to a number of legal challenges involving both that Permit (as well as amendments thereto) and the second-round MS4 Permit issued in 2004. For the better part of ten years, the Agency has worked with various parties in the litigation, including the District and two non-governmental organizations, Defenders of Wildlife and Friends of the Earth, to address the concerns of the various parties. The Agency has engaged in both litigation and negotiation, including formal

mediation.¹ These activities ultimately led to an enhanced stormwater management strategy in the District, consisting of measurable outputs for addressing the issues raised during the litigation and mediation process.

FACILITY BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION:

The Government of the District of Columbia owns and operates its own MS4, which discharges stormwater from various outfall locations throughout the District into its waterways.²

On April 21, 2010 EPA public noticed the Draft Permit. The Draft Fact Sheet published with that Draft Permit contains more extensive permit background information, and the reader is referred to that document for the history of the District of Columbia MS4 permit.

The public comment period closed on June 4, 2010. EPA received comments from 21 individual commenters and an additional 53 form letters. The Draft Permit, Draft Fact Sheet, and comments received on those documents are all available at: <u>http://www.epa.gov/reg3wapd/npdes/draft_permits.html</u>. The Final Permit reflects many of the comments received. EPA is simultaneously releasing a responsiveness summary responding to these comments.

ACTION TO BE TAKEN:

EPA is today reissuing the District of Columbia NPDES MS4 Permit. The Final Permit replaces the 2004 Permit, which expired on August 18, 2009 and has been administratively extended since that time. The Final Permit incorporates concepts and approaches developed from studies and pilot projects that were planned and implemented by the District under the 2000 and 2004 MS4 permits and modifying Letters of Agreement, and implements Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) that have been finalized since the prior permit was issued, including the Chesapeake Bay TMDL. A number of applicable measurable performance standards have been incorporated into the Final Permit. These and other changes between the 2004 Permit and today's Final Permit are reflected in a Comparison Document that is part of today's Permit issuance.

WATER QUALITY IN DISTRICT RECEIVING WATERS:

The District's 2008 Integrated Report to the Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. Congress Pursuant to Sections 305(b) and 303(d) Clean Water Act³ documents the serious water

I A procedural history of Permit appeals can be viewed at the EPA Environmental Appeals Board web: <u>http://yosemite.epa.gov/oa/EAB_Web_Docket.nsf/77355bee1a56a5aa8525711400542d23/b5e5b68e89edabe985257</u> 14f00731c6ftOpenDocument&Highlight=2,municipal.

² Portions of the District are served by a combined sanitary and storm sewer system. The discharges from the combined sewer system are not subject to the MS4 permit, but are covered under NPDES Permit No. xxxx issued to the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority.

³ District Department of the Environment, The District of Columbia Water Quality Assessment, 2008 Integrated Report to the Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. Congress Pursuant to Sections 305(b) and 303(d) Clean Water Act (hereinafter "2008 Integrated Report").

quality impairments in the surface waters in and around the District. A number of the relevant designated uses are not being met, *e.g.*, aquatic life, fish consumption, and full body contact, and there are a number of specific pollutants of concern that have been identified (for additional discussion on relevant TMDLs *see* Section 4.10 of this Final Fact Sheet).

Commenters on the Draft Permit expressed some frustration over very slow progress or even lack of progress after a decade of implementation of the MS4 program and even longer for other water quality programs. EPA appreciates this concern. Although the District's receiving waters are affected by a range of discharge sources, discharges from the MS4 are a significant contributor of pollutants and cause of stream degradation. EPA also recognizes, however, that stormwater management efforts that achieve a reversal of the ongoing degradation of water quality caused by urban stormwater discharges entail a long term, multi-faceted approach.

Consistent with the federal stormwater regulations for characterizing discharges from the MS4 (40 C.F.R. §122.26(d)(2)(iii)), the first two permit terms for the District's MS4 program required end-of-pipe monitoring to determine the type and severity of pollutants discharging via the system. The monitoring program was not designed to evaluate receiving water quality *per se*, therefore detection of trends or patterns was not reasonably possible. Today's Final Permit includes requirements for a Revised Monitoring Program, and one of the objectives for the program is to use a suite of approaches and indicators to evaluate and track water quality over the long-term (*see* discussion of Section 5.1 in this Final Fact Sheet). There have been identified improvements in some areas. For example the 2008 Integrated Report noted improvements in the diversity of submerged aquatic vegetation in the Potomac River, as well as improvements in fish species richness in Rock Creek. Biota metrics are often the best indicators of the integrity of any aquatic system.

EPA also notes that there are a variety of indirect measures indicative of improvement. The federal stormwater regulations foresaw the difficulty, especially in the near-term, of detecting measurable improvement in receiving waters, and relied instead on indirect measures, such as estimates of pollutant load reductions (40 C.F.R. 122.26(d)(2)(v)). The District documents these types of indirect measures in its annual reports, *e.g.*, tons of solids collected from catch basin clean-outs, amount of household hazardous waste collected, number of trees planted, square footage of green roofs installed, and many other measures of success.⁴

EPA believes that documenting trends in water quality, whether improvements, no change, or even further degradation, is an important element of a municipal water quality program. Today's Final Permit recognizes this principle, both in the types of robust measures required as well as the transition to new monitoring paradigms. EPA encourages all interested parties to provide the District with input during the development of these program elements.

THIS FACT SHEET:

4 District MS4 Annual Reports can be found at: http://ddoe.dc.gov/ddoe/cwp/view.a.1209.q.495855.asp

⁽http://ddoc.dc.gov/ddoc/frames.asp?doc=/ddoc/lib/ddoc/information2/water.reg.leg/DC_IR_2008_Revised_9-9-2008.pdf

This Final Fact Sheet is organized to correspond with the chronological organization and numbering in today's Final Permit. Where descriptions or discussions may be relevant to more than one element of the Final Permit the reader will be referred to the relevant section(s).

To keep today's Final Fact Sheet of readable length, many of the elements included in the fact sheet published with the Draft Permit (Draft Fact Sheet) on April 21, 2010 have not been repeated, but are referenced. Readers are referred to the Draft Fact Sheet published with the Draft Permit for additional discussion on provisions that have been finalized as proposed.⁵ The Final Fact Sheet does discuss significant changes since the 2004 Permit (even if discussed in the Draft Fact Sheet). The Final Fact Sheet also contains additional explanation of the Final Permit where commenters requested additional clarification. In addition, this Final Fact Sheet explains modifications to the Final Permit where provisions were changed in response to comments.

In many cases EPA made a number of very simple modifications to the Final Permit, *e.g.*, a word, phrase, or minor reorganization, simply for purposes of clarification. These modifications were not intended to change the substance of the permit provisions, only to clarify them. Most of those types of edits are not discussed in this Final Fact Sheet, but EPA has provided a Comparison Document of the Draft and Final Permits for readers who would like that level of detail.

Many commenters noted that the Draft Permit was not logically organized. EPA agrees. The major reorganization principles include:

- 1) There is a new Section 3, Stormwater Management Program (SWMP) Plan consolidating the various plans, strategies and other documents developed in fulfillment of permit requirements.
- 2) All implementation measures, *i.e.*, those stipulating management measures and implementation policies, are included in Section 4 of today's Final Permit. This includes "Source Identification" elements (Section 3 in the Draft Permit) and "Other Applicable Provisions" elements (Section 8 in the Draft Permit), which included TMDL requirements.
- 3) All monitoring requirements are consolidated in Section 5 of the Final Permit.
- 4) All reporting requirements are consolidated in Section 6 of the Final Permit.

EPA also refers readers to the Responsiveness Summary released today along with the Final Permit and Final Fact Sheet, for responses to comments and questions received on the Draft Permit. That document contains additional detailed explanations of the rationale for changes made to the Draft Permit in the Final Permit.

Finally, EPA made significant effort to avoid appending or incorporating by reference other documents containing permit requirements into the Final Permit. In the interest of clarity

⁵ The Permit and Fact Sheet proposed on April 21, 2010 can be viewed at: http://www.epa.gov/reg3wapd/npdes/draft_permits.html

and transparency EPA, to the extent possible, has included all requirements directly in the permit. Thus, EPA reviewed a variety of documents with relevant implementation measures, *e.g.*, TMDL Implementation Plans and the 2008 Modified Letter of Agreement to the 2004 permit⁶, and translated elements of those plans and strategies into specific permit requirements that are now contained in the Final Permit. This Fact Sheet provides an explanation of the sources of provisions that are significant and are a direct result of one of those strategies.

1. DISCHARGES AUTHORIZED UNDER THIS PERMIT

(1.2 Authorized Discharges): The Final Permit authorizes certain non-stormwater discharges, including discharges from water line flushing. One commenter noted that many of these discharges, especially from potable water systems, contain concentrations of chlorine that may exceed water quality standards. EPA agrees, and has therefore clarified that dechlorinated water line flushing is authorized to be discharged under the Final Permit.

(1.4 Discharge Limitations): Comments on the language in Part 1.4 varied widely. Some commenters did not believe it was reasonable to require discharges to meet water quality standards. Other commenters believed this to be an unambiguous requirement of the Clean Water Act.

Today's Final Permit is premised upon EPA's longstanding view that the MS4 NPDES permit program is both an iterative and an adaptive management process for pollutant reduction and for achieving applicable water quality standard and/or total maximum daily load (TMDL) compliance. *See generally*, "National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Regulations for Stormwater Discharges," 55 F.R. 47990 (Nov. 16, 1990).

EPA is aware that many permittees, especially those in highly urbanized areas such as the District, likely will be unable to attain all applicable water quality standards within one or more MS4 permit cycles. Rather the attainment of applicable water quality standards as an incremental process is authorized under section 402(p)(3)(B)(iii) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1342(p)(3)(B)(iii), which requires an MS4 permit "to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable" (MEP) "and such other provisions" deemed appropriate to control pollutants in municipal stormwater discharges. To be clear, the goal of EPA's stormwater program is attainment of applicable water quality standards, but Congress expected that many municipal stormwater dischargers would need several permit cycles to achieve that goal.

Specifically, the Agency expects that attainment of applicable water quality standards in waters to which the District's MS4 discharges, requires staged implementation and increasingly more stringent requirements over several permitting cycles. During each cycle, EPA will continue to review deliverables from the District to ensure that its activities constitute sufficient progress toward standards attainment. With each permit reissuance EPA will continue to increase

⁶ District Department of the Environment, Modification to the Letter of Agreement dated November 27, 2007 for the NPDES Municipal Separate Storm Sewer (MS4) Permit DC0000222 (2008) http://www.epa.gov/reg3wapd/npdes/pdf/DCMS4/Letter.PDF

stringency until such time as standards are met in all receiving waters. Therefore today's Final Permit is clear that attainment of applicable water quality standards and consistency with the assumptions and requirements of any applicable WLA are requirements of the Permit, but, given the iterative nature of this requirement under CWA Section 402(p)(3)(B)(iii), the Final Permit is also clear that "compliance with all performance standards and provisions contained in the Final Permit shall constitute adequate progress toward compliance with DCWQS and WLAs for this permit term" (Section 1.4).

EPA believes that permitting authorities have the obligation to write permits with clear and enforceable provisions and thus the determination of what is the "maximum extent practicable" under a permit is one that must be made by the permitting authority and translated into provisions that are understandable and measurable. In this Final Permit EPA has carefully evaluated the maturity of the District stormwater program and the water quality status of the receiving waters, including TMDL wasteload allocations. In determining whether certain measures, actions and performance standards are practicable, EPA has also looked at other programs and measures around the country for feasibility of implementation. Therefore today's Final Permit does not qualify any provision with MEP thus leaving this determination to the discretion of the District. Instead each provision has already been determined to be the maximum extent practicable for this permit term for this discharger.

EPA modified the language in the Final Permit to provide clarity on the expectations consistent with the preceding explanation. Specifically Section 1.4.2 of the Final Permit requires that discharges 'attain' applicable wasteload allocations rather than just 'be consistent' with them, since the latter term is somewhat ambiguous.

In addition, the general discharge limitation 'no increase in pollutant loadings from discharges from the MS4 may occur to receiving waters' was removed because of the difficulty in measuring, demonstrating and enforcing this provision. Instead, consistent with EPA's belief that the Final Permit must include all of the enforceable requirements that would achieve this principle, the following discharge limitation is substituted: "comply with all other provisions and requirements contained in this permit, and in plans and schedules developed in fulfillment of this permit."

In addition, EPA made the following modifications: "Compliance with <u>the</u> performance standards and provisions contained in <u>Parts 2 through 8 of</u> this permit shall constitute adequate progress towards compliance with DCWQS <u>and WLAs for this permit term</u>" (*underlined text added*) (Section 1.4 of the Final Permit). EPA eliminated circularity with the addition of "Parts 2 through 8", clarifying that this requirement does not circle back to include the statements in 1.4.1 and 1.4.2, but rather interprets them. Also, although WLAs are a mechanism for attainment of water quality standards, EPA added the specific language "and WLAs" to make this concept explicit rather than just implicit. In addition this revised language emphasizes that the specific measures contained in the Final Permit, while appropriate for this permit term, will not necessarily constitute full compliance in subsequent permit terms. It is the expectation that with each permit reissuance, additional or enhanced requirements will be included with the objective of ensuring that MS4 discharges do not cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable water quality standards, including attainment of relevant WLAs.

LEGAL AUTHORITY, RESOURCES, AND STORMWATER PROGRAM 2. **ADMINISTRATION**

(2.1 Legal Authority): Several commenters pointed out that there were a number of requirements in the Draft Permit without clear compliance schedules or deadlines, or with deadlines that did not correspond well to others in the permit. In the Final Permit, EPA has made several revisions to address these comments. For example, EPA changed a requirement that deficiencies in legal authority must be remedied "as soon as possible" to a 120-day requirement for deficiencies that can be addressed through regulation, and two years for deficiencies that require legislative action (Section 2.1.1). Also, EPA increased the compliance schedule for updating the District's stormwater regulation from twelve months to eighteen months, id., so that this action could be adequately coordinated with the development of the District's new offsite mitigation/payment-in-lieu program (for more discussion see Section 4.1.3 below).

(2.2 Fiscal Resources): One commenter suggested eliminating the reference to the District's Enterprise Fund since funding was likely to come from a number of different budgets within the District. EPA agrees with this comment and has removed this reference.

On the other hand, many commenters noted that the implementation costs of the District's stormwater program will be significant. EPA agrees. The federal stormwater regulations identify the importance of adequate financial resources [40 C.F.R. §122.26(d)(1)(vi) and (d)(2)(vi)]. In addition, after seeing notable differences in the caliber of stormwater programs across the country, EPA recognizes that dedicated funding is critical for implementation of effective MS4 programs.^{78,9} In 2009 the District established, and in 2010 revised, an impervious-based surface area fee for service to provide core funding to the stormwater program¹⁰ (understanding that stormwater-related financing may still come from other sources as they fulfill multiple purposes, e.g., street and public right-of-way retrofits). In conjunction with the 2010 rule-making to revise the fee the District issued a Frequently Asked Questions document¹¹ that indicates the intent to restrict this fee to its original purpose, *i.e.*, dedicated funding to implement the stormwater program and comply with MS4 permit requirements. EPA believes this action is essential, and he expects that the District will maintain a dedicated source of funding for the stormwater program.

⁷ National Research Council, Urban Stormwater Management in the United States (2009) National Academy of Sciences http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=12465

⁸ National Association of Flood and Stormwater Agencies, Funded by EPA, Guidance for Municipal Stormwater Funding (2006) http://www.nafsma.org/Guidance%20Manual%20Version%202X.pdf

⁹ EPA, Funding Stormwater Programs (2008) http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/region3_factsheet_funding.pdf

¹⁰ District of Columbia, Rule 21-566 Stormwater Fees,

http://www.dcregs.dc.gov/Gateway/RuleHome.aspx?RuleID=474056

¹¹ District of Columbia, FAQ Document Changes to the District's Stormwater Fee (2010) http://ddoe.dc.gov/ddoe/frames.asp?doe=/ddoe/lib/ddoe/information2/water.reg.leg/Stormwater_Fee_FAO_10-5-10 -final.pdf

NPDES Permit No. DC0000221

Modification #1

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEM PERMIT

In compliance with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq.

Government of the District of Columbia The John A. Wilson Building 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

is authorized to discharge from all portions of the municipal separate storm sewer system owned and operated by the District of Columbia to receiving waters named:

Potomac River, Anacostia River, Rock Creek and stream segments tributary to each such water body

in accordance with the Stormwater Management Program(s), subsequent updates, and related reports, strategies, plans, effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth in this permit:

This modified permit and the authorization to discharge expires at midnight on October 7, 2016.

The effective date of this permit modification is: November 9,2012.

Signed this <u>9th</u> day of <u>November</u>, 2012.

Joh M. Capacasa/Director Water Protection Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III

Simple Errata:

- 1. On page 9, Table 1, the part number for the Retrofit Program is corrected to 4.1.5.
- 2. On page 53, within the definition for "TMDL Implementation Plan", the reference to
- section 8.1.4 is replaced with the correct reference to section 4.10.3.

References to the Permittee:

In all places in the document where the term 'District' has been used in the context of a mandate to the permittee to carry out a provision, that term is replaced with the term 'permittee'. The term 'District' or 'District of Columbia' continues to be used when the reference is to the specific geographical area.

<u>Revised portions of the Permit – the below language will replace the language in the corresponding areas of the Permit:</u>

<u>Part 1.4</u>

The permittee must manage, implement and enforce a stormwater management program (SWMP) in accordance with the Clean Water Act and corresponding stormwater NPDES regulations, 40 C.F.R. Part 122, to meet the following requirements:

1.4.1. Effectively prohibit pollutants in stormwater discharges or other unauthorized discharges into the MS4 as necessary to comply with existing District of Columbia Water Quality Standards (DCWQS);

1.4.2. Attain applicable wasteload allocations (WLAs) for each established or approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for each receiving water body, consistent with 33 U.S.C. \S 1342(p)(3)(B)(iii); 40 C.F.R. \S 122.44(k)(2) and (3); and

1.4.3. Comply with all other provisions and requirements contained in this permit, and in plans and schedules developed in fulfillment of this permit.

Compliance with the provisions contained in Parts 2 through 8 of this permit, including milestones and final dates for attainment of applicable WLAs, shall constitute adequate progress toward compliance with DCWQS and WLAs for this permit term.

Part 4.3.1:

4.3.1. Sanitary Sewage System Maintenance Overflow and Spill Prevention Response

The permittee shall implement an effective response protocol for overflows of the sanitary sewer system into the MS4. The response protocol shall clearly identify agencies responsible and telephone numbers and e-mail for any contact and shall contain at a minimum, procedures for:

1. Investigating any complaints received within 24 hours of the incident report.

- 2. Responding within two hours to overflows for containment.
- 3. Notifying appropriate sewer and public health agencies within 24 hours when the sanitary sewer overflows to the MS4.

ι.

4. Notifying the public in a timely and effective manner when SSO discharges to the MS4 may adversely affect public health.

This provision in no way authorizes sanitary sewer overflow discharges either directly or via the MS4.

Section 4.9.4.1:

4.9.4.1 The permittee shall continue to create opportunities for the public to participate in the decision making processes involving the implementation and update of the permittee's SWMP. In particular, the permittee shall provide meaningful opportunity for the public to participate in the development of the permittee's Consolidated TMDL Implementation Plan. The permittee shall continue to implement its process for consideration of public comments on their SWMP.

Section 4.10.3:

4.10.3 Consolidated TMDL Implementation Plan

For all TMDL wasteload allocations assigned to District MS4 discharges, the permittee shall develop, public notice and submit to EPA for review and approval a consolidated TMDL Implementation Plan within 30 months of the effective date of this permit provision. This Plan shall include, at a minimum, the following TMDLs and any subsequent updates:

- 1. TMDL for Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) in the Upper and Lower Anacostia River (2001)
- 2. TMDL for Fecal Coliform Bacteria in the Upper and Lower Anacostia River (2003)
- 3. TMDL for Organics and Metals in the Anacostia River and Tributaries (2003)
- 4. TMDL for Fecal Coliform Bacteria in Kingman Lake (2003)
- 5. TMDL for Total Suspended Solids, Oil and Grease and Biochemical Oxygen Demand in Kingman Lake (2003)
- 6. TMDL for Fecal Coliform Bacteria in Rock Creek (2004)
- 7. TMDL for Organics and Metals in the Tributaries to Rock Creek (2004)
- 8. TMDL for Fecal Coliform Bacteria in the Upper, Middle and Lower Potomac River and Tributaries (2004)
- 9. TMDL for Organics, Metals and Bacteria in Oxon Run (2004)
- 10. TMDL for Organics in the Tidal Basin and Washington Ship Channel (2004)
- 11. TMDL for Sediment/Total Suspended Solids for the Anacostia River Basin in Maryland and the District (2007) [pending resolution of court vacature, Anacostia Riverkeeper, Inc. v. Jackson, No. 09-cv-97 (RCL)]
- 12. TMDL for PCBs for Tidal Portions of the Potomac and Anacostia Rivers in the District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginia (2007)

- 13. TMDL for Nutrients/Biochemical Oxygen Demand for the Anacostia River Basin in Maryland and the District (2008)
- 14. TMDL for Trash for the Anacostia River Watershed, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties, Maryland and the District of Columbia (2010)
- 15. TMDL for Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Sediment for the Chesapeake Bay Watershed (2010)

This Plan shall place particular emphasis on the pollutants in Table 4, but shall also evaluate other pollutants of concern for which relevant WLAs exist. EPA will incorporate elements of the Consolidated TMDL Implementation Plan as enforceable permit provisions, including milestones and final dates for attainment of applicable WLAs. The permittee shall fully implement the Plan upon EPA approval. This Plan shall preempt any existing TMDL implementation plans for the relevant WLAs. To account for any new or revised TMDL established or approved by EPA with wasteload allocations assigned to District MS4 discharges, the permittee shall submit an updated Consolidated TMDL Implementation Plan annually, as necessary. Such updates will account for any actions taken in the 12-month period preceding the date 6 months before the revision is due. If necessary, the first such update will be due 18 months after submittal of the initial Plan, with subsequent updates due on the anniversary of the submittal date.

The Plan shall include:

- 1. A specified schedule for attainment of WLAs that includes final attainment dates and, where applicable, interim milestones and numeric benchmarks.
 - a. Numeric benchmarks will specify annual pollutant load reductions and the extent of control actions to achieve these numeric benchmarks.
 - b. Interim milestones will be included where final attainment of applicable WLAs requires more than five years. Milestone intervals will be as frequent as possible but will in no case be greater than five (5) years.
- 2. Demonstration using modeling of how each applicable WLA will be attained using the chosen controls, by the date for ultimate attainment.
- 3. An associated narrative providing an explanation for the schedules and controls included in the Plan.
- 4. Unless and until an applicable TMDL is no longer in effect (e.g., withdrawn, reissued or the water delisted), the Plan must include the elements in 1-3 above for each TMDL as approved or established.
- 5. The current version of the Plan will be posted on the permittee's website.

Section 4.10.4:

4.10.4 Adjustments to TMDL Implementation Strategies

If evaluation data, as outlined in the monitoring strategy being developed per Part 5.1, indicate insufficient progress towards attaining any WLA covered in 4.10.1, 4.10.2 or 4.10.3, the permittee shall make the appropriate adjustments within six (6) months to address the insufficient progress and document those adjustments in the Consolidated TMDL

Implementation Plan. The Plan modification shall include a reasonable assurance demonstration of the additional controls to achieve the incorporated milestones. Annual reports must include a description of progress as evaluated against all implementation objectives, milestones and benchmarks, as relevant, outlined in Part 4.10.

Section 5.1.1:

5.1.1 Design of the Revised Monitoring Program

Within 30 months of the effective date of Part 4.10.3 of this permit the permittee shall develop, public notice and submit to EPA for review and approval a revised monitoring program. The permittee shall fully implement the program upon EPA approval.

Section 9

Permit Definitions:

"Benchmark" as used in this permit is a quantifiable goal or target to be used to assess progress toward "milestones" (see separate definition) and WLAs, such as a numeric goal for BMP implementation. If a benchmark is not met, the permittee should take appropriate corrective action to improve progress toward meeting milestones or other objectives. Benchmarks are intended as an adaptive management aid and generally are not considered to be enforceable.

"Milestone" as used in this permit is an interim step toward attainment of a WLA that upon incorporation into the permit will become an enforceable limit or requirement to be achieved by a stated date. A milestone should be expressed in numeric terms, i.e. as a volume reduction, pollutant load, specified implementation action or set of actions or other objective metric, when possible and appropriate.

"Permittee" refers to the Government of the District of Columbia.

FACT SHEET

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit No. DC0000221 (Government of the District of Columbia) Modification #1

NPDES PERMIT NUMBER: DC0000221, Modification #1

FACILITY NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS:

Government of the District of Columbia The John A. Wilson Building 1350 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

MS4 ADMINISTRATOR NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS:

Director, District Department of the Environment 1200 First Street, N.E., 6th Floor Washington, D.C. 20002

FACILITY LOCATION:

District of Columbia's Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)

RECEIVING WATERS:

Potomac River, Anacostia River, Rock Creek, and Stream Segments Tributary To Each Such Water Body

INTRODUCTION:

Today's action finalizes a limited modification of the District of Columbia Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit. On September 30, 2011, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued the Phase I National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the District of Columbia Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System, Permit No. DC0000221. The permit became effective October 7, 2011.

On November 4, 2011, the Friends of the Earth, Anacostia Riverkeeper, Inc., Potomac Riverkeeper Inc., and Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. (collectively, the Environmental Petitioners) filed a petition requesting the Environmental Appeals Board (EAB) to review the permit (appeal 11-06). On the same day, the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority (DC Water) and the Wet Weather Partnership (WWP) also jointly filed a petition requesting the EAB to review the permit (appeal 11-05). As a result of the appeals, certain provisions of the permit were stayed, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. 124.16. See the document "DCMS4 Effective Dates

per Appeal signed 1.31.2012" at the following website: http://www.epa.gov/reg3wapd/npdes/dcpermits.htm.

On May 18, 2012, the EPA and the Environmental Petitioners (appeal 11-06) signed a settlement agreement in which the EPA agreed to propose modifications to language in several sections of the permit and to provide certain clarifications in the draft fact sheet for those proposed modifications. The EPA provided public notice of the proposed limited modifications on July 12, 2012 and provided a comment period of forty-five days. The public notice period closed on August 27, 2012. EPA received comments from five commenters (see Responsiveness Summary).

ACTION TAKEN:

EPA is today finalizing the limited modifications to the District of Columbia NPDES MS4 Permit, issued on September 30, 2011. The modified permit:

- provides additional public notice and input on the permittee's development of the Consolidated TMDL Implementation Plan;
- provides additional clarity and accountability for specific water quality-related outcomes, specifically on the content and timelines for the Consolidated TMDL Implementation Plan; and
- provides clarity that the Government of the District of Columbia is the sole permittee.

For all but one provision EPA is finalizing all modifications as proposed. EPA therefore directs readers to the July 12, 2012 Proposed Modification Fact Sheet for a discussion of those modifications.

Notifying the Public of SSO Discharges to the MS4

In response to comments EPA is modifying the public notification requirement for sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) to the MS4 to allow the permittee to develop its own criteria and procedures for determining which overflows may pose public health threats and when and how public notification should be accomplished. EPA believes strongly that SSOs pose significant health threats and that public notification is an important tool in mitigating those threats^{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9}.

³ U.S. EPA, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Requirements for Peak Wet Weather Discharges from Publicly Owned Treatment Works Treatment Plants Serving Separate Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems, December 2005.

⁴ U.S. EPA, Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs at Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems, January 2005.

⁵ U.S. EPA, Sanitary Sewer Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance Self-Assessment Check-list, (see Overflow Emergency Response Plan, page 22).

¹ U.S. EPA, Report to Congress: Impacts and Control of CSOs and SSOs, August 2004, EPA 833-R-04-001.

² U.S. EPA, *Why Control Sanitary Sewer Overflows?*, fact sheet.

For the duration of this permit term, rather than provide public notification within 24 hours of all SSOs to the MS4, EPA believes it is appropriate to allow the District to develop and demonstrate a procedure that will adequately address public health concerns attributable to SSOs to the MS4.

The final permit language allows the permittee to:

- determine which District agencies and/or departments will collaborate on and fulfill the relevant tasks;
- develop criteria to determine which overflows pose public health threats that should be publicized; and
- develop and utilize the notification mechanisms that will target and reach potentially affected populations within the necessary time frames.

EPA expects the permittee to describe these efforts in annual reports. EPA intends to revisit this permit provision with the next permit reissuance to determine if the District's public notification program is adequate.

Government of the District of Columbia is the Sole Permittee

As noted above, the modification to the definition of "Permittee" in Part 9 of the permit clarifies that the District of the Government of Columbia is the sole permittee. As such, it is responsible for allocating permit-related tasks and obligations amongst its various departments, agencies and authorities, pursuant to District of Columbia law.

⁶ American Society of Civil Engineers, Sanitary Sewer Overflow Solutions, Guidance Manual, April 2004.

⁷ U.S. EPA, Model NPDES Permit Language for Sanitary Sewer Overflows, August 2007 Draft.

⁸ U.S. EPA, NPDES Permit Requirements for Municipal Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems and SSOs, August 2007 Draft.

⁹ American Rivers, What's in Your Water? The State of Public Notification in 11 U.S. States, 2007.