

State of California
CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
Los Angeles Region

ORDER NO. R4-2003-0028
NPDES PERMIT NO. CA0056383

NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT
AND
WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS
FOR
CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER
(Harbor Generating Station Fuel Storage, North Skim Pond Tank Farm)

The California Regional Water Quality Board, Los Angeles Region (hereinafter Regional Board), finds:

Background

1. The City of Los Angeles, Department of Water and Power (hereinafter LADWP or Discharger) discharges wastes from its Harbor Generating Station Fuel Storage, North Skim Pond Tank Farm under waste discharge requirements (WDRs) contained in Order No. 92-085 adopted by this Regional Board on December 7, 1992. Order 92-085 serves as a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit (NPDES Permit No. CA0056383). Order No. 92-085 expired on November 10, 1997.
2. LADWP has filed a report of waste discharge (ROWD) and has applied for renewal of its WDRs and NPDES permit for discharge of wastes to surface waters.

Purpose of Order

3. The purpose of this Order is to renew the WDRs for the LADWP facility. This NPDES permit regulates the discharge of storm water runoff from the storage tank farm area and loading dock fire protection test water runoff into a storm drain on Fries Avenue, via Discharge Serial No. 001, thence to Los Angeles Inner Harbor, a water of the United States. The point of discharge of wastewater is located at latitude 33°46'11" North, longitude 118°15'48" West.

Facility Description

4. LADWP operates a fuel storage facility for the Harbor Generating Station located at 100 North Fries Avenue, Wilmington, California. The Harbor Generating Station generates electric power. The facility consists of one fuel storage tank (with a capacity of 378,000 gallons) in a tank farm area surrounded by a 10-foot earthen dike. The storage tank farm area comprises approximately 100 square feet. The storage tank is used for backup storage of No. 2 diesel fuel oil for the generating station. Storm water is collected in the tank farm area and may pick up pollutants from that area and the loading dock area. Storm water is collected in a containment area, directed to a four-stage oil skim pond, and then directed to a storm drain on Fries Avenue that discharges to the Los Angeles Inner Harbor.

The oil skim pond is designed to remove petroleum compounds and grease picked up by the storm water runoff. Skimmed oil is removed from the oil skim pond and is hauled off-site for legal disposal.

5. LADWP maintains a fire protection system for the storage tank farm area. The fire protection system uses a high-protein, nontoxic, biodegradable fire-fighting foam that is injected directly into the storage tank when the system is activated. LADWP also performs annual fire protection system testing in the storage tank farm area. The testing of the fire protection system does not result in any discharge of foam fire retardant. The testing procedure involves testing electrical connections and mechanical features; therefore, no wastewater is generated during the procedure.
6. LADWP also maintains a fire protection system at the loading dock that consists of 28 overhead sprinklers. The sprinklers discharge municipal water with no additives when the fire protection system is activated. The loading dock sprinkler system test consists of running the sprinklers at a rate of 100 gallons per minute (gpm) for 5 minutes. Runoff is generated when the sprinklers are tested.
7. Wastes discharged to surface water include storm water runoff from the storage tank farm area and loading dock fire protection system test water. Because of the limited capacity of the local publicly owned treatment works, the discharge of these wastes into the sanitary sewer is restricted.

Discharge Description

8. Storm water and loading dock fire protection system test water are passed through a four-stage oil skimming pond before they are discharged to the storm drain on Fries Avenue.

Figure 1 depicts the facility site map and location of the discharge point. Figure 2 depicts the water flow diagram for the LADWP facility.

9. LADWP intermittently discharges up to 142,000 gallons per day (gpd) of storm water runoff from the storage tank farm area commingled within the skim pond with 500 gpd of loading dock fire protection test water runoff then to a storm drain located on Fries Avenue. The wastewater then flows to Los Angeles Inner Harbor, a water of the United States.

Storm Water Management

10. LADWP has implemented a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) in accordance with the existing individual permit, and is consistent with the SWPPP requirements in the NPDES General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity [State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) Order No. 97-03-DWQ, NPDES Permit No. CAS000001]. The updated, individual permit requires the Discharger to update and implement its SWPPP. The SWPPP will outline site-specific management processes for minimizing storm water runoff contamination and for preventing contaminated storm water runoff from being discharged directly into surface waters.

11. The objective of this Order is to protect the beneficial uses of receiving waters. To meet this objective, this Order requires that the SWPPP specify BMPs that will be implemented to reduce the discharge of pollutants in storm water and non-storm water to the maximum extent practicable. Further, the Discharger shall assure that storm water and non-storm water discharges from the facility would neither cause, nor contribute to, the exceedance of water quality standards and objectives, nor create conditions of nuisance in the receiving water.

Applicable Plans, Policies, and Regulations

12. On June 13, 1994, the Regional Board adopted a revised *Water Quality Control Plan for the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties* (Basin Plan) as amended on January 27, 1997 by Regional Board Resolution No. 97-02. The Basin Plan (i) designates beneficial uses for surface and groundwaters, (ii) sets narrative and numerical objectives that must be attained or maintained to protect the designated beneficial uses and conform to the state antidegradation policy (*Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality Waters in California*, State Board Resolution No. 68-16, October 28, 1968), and (iii) describes implementation programs to protect all waters in the Region. In addition, the Basin Plan incorporates (by reference) applicable State and Regional Board plans and policies and other pertinent water quality policies and regulations. The Regional Board prepared the 1994 update of the Basin Plan to be consistent with all previously adopted State and Regional Board plans and policies. This Order implements the plans, policies and provisions of the Regional Board's Basin Plan.
13. On June 13, 1994, the Regional Board adopted a revised *Water Quality Control Plan for the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles and Ventura Counties* (Basin Plan). The Basin Plan contains water quality objectives for, and lists the following beneficial uses of the Los Angeles Inner Harbor:

Existing: industrial service supply, navigation, non-contact water recreation, preservation of rare and endangered species, commercial and sport fishing, marine habitat.

Potential: water contact recreation and shellfish harvesting.
14. The State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) adopted a *Water Quality Control Plan for Control of Temperature in the Coastal and Interstate Water and Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California* (Thermal Plan) on May 18, 1972, and amended this plan on September 18, 1975. This plan contains temperature objectives for Los Angeles Inner Harbor.
15. On May 18, 2000, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) promulgated numeric criteria for priority pollutants for the State of California [known as the *California Toxics Rule* (CTR) and codified as 40 CFR §131.38]. In the CTR, USEPA promulgated criteria that protect the general population at an incremental cancer risk level of one in a million (10^{-6}), for all priority toxic pollutants regulated as carcinogens. The CTR also provides a schedule of compliance not to exceed 5 years from the date of permit issuance for a point source discharge if the Discharger demonstrates that it is infeasible to promptly comply with the CTR criteria.

16. Under 40 CFR 122.44(d), Water Quality Standards and State Requirements, "Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters (either conventional, non-conventional, or toxic pollutants), which the Director [permitting authority] determines are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any State water quality standard, including State narrative criteria for water quality." Where numeric effluent limitations for a pollutant or pollutant parameter have not been established in the applicable state water quality control plan, 40 CFR section 122.44(d)(1)(vi) specifies that WQBELs may be set based on USEPA criteria, and may be supplemented where necessary by other relevant information to attain and maintain narrative water quality criteria, and to fully protect designated beneficial uses.
17. Effluent limitation guidelines requiring the application of best practicable control technology currently available (BPT), best conventional pollutant control technology (BCT), and best available technology economically achievable (BAT), were promulgated by the USEPA for some pollutants in this discharge. Effluent limitations for pollutants not subject to the USEPA effluent limitation guidelines are based on one of the following: best professional judgment (BPJ) of BPT, BCT or BAT; current plant performance; or WQBELs. The WQBELs are based on the Basin Plan, other State plans and policies, or USEPA water quality criteria which are taken from the California Toxics Rule (CTR). These requirements, as they are met, will protect and maintain existing beneficial uses of the receiving water. The attached fact sheet for this Order includes specific bases for the effluent limitations.
18. State and Federal antibacksliding and antidegradation policies require that the Regional Board take actions to protect the water quality of a water body and to ensure that the waterbody will not be further degraded. The antibacksliding provisions are specified in section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and in the Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR), section 122.44(l). Those provisions require a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions where effluent limitations may be relaxed.
19. Effluent limitations prescribed in this Order are based on the Basin Plan, existing permit limits, and established in accordance with sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the federal CWA, and amendments thereto. These requirements, as they are met, will protect and maintain the beneficial uses of Los Angeles Inner Harbor.

Watershed Management Approach and Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

20. The Regional Board has implemented the Watershed Management Approach to address water quality issues in the region. Watershed management may include diverse issues as defined by stakeholders to identify comprehensive solutions to protect, maintain, enhance, and restore water quality and beneficial uses. To achieve this goal, the Watershed Management Approach integrates the Regional Board's many diverse programs, particularly Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs), to better assess cumulative impacts of pollutants from all point and non-point sources. A TMDL is a tool for implementing water quality standards and is based on the relationship between pollution sources and in-stream water quality conditions. The TMDL establishes the allowable loadings or other quantifiable parameters for a waterbody and thereby provides the basis to establish water quality-based controls. These controls should provide the pollution reduction necessary for a waterbody to meet

water quality standards. This process facilitates the development of watershed-specific solutions that balance the environmental and economic impacts within the watershed. The TMDLs will establish waste load allocation (WLAs) and load allocations (LAs) for point and non-point sources, and will result in achieving water quality standards for the waterbody.

21. The Los Angeles/Long Beach Harbors are located in the southern portion of the Los Angeles Basin in the greater San Pedro Bay. Together with Dominguez Channel, these harbors receive discharges from highly industrialized areas.
22. The Los Angeles Inner Harbor is classified as impaired in the State Board's 1998 California 303(d) list. The pollutants of concern, detected in the channel water, sediment, and in the fish tissue, are dichlorodiphenyl trichloroethane (DDT), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), metals, tributyltin (TBT), and chlordane.

The TMDL development for Dominguez Channel/LA-LB Harbor watershed is scheduled for fiscal year 2003-2004, beginning with coliform. The TMDLs will include WLAs for the 303(d)-listed pollutants, and the Board will adopt a WQBEL consistent with the corresponding WLA. If authorized, a time schedule might be included in a revised permit to require compliance with the final WQBEL.

23. To prevent further degradation of the water quality of Los Angeles Inner Harbor and to protect its beneficial uses, mixing zones and dilution credits are not allowed in this Order. This determination is based on:
 - The discharge may contain the 303(d)-listed pollutants that exceed water column criteria. Since the receiving water is impaired, a dilution factor is not appropriate and the final WQBEL should be numeric objective/criterion applied end-of-pipe.
 - The discharge may contain the 303(d)-listed pollutants that are bioaccumulative. These pollutants, when exceeding water criteria within the mixing zone, can potentially result in tissue contamination of organisms directly or indirectly through contamination of bed sediments with subsequent incorporation into the food chain.

Data Availability and Reasonable Potential Monitoring

24. 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii) requires that each toxic pollutant be analyzed with respect to its reasonable potential when determining whether a discharge (1) causes; (2) has the reasonable potential to cause; or (3) contributes to the exceedance of a receiving water quality objective. This is done by performing a reasonable potential analysis (RPA) for each pollutant. In performing the RPA, the permitting authority uses procedures that account for existing controls on point and nonpoint sources of pollution, the variability of the pollutant or pollutant parameter in the effluent, and the sensitivity of the species to toxicity testing (when evaluating whole effluent toxicity). Because of effluent variability, there is always some degree of uncertainty in determining an effluent's impact on the receiving water. The USEPA's *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control (TSD) of 1991* (USEPA/505/2-90-001), addresses this issue by suggesting the use of a statistical approach. Sufficient effluent data are needed to perform the RPA.

25. There is insufficient monitoring data available to perform RPA to the priority pollutants. The TSD requires the dischargers to submit sufficient data to conduct the determination of priority pollutants requiring WQBELs and to calculate the effluent limitations. This permit includes an interim monitoring requirements to obtain the necessary data.
26. This permit will be reopened to include effluent limitations for toxic constituents determined to be present in significant amounts in the discharge through a more comprehensive monitoring program included as part of this Order and based on the results of the RPA.
27. The existing permit contains acute toxicity limitations and monitoring requirements. This Order will require LADWP to continue to monitor the discharge for acute toxicity.

CEQA and Notifications

28. The Regional Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to issue waste discharge requirements for this discharge, and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written views and recommendations.
29. The Regional Board, in a public hearing, heard and considered all comments pertaining to the discharge and to the tentative requirements.
30. This Order shall serve as a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit pursuant to Section 402 of the Federal Clean Water Act or amendments thereto, and shall take effect at the end of ten days from the date of its adoption provided the Regional Administrator, USEPA has no objections.
31. Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13320, any aggrieved party may seek review of this Order by filing a petition with the State Board. A petition must be sent to the State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel, ATTN: Elizabeth Miller Jennings, Senior Staff Counsel, 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor, Sacramento, California, 95814, within 30 days of adoption of this Order.
32. The issuance of waste discharge requirements for this discharge is exempt from the provisions of Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 21100) of Division 13 of the Public Resources Code (CEQA) in accordance with the California Water Code, Section 13389.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the City of Los Angeles, Department of Water and Power, in order to meet the provisions contained in Division 7 of the California Water Code and regulations adopted there under, and the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act and regulations and guidelines adopted there under, shall comply with the following:

I. DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS

A. Discharge Prohibition

1. Wastes discharged from Discharge Serial No. 001 shall be limited to storm water runoff from the storage tank farm area and loading dock fire protection system

test water, as proposed. The discharge of wastes from accidental spills or other sources is prohibited.

2. Discharges of water, materials, thermal wastes, elevated temperature wastes, toxic wastes, deleterious substances, or wastes other than those authorized by this Order, to a storm drain system, tributaries to Los Angeles Inner Harbor, or waters of the State are prohibited.

B. Effluent Limitations For Storm Water and Fire Protection System Test Water

The discharge of an effluent from Discharge Serial No. 001 containing constituents violating or in excess of the following limits is prohibited:

1. A pH value less than 6.5 or greater than 8.5.
2. Temperature:
 - a) A temperature greater than 100 °F; and
 - b) The maximum temperature of the discharge shall not exceed the natural receiving water temperature by more than 20 °F.
3. Toxicity limitations:

Acute Toxicity Limitation and Requirements

- a. The acute toxicity of the effluent shall be such that: (i) the average survival in the undiluted effluent for any three (3) consecutive 96-hour static or continuous flow bioassay tests shall be at least 90%, and (ii) no single test producing less than 70% survival.
- b. If either of the above requirement specified in Item I.B.3.a. is not met, the Discharger shall conduct six additional tests over a six-week period. The Discharger shall ensure that they receive results of a failing acute toxicity test within 24 hours of the completion of the test and the additional tests shall begin within 3 business days of the receipt of the result. If the additional tests indicate compliance with acute toxicity limitation, the Discharger may resume regular testing. However if the results of any two of the six accelerated tests are less than 90% survival, then the Discharger shall begin a Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE). The TIE shall include all reasonable steps to identify the source(s) of toxicity. Once the source(s) of toxicity is identified, the Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to reduce the toxicity to meet the objective.
- c. If the initial test and any of the additional six acute toxicity bioassay tests result in less than 70% survival, including the initial test, the Discharger shall immediately begin a TIE.

- d. The Discharger shall conduct acute toxicity monitoring as specified in Monitoring and Reporting Program No. 6004.
4. In addition to the Requirements I.B.1 through I.B.3, the discharge of **storm water and/or fire protection system test water** from Discharge Serial No. 001 containing constituents in excess of the following limits is prohibited:

Constituents	Units	Discharge Limitations ^{1/}	
		Monthly Average	Daily Maximum
Total suspended solids	mg/L	50	75
Turbidity	NTU	50	75
Settleable solids ^{2/}	ml/L	0.1	0.3
BOD ₅ 20°C	mg/L	20	30
Oil and Grease	mg/L	10	15
Sulfides	mg/L	---	1.0
Phenols	mg/L	---	1.0

^{1/} The monthly average concentration shall be the arithmetic average of all the values of daily concentrations calculated using the results of analyses of all samples collected during the month. If only one sample is taken in that month, compliance shall be based on this sample result.

The mass emission (in lb/day) for the discharge shall be calculated and reported using the limitation concentration and the actual flow rate measured at the time of discharge, using the formula:

$$m = 8.34 C_i Q$$

where: m = mass discharge for a pollutant, lb/day
 C_i = limitation concentration for a pollutant, mg/L
 Q = actual discharge flow rate, mgd

^{2/} Not applicable to storm water.

C. Receiving Water Limitations

1. The discharge shall not cause the following conditions to exist in the receiving waters:
 - a) Floating, suspended, or deposited macroscopic particulate matter or foam;
 - b) Alteration of temperature, turbidity, or apparent color beyond present natural background levels;

- d. Suspended or settleable materials in concentrations that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses;
 - e. Taste or odor-producing substances in concentrations that alter the natural taste, odor, and/or color of fish, shellfish, or other edible aquatic resources; cause nuisance; or adversely affect beneficial uses;
 - f. Substances that result in increases of $BOD_5^{20^{\circ}C}$ that adversely affect beneficial uses;
7. The discharge shall not alter the color, create a visual contrast with the natural appearance, nor cause aesthetically undesirable discoloration of the receiving waters.
 8. The discharge shall not degrade surface water communities and population including vertebrate, invertebrate, and plant species.
 9. The discharge shall not damage, discolor, nor cause formation of sludge deposits on flood control structures or facilities nor overload their design capacity.
 10. The discharge shall not cause problems associated with breeding of mosquitoes, gnats, black flies, midges, or other pests.

II. REQUIREMENTS

A. The Discharger shall submit within 90 days of the effective date of this Order:

1. An updated Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) that describes site-specific management practices for minimizing contamination of storm water runoff and for preventing contaminated storm water runoff from being discharged directly to waters of the State. The SWPPP shall be developed in accordance with the requirements in Attachment M.
2. A Best Management Practices Plan (BMPP) that entails site-specific plans and procedures implemented and/or to be implemented to prevent hazardous waste/material from being discharged to waters of the State. The BMPP shall be consistent with the general guidance contained in the EPA *Guidance Manual for Developing Best Management Practices (BMPs)* (EPA 833-B-93-004). In particular, a risk assessment of each area identified by the Discharger shall be performed to determine the potential for hazardous or toxic waste/material discharge to surface waters.

Both plans shall cover all areas of the facility and shall include an updated drainage map for the facility. The Discharger shall identify on a map of appropriate scale the areas that contribute runoff to the permitted discharge points; describe the activities in each area and the potential for contamination of storm water runoff and the discharge

- of hazardous waste/material; and address the feasibility of containment and/or treatment of the storm water. The plans shall be reviewed annually and at the same time. Updated information shall be submitted within 30 days of revision.
- B.** The Discharger shall submit within 180 days of the effective date of this Order an updated Spill Contingency Plan. The Contingency Plan shall be site-specific and shall cover all areas of the facility. The Contingency Plan shall be reviewed at the same time as the SWPPP and BMPP. Updated information shall be submitted within 30 days of revision.
- C.** The Discharger shall implement or require the implementation of the most effective combination of BMPs for storm water pollution control. When implemented, BMPs are intended to result in the reduction of pollutants in storm water to the maximum extent practicable.
- D.** Oil or oily materials, chemicals, refuse, or other materials that may cause pollution in storm water and/or urban runoff shall not be stored or deposited in areas where they may be picked up by rainfall/urban runoff and discharged to surface waters. Any spill of such materials shall be contained, removed, and cleaned immediately.
- E.** In the determination of compliance with the monthly average limitations, the following provisions shall apply to all constituents:
1. If the analytical result of a single sample, monitored monthly or at a lesser frequency, does not exceed the monthly average limit for that constituent, the Discharger will have demonstrated compliance with the monthly average limit for that month.
 2. If the analytical result of a single sample, monitored monthly or at a lesser frequency, exceeds the monthly average limit for any constituent, the Discharger shall collect three additional samples at approximately equal intervals during the month provided that there are subsequent discharge events. All four analytical results shall be reported in the monitoring report for that month, or 45 days after the sample was obtained, whichever is later.

If the numerical average of the analytical result of these four samples does not exceed the monthly average limit for that constituent, compliance with the monthly average limit has been demonstrated for that month. Otherwise, the monthly average limit has been violated.
 3. In the event of noncompliance with a monthly average effluent limitation, the sampling frequency for that constituent shall be increased to weekly provided that there are subsequent discharge events and shall continue at this level until compliance with the monthly average effluent limitation has been demonstrated.
 4. Any single reported value which exceeds a daily maximum effluent concentration of the waste discharge requirements shall be considered a violation of said limit.

If there is any conflict between the provisions stated herein before and the attached "Standard Provisions", those stated hereinbefore prevail.

- F.** Pursuant to the requirements of 40 CFR 122.42(a), the Discharger must notify the Board as soon as it knows, or has reason to believe (1) that it has begun or expected to begin, to use or manufacture a toxic pollutant not reported in the permit application, or (2) a discharge of toxic pollutant not limited by this Order has occurred, or will occur, in concentrations that exceed the specified limits in 40 CFR 122.42(a).
- G.** The discharger shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems installed or used to achieve compliance with this Order.
- H.** The Discharger shall comply with the waste load allocations that will be developed from the TMDL process for the 303(d) listed pollutants.
- I.** The discharge of any product registered under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act to any waste stream which may ultimately be released to waters of the United States, is prohibited unless specifically authorized elsewhere in this permit or another NPDES permit. This requirement is not applicable to products used for lawn and agricultural purposes.
- J.** The discharge of any waste resulting from the combustion of toxic or hazardous wastes to any waste stream which ultimately discharges to waters of the United States is prohibited, unless specifically authorized elsewhere in this permit.
- K.** The Discharger shall notify the Executive Officer in writing no later than six months prior to the planned discharge of any chemical, other than chlorine or other product previously reported to the Executive Officer, which may be toxic to aquatic life. Such notification shall include:
 - a. Name and general composition of the chemical,
 - b. Frequency of use,
 - c. Quantities to be used,
 - d. Proposed discharge concentrations, and
 - e. USEPA registration number, if applicable.

No discharge of such chemical shall be made prior to the Executive Officer's approval.

- L.** The Regional Board and USEPA shall be notified immediately, by telephone, of the presence of adverse conditions in the receiving waters or on beaches and shores as a result of wastes discharged; written confirmation shall follow as soon as possible but not later than five working days after occurrence.

III. PROVISIONS

- A. This Order includes the attached *Standard Provisions and General Monitoring and Reporting Requirements* (Standard Provisions, Attachment N). If there is any conflict between provisions stated hereinbefore and the attached Standard Provisions, the provisions stated hereinbefore prevail.
- B. This Order includes the attached Monitoring and Reporting Program. If there is any conflict between provisions stated in the Monitoring and Reporting Program and the Standard Provisions, the provisions stated in the former prevail.
- C. The Discharger shall comply with the requirements of SWPPP updates associated with industrial activity (State Board Order No. 97-03-DWQ adopted on April 17, 1997) and SWPPP updates and monitoring and reporting requirements of State Board general permit for discharges of storm water and Construction Activity (State Board Order No. 99-08-DWQ adopted on August 19, 1999). This Order R4-2003-0028 shall take precedence where conflicts or differences arise between it and the aforementioned Orders.
- D. This Order includes the relevant requirements contained in the attached *Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan Requirements* (Attachment M).
- E. Discharge of wastes to any point other than that specifically described in this Order and permit is prohibited and constitutes a violation thereof.
- F. The Discharger shall comply with all applicable effluent limitations, national standards of performance, toxic, and all federal regulations established pursuant to Sections 208(b), 301, 302, 303(d), 304, 306, 307, 316, 403, and 405 of the Federal Clean Water Act and amendments thereto.
- G. The Discharger must comply with the lawful requirements of municipalities, counties, drainage districts, and other local agencies regarding discharges of storm water to their storm drain systems or other watercourses under their jurisdiction, including applicable requirements in municipal storm water management programs developed to comply with NPDES permits issued by the Regional Board to local agencies.
- H. Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.61(b), coverage under this Order may be transferred in case of change of ownership of land or discharge facility provided the existing discharger notifies the Executive Officer at least 30 days before the proposed transfer date, and the notice includes a written agreement between the existing and new dischargers containing a specific date of transfer of coverage, responsibility for compliance with this Order, and liability between them.

IV. REOPENERS

- A.** This Order may be reopened to include effluent limitations for toxic constituents determined to be present in significant amounts in the discharge through a more comprehensive monitoring program included as part of this Order and based on the results of the RPA.
- B.** This Order may be reopened and modified, to incorporate in accordance with the provisions set forth in 40 CFR Parts 122 and 124, to include requirements for the implementation of the watershed management approach.
- C.** This Order may be reopened and modified, in accordance with the provisions set forth in 40 CFR Parts 122 and 124, to include new MLs.
- D.** This Order may be reopened and modified to revise effluent limitations as a result of future Basin Plan Amendments, such as an update of an objective or the adoption of a TMDL for Los Angeles Inner Harbor.
- E.** This Order may be reopened upon submission by the Discharger of adequate information, as determined by the Regional Board, to provide for dilution credits or a mixing zone, as may be appropriate.
- F.** This Order may be reopened and modified to revise the toxicity language once that language becomes standardized.
- G.** This Order may also be reopened and modified, revoked, and reissued or terminated in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR sections 122.44, 122.62 to 122.64, 125.62, and 125.64. Causes for taking such actions include, but are not limited to, failure to comply with any condition of this Order and permit, and endangerment to human health or the environment resulting from the permitted activity.

V. EXPIRATION DATE

This Order expires on December 10, 2007.

The Discharger must file a Report of Waste Discharge in accordance with Title 23, California Code of Regulations, not later than 180 days in advance of such date as application for issuance of new waste discharge requirements.

VI. RESCISSION

Order No. 92-085, adopted by this Regional Board on December 7, 1992, is hereby rescinded except for enforcement purposes.

I, Dennis Dickerson, Executive Officer, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order adopted by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, on January 30, 2003.

Dennis A. Dickerson
Executive Officer