ERRATA SHEET TENTATIVE ORDER NO. R9-2010-0086, NPDES NO. CA0107981

WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE CITY OF ESCONDIDO, HALE AVENUE RESOURCE RECOVERY FACILITY DISCHARGE TO THE PACIFIC OCEAN VIA THE SAN ELIJO OCEAN OUTFALL

The following changes have been made to Tentative Order No. R9-2010-0086. Changes below are shown in **bold and underline**/strikeout format to indicate added and removed language, respectively.

Errata	Page	Section/	Revision					
No.	No.	Table						
1	1	Table 3	Based on Comment No. 1:					
			This Order was adopted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board on:	September 8, 2010				
			This Order shall become effective on:	December 1, 2010 October 28, 2010				
			This Order shall expire on:	This Order shall expire on: November 30, 2010 October 27, 2015				
			The Discharger shall file a Report of Waste Disch Regulations, not later than 180 days in advance of issuance of new waste discharge requirements.	arge in accordance with Title 23, California Code of f the Order expiration date as application for				
2	18	VI.A.2.i.	Based on Comment No. 2: This Order expires on November 30, 2010October 27, 2015, after which, the terms and conditions of this permit are automatically continued pending issuance of a new permit, provided that all requirements of USEPA's NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.6 and the State's regulations at CCR Title 23, section 2235.4 regarding the continuation of expired permits and waste discharge requirements are met.					
3	25	VI.C.5.c.iv. (c)	Based on Comment No. 3:	ostruction to flow in sewers, or which cause other interference	,			

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table	Revision	
4	28	Table	Based on Comment No. 6 and 10: The Discharger shall comply with the following time schedly Facility does not cause or contribute to excursion above the Characteristics contained in Section V.A.1.of this Order:	
			Task	Compliance Date
			1. Prepare and submit a proposed work plan that outlines the tasks and the approach to be used in evaluating and selecting alternatives for ensuring compliance with Bacterial Characteristics receiving water limitations.	No later than 6 months after the adoption date of this Order
			42. Submit plan and alternatives analysis for ensuring compliance with Bacterial Characteristics receiving water limitations outside the Initial Dilution Zone of the San Elijo Ocean Outfall. The proposed plan shall include a schedule for completion that reflects a realistic assessment of the shortest practicable time required to perform each task.	Within 6No later than 18 months of after the adoption date of this Order
			23. Complete financial arrangements for selected alternative	Within 9 No later than 30 months of after the adoption date of this Order
			3. Begin implementation of selected alternative 4. Initiate construction of any required facilities	Within 12 No later than 36 months of after the adoption date of this Order
			5. Complete construction of required facilities and initiate facilities start-up	No later than 48 months after the adoption date of this Order
			6. Identify and implement operational refinements and confirm compliance with Bacterial Characteristics receiving water limitations	No later than 60 months after the adoption date of this Order
			47. Achieve full compliance with Bacterial Characteristics receiving water limitations outside the Initial Dilution Zone of the San Elijo Ocean Outfall	Within 36 No later than 60 months of after the adoption date of this Order

Errata	Page	Section/	Revision					
No.	No.	Table						
4 Continued	28	Table	The Discharger shall implement the plan identified in Task 2 of the above schedule in accordance with the shortest practicable time required to complete each task, but in no case later than the Compliance Dates listed in the above schedule. The Discharger shall submit to the Regional San Diego Water Board on or before each compliance date, the specified document or, if appropriate, a written report detailing compliance or noncompliance with the specific schedule date and task. If noncompliance is being reported, the reasons for such noncompliance shall be stated, and shall include an estimate of the date when the Discharger will be in compliance. The Discharger shall notify the Regional San Diego Water Board by letter when it returns to compliance with the time schedule. Progress reports shall be submitted annually according to the schedule in Table E-13 of this Order and shall continue until compliance is achieved.					
5	32	VII.I.2.f	A single operation	Based on Comment No. 4: A single operational upset (SOU) that leads to simultaneous violations <u>erof</u> more than one pollutant parameter shall be treated as a single violation and limits the Discharger's liability in accordance with the following				
6	E-15	X.A.4	Based on Comment No. 8: By <u>FebruaryMarch 1</u> of each year, the Discharger shall submit an annual report to the San Diego Water Board and USEPA Region 9 that contains tabular and graphical summaries of the monitoring data obtained during the previous year. The Discharger shall discuss the compliance record and corrective actions taken, or which may be taken, or which may be needed to bring the discharge into full compliance with the requirements of this Order and this MRP.					
7	E-16	Table E-13	Based on Comm	ent No. 9:				
			Sampling Frequency	Monitoring Period Begins	Monitoring Period	SMR Due Date		
			1/Year	January 1 following (or on) permit effective date.	January 1 through December 31	FebruaryMarch 1 (Biosolids Report – February 19)		
				1 oblidary 10)				

Errata	Page	Section/	Revision
No.	No.	Table	
8	F-35	Paragraph VII.6	Based on Comment No. 6 and 10: Prior to this Order, the San Diego Water Board has interpreted the Bacterial Characteristics Water-contact Standards of the California Ocean Plan (Receiving Water Limitations Section V.A1) to apply only in the zone bounded by the shoreline and a distance 1,000 feet from the shoreline or the 30-foot depth contour, whichever is further from the shoreline, and within kelp beds. The 2005 Ocean Plan also has language that these standards also apply in areas outside this zone used for water contact sports, as determined by the Regional Board (i.e., waters designated as REC-1). These designations would need to be specified in the San Diego Water Board Basin Plan. Because the San Diego Water Board has not completed a process to designate specific areas where the water-contact standards apply, Ocean Plan Bacterial Standards apply throughout all ocean waters in the San Diego Region. This interpretation has been confirmed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). In order to ensure that the discharger is not causing, or contributing to, excursions of the Bacterial Characteristics Water-contact Standards contained in the Ocean Plan, this Order requires the discharge to comply with a time schedule to ensure compliance with the standards. The time schedule requires the discharger to 1) prepare and submit a proposed work plan that outlines the tasks and the approach to be used in evaluating and selecting alternatives for ensuring compliance with Bacterial Characteristics receiving water limitation. 2) submit a plan and alternatives analysis, 23) complete financial arrangements for the selected alternative, 34) begin implementation of the selected alternative initiate construction of any required facilities, and 45) complete construction of required facilities and initiate facilities start-up, 6) identify and implement operational refinements and confirm compliance with Bacterial Characteristics receiving water limitations outside the Initial Dilution Zone of the San Elijo Oce

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table	Revision (See Response to Comments for Reference, if applicable)
9	1	Table 1	The United States Environmental Protection Agency and the Regional Water Quality Control Board California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region have classified this discharge as a major discharge.
10	1	Table 3	This Order was adopted by the Regional Water Quality Control Board California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region on:
11	4	Section II.F Second to last sentence	Technology-based effluent limitations contained in Table A of the <u>2005</u> Ocean Plan, which include grease and oil, suspended solids, settleable solids, turbidity, and pH, are also applicable to discharges from POTWs.
12	12	Table 8	Chlorodibromomethane
13	13	Table 8 End note1 Last sentence	In this notation a value of 6.1E-02 represents 6.1 x 10-2 or 0.061, 6.1E+02 represents 6.1 x 10\(\frac{2}{2}\) or 610, and 6.1E+00 represents 6.1 x 100 or 6.1.
14	14	Table 8 End Note 4 Fourth line	where y =the water quality objective (in ugl/l) to apply when chlorine is being discharged;
15	14	Table 8 End Note 4 Last Sentence	Actual effluent limitations for total chlorine, when discharging intermittently, shall then be determined according to Implementation Procedures for Table B from the Ocean Plan-(2001), using a minimum probable initial dilution factor of 237 and a flow rate of 18.0 MGD.
16	15	Paragraph V	Unless specifically excepted by this Order, the discharge, by itself or jointly with any other discharge(s), shall not cause violation of the following water quality objectives. Compliance with these objectives shall be determined by samples collected at stations representative of the area within the waste field where initial dilution is completed.
17	16	Paragraph V.A.3.g	Numerical water quality objectives established in Chapter IT, Table B of the California Ocean Plan-(2001) shall not be exceeded outside of the zone of initial dilution as a result of discharges from the Hale Avenue Resource Recovery Facility.
18	21	Paragraph VI.C.2.b.i	If a spill results in a discharge of treated or untreated wastewater that is greater than 1,000 gallons and/or reaches drainage channel, surface waters, or storm drainpipe equal or exceed 1000 gallons, or result in a discharge to a drainage channel and/or surface water; or discharge to a storm drainpipe that was not fully captured and returned to the sanitary sewer system., the Discharger shall:
19	21	Paragraph VI.C.2.b.i.(b)	Upon request by the San Diego Water Board, sSubmit a written report, as well as any additional pertinent information, to the San Diego Water Board no later than five days following the starting date of the spill event.

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table	Revision (See Response to Comments for Reference, if applicable)
20	22	Paragraph VI.C.2.c	If the discharge consistently exceeds the performance goal for chronic toxicity specified in section IV.A.2, the Discharger shall conduct a Toxicity Reduction Evaluation (TRE), as defined in Attachment A. The TRE shall include all reasonable steps to identify the source of toxicity. The Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to reduce toxicity to the required level once the source of toxicity is identified. If the toxicity testing result shows an exceedance of the chronic toxicity performance goal, the Discharger shall: Take all reasonable measures necessary to immediately minimize toxicity; and Increase the frequency of the toxicity test(s) that showed a violation to at least two times per month until the results of at least two consecutive toxicity tests do not show violations. The additional toxicity tests will be incorporated into the monthly discharge monitoring report within 1 month after the completion of the accelerated monitoring and submitted to the San Diego Water Board pursuant to the MRP (Attachment E). If the additional tests indicate that toxicity performance goals are being consistently violated (at least three exceedances out of six tests), the Discharger shall conduct a TRE and a Toxicity Identification Evaluation (TIE). If the performance goal for chronic toxicity is exceeded in any one test, then within 15 days of the exceedance, the Discharger shall begin conducting six additional tests, bi-weekly, over a 12 week
			If the toxicity effluent limitation is exceeded in any of these six additional tests, then the Discharger shall notify the Executive Officer and Director. If the Executive Officer and Director determine that the discharge consistently exceeds a toxicity effluent limitation, then the Discharger shall initiate a TRE/TIE in accordance with the TRE workplan, Toxicity Reduction Evaluation Guidance for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants (USEPA 833-B-99-002, 1999), and USEPA TIE guidance documents (Phase I, EPA/600/6-91/005F, 1992; Phase II, EPA/600/R-92/080, 1993; and Phase III, EPA/600/R-92/081, 1993). Once the source of toxicity is identified, the Discharger shall take all reasonable steps to reduce the toxicity to meet the chronic toxicity performance goal identified in section IV.A.2 of this Order.
			Within 30 days of completion of the TRE/TIE, the Discharger shall submit the results of the TRE/TIE, including a summary of the findings, data generated, a list of corrective actions necessary to achieve consistent compliance with all the toxicity limitations/performance goals of this Order and prevent recurrence of exceedances of those limitations/performance goals, and a time schedule for implementation of such corrective actions. The corrective actions and time schedule shall be modified at the direction of the Executive Officer. If no toxicity is detected in any of these additional six tests, then the Discharger may return to the
21	29	Paragraph VII.B 1 st sentence	If the average of daily discharges over a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) exceeds the AWEL for a given parameter, andan alleged violation will be flagged and the Discharger will be considered out of compliance for each day of that week for that parameter, resulting in 7 days of noncompliance.

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table	ı	Revision (S	See Response to Co	omments for Re	ference, if ap	oplicable)
22	A-2	Best Uses	BestBenficial Uses of waters of the State may be protected against quality degradation include, but are not limited to, domestic, municipal, agricultural and industrial supply; power generation; recreation; aesthetic enjoyment; navigation; and preservation and enhancement of fish, wildlife, and other aquatic resources or preserves.					
23	A-10	Shellfish	purposes (i.e., m	Organisms identified by the State of California Department of Public Health as shellfish for public health purposes (i.e., mussels, clams and oysters).				
			Technology-base based on a comb sewage. Standa	Secondary Treatment Standards Technology-based requirements for direct discharging municipal sewage treatment facilities. Standards are based on a combination of physical and biological processes typical for the treatment of pollutants in municipal sewage. Standards are expressed as a minimum level of effluent quality in terms of: BOD ₅ , total suspended solids (TSS), and pH (except as provided for special considerations and treatment equivalent to secondary treatment).				
					State of California Doms and oysters).	epartment of Pub	lic Health as sl	hellfish for public health
			Significant Differ	ence				
24	E-3	Paragraph I.H	objectives of the	California Oc	ncluding acute and chro cean Plan shall be cond stated in this MRP.			als based on water quality ures described in the
25	E-5	Table E-3	Parameter	Units	Sample Type	Minimum Sampling Frequency	Required Analytical Test Method	
			Radioactivity	pCi/L	24-hr Composite Grab	2/Year	1	

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table	Revision (See Response to Comments for Reference, if applicable)				
26	E-8	Table E-4	For clarification:				
			Test	Unit	Sample Type	Minimum Test Frequency	
			Screening period for chronic toxicity	<u>TU</u> _c	24-hr Composite	Every other year for 3 months, beginning with the calendar year 2011	
			Chronic Toxicity	TU₀	24-hr Composite	1/Month	
27	E-8	Paragraph V	If the performance goal for chror the Discharger shall begin conducting effluent limitation is exceeded in Officer and Director. If the Exectoxicity effluent limitation, then the Toxicity Reduction Evaluation G 1999), and USEPA TIE guidance 1993; and Phase III, EPA/600/R shall take all reasonable steps identified in section IV.A.2 of the Within 30 days of completion including a summary of the fire consistent compliance with all recurrence of exceedances of implementation of such correct at the direction of the Executive If no toxicity is detected in any of frequency specified in the MRP.	ucting six additional te any of these six addit utive Officer and Direct ne Discharger shall ini- uidance for Municipal e documents (Phase I -92/081, 1993). Once to reduce the toxici- his Order. of the TRE/TIE, the Endings, data generate I the toxicity limitation those limitations/pective actions. The coverage of the	ests, bi-weekly, over a 12 tional tests, then the Discontrol determine that the distinct a TRE/TIE in accord Wastewater Treatment II, EPA/600/6-91/005F, 19 the source of toxicity ity to meet the chronic on the distinct and a list of corrective a cons/performance goals or ective actions and time.	week period. If the toxicity charger shall notify the Exemischarge consistently excelled ance with the TRE workphants (USEPA 833-B-99-092; Phase II, EPA/600/Ris identified, the Dischartoxicity performance gostate the results of the TRE/Tactions necessary to achor of this Order and preventations as schedule for me schedule shall be more descripted.	ty ecutive eeds a plan, 002, -92/080, rger al FIE, nieve nt

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table	Revision (See Response to Comments for Reference, if applicable)
28	E-10	Paragraph VIII.A.1-4	All surf zone stations shall be monitored as follows.
			1. Grab samples shall be collected and analyzed for total and fecal coliform and enterococcus bacteria at a minimum frequency of one time per week. If a single sample exceeds any of the single sample maximum standards in section V.A.1.a.ii of the Order, repeat sampling at that location shall be conducted to determine the extent and persistence of the exceedance. Repeat sampling shall be conducted within 24 hours of recieving analytical results and continued until the sample result is less than the single sample maximum standard or until a sanitary survey is conducted to determine the source of the high bacterial densities.
			2. Samples shall be collected in accordance with "Standard Operating Procedures for the Collection of Water Samples for Bacterial Analysis from Ocean and Bay Receiving Waters" developed by the County of San Diego Department of Environmental Health and incorporated herein by reference.
			3. At the same time samples are collected from surf zone stations, the following information shall be recorded: observation of wind direction and speed; weather (cloudy, sunny, or rainy); current direction; tidal conditions; and observations of water color, discoloration, oil and grease; turbidity, odor, and materials of sewage origin in the water or on the beach; water temperature (°F); and status of the mouth of the San Elijo Lagoon (open, closed, flow, etc.).
			4. If a surf zone water quality monitoring station consistently exceeds bacterial objectives established in section V.A.1.a of the Order, the Discharger shall conduct a survey to determine if discharges from the Facility are the source of the contamination. If the survey indicates that elevated bacteria levels are attributable to discharges from the Facility, the Discharger shall take action to control the source.
29	E-10	Paragraph VIII.B.1	Unless the Executive Officer determines otherwise, if the effluent at all times complies with the effluent limitations and performance goals at section IV.A of this Order and the receiving water limitations at section V.BA of this Order, only reduced near shore water quality monitoring specified below is required.
30	E-11	VIII.B.2, 2 nd sentence	This monitoring data will assist the San Diego Water Board staff in the evaluation of the Report of Waste Discharge.
31	E-11	Paragraph VIII.B.2 Last sentence	The intensive near shore water quality monitoring specified below is also required if the Executive Officer determines that the effluent does not at all times comply with the effluent limitations and performance goals at section IV.A of this Order and the receiving water limitations at section V.BA of this Order.
32	E-11	Paragraph VIII.C.1	Unless the Executive Officer determines otherwise, if the effluent at all times complies with the effluent limitations and performance goals at section IV.A of this Order and the receiving water limitations at section V.BA of this Order, only reduced off shore water quality monitoring specified below is required.

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table	Revision (See Response to Comments for Reference, if applicable)						
33	E-11	Paragraph	Table F-8 Off Shore	Table E-8. Off Shore Water Quality Reduced Monitoring Requirements					
	E-11	VIII.C.1 Table E-8	Determination	Units	Type of Sample	Minimum Frequency			
			Visual Observations			1/Month			
			Total Coliform Organisms	Number / 100 mL	Grab ¹	1/Month			
			Fecal Coliform Organisms	Number / 100 mL	Grab ¹	1/Month			
			Enterococcus	Number / 100 mL	Grab ¹	1/Month			
			1 At surface ar	id mid-depth					
34	E-12	VIII.C.2, 2 nd sentence	This monitoring data Discharge.	This monitoring data will assist the San Diego Water Board staff in the evaluation of the Report of Waste Discharge.					
35	E-11	Paragraph VIII.C.2 Last sentence	determines that the e	The intensive off shore water quality monitoring specified below is also required if the Executive Officer determines that the effluent does not at all times comply with the effluent limitations and performance goals at section IV.A of this Order and the receiving water limitations at section V.BA of this Order.					
36	E-12	VIII.D, 2 nd sentence	This monitoring data Discharge.	will assist <u>the</u> San Di	ego Water Board staff	in the evaluation o	f the Report of Waste		
37	E-13	VIII.E, 2 nd sentence	This monitoring data Discharge.	will assist the San Di	ego Water Board staf f	in the evaluation o	f the Report of Waste		
38	E-17	Paragraph X.B.4.b	Sample results less than the reporting level (RL)minimum level (ML), but greater than or equal to the laboratory's MDL, shall be reported as "Detected, but Not Quantified," or DNQ. The estimated chemical concentration of the sample shall also be reported.						
39	F-7	Paragraph III.A	adopted by the U.S. Water Code (CWC) (discharges from this	Environmental Protect commencing with sect facility to surface wate	tion Agency (USEPA) tion 13370). It shall se	and chapter 5.5, diverve as a NPDES p is Order also serve	and implementing regulations vision 7 of the California permit for point source s as WDRs pursuant to		

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table		Revision (S	See Response to Comments for Reference, if appli	icable)	
40	F-7	Table F-3	Discharge Point	Receiving Water	Beneficial Uses		
			001	Pacific Ocean	Industrial service supply; navigation; contact water recreation; non-contact water recreation; commercial and sport fishing; preservation of biological habitats of special significance; wildlife habitat; rare, threatened, or endangered species; marine habitat; aquaculture; migration of aquatic organisms; spawning, reproduction, and/or early development; and shellfish harvesting. Industrial water supply; water contact and non-contact recreation, including aesthetic enjoyment; navigation; commercial and sport fishing; mariculture; preservation and enhancement of designated Areas of Special Biological Significance (ASBS); rare and endangered species; marine habitat; fish migration; fish spawning and shellfish harvesting.		
41	F-9	Paragraph			s in the vicinity of Discharge Point No. 001 are not included on t		
		III.D Lasts sentence			monitoring locations may be within the current 303(d) list account the fact when determining compliance.	t. The San Diego	
42	F-18	Section IV.C.4.d Last paragraph		Based on the implementing procedures described above, effluent limitations and performance goals have been calculated for all Table B pollutants from the California Ocean Plan and incorporated into this Order.			
43	F-25	Table F-11	Chlorodibrom o			_	
44	F-27	Table F-11 End note 1 Last sentence	6.1E+00 repres	In this notation a value of 6.1E-02 represents 6.1 x 10-2 or 0.061, 6.1E+02 represents 6.1 x 102 ² or 610, and 6.1E+00 represents 6.1 x 100 or 6.1			
45	F-27	Table F-11 End Note 4 Fourth line	where y =the w	ater quality ob	ojective (in ugl/l) to apply when chlorine is being discharged;		

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table	Revision (See Response to Comments for Reference, if applicable)
46	F-27	Table F-11 End Note 4 Last Sentence	Actual effluent limitations for total chlorine, when discharging intermittently, shall then be determined according to Implementation Procedures for Table B from the Ocean Plan-(2001), using a minimum probable initial dilution factor of 237 and a flow rate of 18.0 MGD.
47	F-28	Paragraph V	Receiving water limitations of this Order are derived from the water quality objectives for ocean waters established by the Basin Plan and the Ocean Plan. The water contact bacterial standards in the previous Order No. R9-2005-0101, which were based on the language in the 2001 Ocean Plan, have changed. The language in the 2005 Ocean Plan now specifies that the Water-Contact Standards apply to ocean waters within California's jurisdiction designated by the regional board as having Rec-1 beneficial uses. The San Diego Water Board's current Basin Plan designates all ocean waters within the region as having Rec-1 beneficial use. Thus, the following standards are included in this Order. See Section VII.B.6 of this Fact Sheet for additional information on compliance with the 2005 Ocean Plan bacterial standards.
48	F-30	Paragraph VI.D.1 Last sentence	To assess bacteriological conditions in areas used for body contact activities and to assess aesthetic conditions for general recreational uses, Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) No. R9-2005-0101 requires that total and fecal coliform and enterococcus bacteria be monitored at a minimum frequency of once per week at the 7 surf zone locations. For the sample period of 2003 through August of 2004, no samples collected at any of the seven surf zone water quality monitoring stations showed bacteria levels that exceeded water quality criteria of the Ocean Plan. Surf zone monitoring station S-6, located at the mouth of the San Elijo Lagoon, consistently showed measurable levels of total and fecal coliform and enterococcus, whereas bacteria levels at other surf zone stations were typically non-detect or very low. For this reason, surf zone monitoring station S-6 has been made historical. Surf zone monitoring station S-8, 8,000 feet north of the outfall, has been created for this Order No. R9-2005-0101 and carried over to this Order.
49	F-31	Paragraph VI.D.2.b&c	 a. Benthic Monitoring Sediment and infauna monitoring is required to help evaluate the potential effects of the discharge on the physical and chemical properties of the sediment and biological communities in the vicinity of the discharge. consistent with Order No. R9-2005-0101. b. Fish and Invertebrate Fish and invertebrate monitoring is required to assess the effects of the discharge on local fish and megabenthic invertebrate communities in the surrounding area of the discharge location. consistent with Order No. R9-2005-0101.

Errata No.	Page No.	Section/ Table	Revision (See Response to Comments for Reference, if applicable)
50	F-31	Paragraph VI.E.3	3. Solids Monitoring. The Discharger is required to monitoring solids generated at the Facility pursuant to 40 CFR Part 503.
51	F-34	Paragraph VII.B.5.b	Consistent with Order No. R9-2005-0101, this Order requires the Discharger to perform a treatment plant capacity study to serve as an indicator for the San Diego Water Board of the Facility's increasing hydraulic capacity and growth in the service area. The Discharger shall submit a written report to the Executive Officer within 90 days after the monthly average influent flow rate equals or exceeds 75 percent of the secondary treatment design capacity of the wastewater treatment and/or disposal facilities. The Discharger's senior administrative officer shall sign a letter in accordance with Standard Provision V.B. (Attachment D) which transmits that report and certifies that that policy-making body is adequately informed of the influent flow rate relative to the Facility's design capacity. The report shall include the following: Average influent daily flow for the calendar month, the date on which the maximum daily flow occurred, and the rate of that maximum flow. The Discharger's best estimate of when the average daily influent flow for a calendar month will equal or exceed the design capacity of the facilities. The Discharger's intended schedule for studies, design, and other steps needed to provide additional treatment for the wastewater from the collection system and/or control the flow rate before the waste flow exceeds the capacity of present units.
52	F-36	Paragraph VIII.A	The San Diego Water Board has notified the Discharger and interested agencies and persons of its intent to prescribe WDRs for the discharge and has provided them with an opportunity to submit their written comments and recommendations. Notification was published in the San Diego Union Tribune_on August 2, 2010 and posted on the San Diego Water Board web site on August 2, 2010.