Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: Jessica Pratt <jessicadpratt@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, June 07, 2013 10:50 AM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

Dear Water Board Chair and Members,

As an environmental biologist and citizen of California, I am extremely troubled by the TSA's attempt to sidestep important environmental regulations and seek permitting for the Tesoro Extension. I urge you to deny this permit.

First, the water quality and erosion impacts must be fully studied and addressed *prior* to construction beginning. Later, it may well be impossible to remedy problems that develop.

Second, the California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed at the outset.

I was in attendance six years ago at the Coastal Commission hearing where the permit to proceed with the Foothill Toll Road through San Onofre State Beach was denied. But now the sneaky proposal to build the road in 'piece meal' is an attempt to undermine the CCC ruling and bring this settled debate back to the table. Please consider the precedent you would be setting to not hold the Foothill Toll Road responsible to the extent of the laws we have in place to protect the small amount of our natural environment that remains in Southern California.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Jessica Pratt

--

\/\
Jessica Dawn Pratt

Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
University of California at Irvine
Irvine, CA 92697-2525
Phone: 949.872.5072
Lab: 3300 Bio Sci III, 949.824.6140
jessicadpratt at gmail dot com

Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: Laura Cohen <cohenla@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, June 07, 2013 1:20 AM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

Dear Chair and Members of the Board:

I have been involved fighting this ill-planned toll road for many years, first as director of The Donna O'Neill Land Conservancy, which was set aside in perpetuity for education and wildlife preservation…as a conservation easement, not as a road easement. Richard and Donna O'Neill set aside this land as their legacy for the health and enjoyment of future generations.

As a Californian who values a healthy environment, I urge that a permit for the Tesoro Extension be denied. Do you know that almost every one of our parks has a toll road or major highway right through it? The park where I work now has no quiet places for people anymore. The toll road went right through the middle.

First, the water quality and erosion impacts must be fully studied and addressed *prior* to construction beginning. Later, it may well be impossible to remedy problems that develop. The TCA does not have a good record of ensuring protection of our resources. Water quality was not protected by the TCA. In the past, when filter systems didn't work, the burden fell on the tax payers, as Cal Trans is responsible for all repairs once the road is built…even repairs of mitigations that never worked! Now our tax dollars are strained to the breaking point.

Second, the California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed at the outset. We all fought this road and the permits were denied. Now, the TCA is trying to revive their project by playing a shell game. Everyone knows that the first segment will lead to the second. The first segment will have such a drastic impact on an already crowded road, the next segment will be impossible to avoid.

Please help us to protect what is left for our community to enjoy. Most of our County is built and we have one of the densest populations in the state. People need places of beauty to relax for their physical and mental health. Once it is gone, we will never be able to get it back…and there is so little left!

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: Don Wood <dwood8@cox.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 4:50 PM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

SUBJECT: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

Dear Chair and Board Members:

As a Californian who values a healthy environment and wiser energy and land use, I urge that you deny the proposed permit for the Tesoro Extension.

This is an attempt to piecemeal an unneeded toll road project that has already been turned down by the federal government and the California Coastal Commission.
The primary purpose of the propose project is to open up more remote land in Southern California for more sprawl housing subdivisions, which would increase
demand for water and require extension of water service pipelines into remote areas, increasing the risks of water pollution.

First, all water quality and erosion impacts of the entire project, not just this proposed initial phase, must be fully studied and addressed prior to construction beginning.
Later on, it may well be impossible to remedy problems that develop.

Second, the California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed at the outset.

I urge you to carefully review the review process that led the federal government and the state coastal commission to reject permits for this project. The project promoters
are trying to play one regulatory agency off others. Please don't go along with their scheme.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Don Wood, Sr. Policy Advisor
Pacific Energy Policy Center
La Mesa, CA.
619-463-9035
Dwood8@cox.net

Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: Christopher A Reed <chris.reed@ucr.edu>
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 10:13 AM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

RE: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

Dear Chair and Members of the Board:

I value open space and wild places. I value a healthy environment. I urge that a permit for the Tesoro Extension be **denied**.

First, the water quality and erosion impacts must be fully studied and addressed *prior* to construction beginning. Later, it may well be impossible to remedy problems that develop.

Second, the California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed at the outset.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: Jonathan Atwood <jatwood@antioch.edu>
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 8:52 AM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

Dear Chair and Members of the Board:

As a long-time resident of California, and someone who has been involved in work to protect the coastal southern California environment for many years,I urge that a permit for the Tesoro Extension be denied. I see no indication that this would be a beneficial project. First, the water quality and erosion impacts must be fully studied and addressed *prior* to construction beginning. Later, it may well be impossible to remedy problems that develop. Second, the California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed at the outset.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

--
Jonathan L. Atwood, Ph.D.

Adjunct Professor
Environmental Studies Department
Antioch University, New England
40 Avon Street
Keene, NH 03431

Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: Tom Chester <tom@tchester.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2013 8:13 PM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

Dear Chair and Members of the Board:

As a Californian who values a healthy environment, I urge that a permit for the Tesoro Extension be denied.

First, the water quality and erosion impacts must be fully studied and addressed prior to construction beginning. Later, it may well be impossible to remedy problems that develop.

Second, the California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed at the outset.

This attempt to "piecemeal" the previously-rejected toll road proposal should be soundly rejected.

Thank you,

tom chester
1802 Acacia Ln
Fallbrook, CA 92028

Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: Dehra Iverson <dehra@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 11:21 PM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Tesoro Extension water quality permit -- Please DENY

Reference: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

Dear Chair and Members of the Board:

Please **deny** a water quality permit for the Tesoro Extension project.

1. The water quality and erosion impacts need to be fully studied and addressed prior to construction beginning. Later, it may well be impossible to remedy problems that develop.

2. The California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed.

Dehra Iverson

Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: ROPOUCHER@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 10:20 PM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Don't Permit the Foothill Tollroad

SUBJECT: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

Dear Chair and Members of the Board:

I was born in California in 1949 and I greatly value a healthy environment. Therefore, I urge that a permit for the Tesoro Extension be denied.

First, the water quality and erosion impacts must be fully studied and addressed *prior* to construction beginning. Later, it may well be impossible to remedy problems that develop.

Second, the California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed at the outset.

Thank you,

Sincerely,

Roy Poucher, Anaheim, CA 92805

Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: M.M. Renaker <maxineren@pacbell.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 3:57 PM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Comment-Revised

SUBJECT: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677 Dear Chair and Members of the Board:

As a Californian who values a healthy environment, I urge that a permit for the Tesoro Extension be denied.
First, the water quality and erosion impacts must be fully studied and addressed prior to construction beginning. Later, it would probably be impossible to remedy problems that develop.

Second, the California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed at the beginning.

Thirdly, more freeways will not help our transportation problem, they will fill up immediately, and what we will lose (biodiversity) in their construction will never be replaced! With global warming upon us, it is critical that we stop fragmenting and degrading our natural habitats for sprawl development. It's time to find other transportation solutions. The younger generation understands that cars and more cars is not the answer, and they are declining to drive, declining to get their driver's licenses, and are taking public transportation where possible.

Thank you,
Most Sincerely,

Mary Renaker (third generation Californian, born in Glendale circa 1951)

Dorsey, Kelly@Waterboards

From: Johnston, Cheryl <Cheryl.Johnston@hbcsd.k12.ca.us>
Sent: Thursday, June 06, 2013 10:50 AM
To: RB9_Tesoro, RB9_Tesoro@Waterboards
Subject: Tesoro Extension

RE: Comment-Revised Tentative Order No. R9-2013-0007, Place ID: 785677

Dear Chair and Members of the Board:

As a Californian who values a healthy environment, I urge that a permit for the Tesoro Extension be denied.

First, the water quality and erosion impacts must be fully studied and addressed *prior* to construction beginning. Later, it may well be impossible to remedy problems that develop.

Second, the California Environmental Quality Act and other legal considerations mandate that the entirety of the project – not just the initial segment – be analyzed at the outset.

My fear is that this is just the beginning of an even more invasive piece of highway that will impact much more than what is being considered here.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cheri Johnston
480 62nd Street
Newport Beach, CA 92663