

ERRATA SHEET

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing _____ pages of my testimony, taken on _____ (date) at _____ (city), _____ (state), and that the same is a true record of the testimony given by me at the time and place herein above set forth, with the following exceptions:

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	<u>Should read:</u>	<u>Reason for Change:**</u> (See below before completion)
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

Date: _____

Signature of Witness

Name Typed or Printed

**** THE "REASON FOR CHANGE" COLUMN SHOULD ONLY BE COMPLETED FOR FEDERAL DISTRICT OR BANKRUPTCY COURT MATTERS (FRCP RULE 30(e)). THIS COLUMN SHOULD NOT BE COMPLETED FOR STATE COURT ACTIONS.**

Job No. _____

Rev. 3/15/14

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
225 BROADWAY, SUITE 1900
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101
TELEPHONE: (619) 231-5858
FACSIMILE: (619) 231-5853
www.envirolawyer.com

SUZANNE R. VARCO
svarco@envirolawyer.com

LINDA C. BERESFORD
lindab@envirolawyer.com

S. WAYNE ROSENBAUM
swr@envirolawyer.com

Of Counsel

RICHARD G. OPPER
ropper@envirolawyer.com

January 7, 2016

**OPPER
&
VARCO
LLP**
THE ENVIRONMENTAL
LAW GROUP

Mr. Brian Nemerow
1149 North Vulcan Ave., Unit #6
Encinitas, CA 92024

**Re: ACL Complaint No. R9-2015-0110 re San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC
Deposition Transcript for Brian Nemerow**

Dear Mr. Nemerow:

Enclosed with this letter is the original deposition transcript for your deposition taken on December 29, 2015. Can you please: a) review the transcript; b) make corrections (to the extent that you have any) on the correction page; c) sign the verification / correction page; and d) return the original transcript and signed verification / correction page to our office using the enclosed stamped envelope.

Given that we must provide all evidence in response to the above-reference ACL Complaint issued by the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, by February 3, we ask that you please review, make changes, sign, and return the transcript by January 20, 2016.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

OPPER & VARCO LLP



Linda C. Beresford

Encl: Deposition Transcript Brian Nemerow

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
SAN DIEGO REGION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
Administrative Civil Liability)
Complaint No. R9-2015-0110)
Against San Altos-Lemon Grove, LLC)
_____)

DEPOSITION OF BRIAN ALAN NEMEROW, witness
herein, noticed by Opper & Varco, taken at
225 Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego,
California, on Tuesday, December 29, 2015, at
3:37 p.m., before Marc Volz, CSR 2863, RPR, CRR

Hutchings Number 599122



1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

2
3 For California Regional Water Quality
4 Control Board:

5 California Environmental Protection Agency
6 State Water Resources Control Board

7 DAVID M. BOYERS

8 Assistant Chief Counsel

9 1001 I Street, 22nd Floor

10 Sacramento, California 95814

11 916.341-5276

12 david.boyers@waterboards.ca.gov

13
14 For San Altos-Lemon Grove, LLC:

15 OPPER & VARCO, LLP

16 BY LINDA C. BERESFORD, ESQ.

17 S. WAYNE ROSENBAUM

18 225 Broadway, Suite 1900

19 San Diego, California 92101

20 619.231.5858

21 lindab@envirolawyer.com

22
23 Also Present: Frank Melbourn

24 Josh Rosenbaum

I N D E X

WITNESS: BRIAN ALAN NEMEROW

EXAMINATION BY:

PAGE

Ms. Beresford

4

E X H I B I T S

SAN ALTOS - DESCRIPTION
LEMON GROVE, LLC

IDENTIFIED MARKED

EXHIBIT 1	San Altos-Lemon Grove, LLC's Notice of Deposition of Brian Nemerow and Request for Production of Documents	5	23
EXHIBIT 2	Resume	7	23
EXHIBIT 3	D-Max Engineering, Inc. Memo to Tamimi from Nemerow, December 17, 2014	13	23
EXHIBIT 4	D-Max Engineering, Inc. Memo to Tamimi, Harper, O'Neal from Nemerow, May 15, 2015	18	23

1 BRIAN ALAN NEMEROW,
2 witness herein, having been sworn, testifies as follows:

3 -EXAMINATION-

4 BY MS. BERESFORD:

5 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Nemerow.

6 A. Good afternoon.

7 Q. How are you?

8 A. I'm doing well, thanks. How are you?

9 Q. Good. My name is Linda Beresford. I'm one of
10 the attorneys for San Altos-Lemon Grove, LLC in the
11 matter of the Administrative Civil Liability Complaint
12 No. R9-2015-0110 issued by the Regional Water Quality
13 Control Board, San Diego Region. Can you please state
14 your name and spell it for the record.

15 A. Sure. Brian Nemerow, B-r-i-a-n N-e-m-e-r-o-w.

16 Q. Have you had your deposition taken before?

17 A. No.

18 Q. I have a few ground rules that I'm going to go
19 over with you to hopefully try to make it go a little
20 bit easier.

21 A. Okay.

22 Q. So you are here today appearing under oath. We
23 have a court reporter taking down everything that we
24 say. So if possible, please answer each question
25 verbally with a yes, no, sentence, as opposed to nodding

1 your head or shrugging your shoulders, uh-hmm, those
2 type of things.

3 A. Okay.

4 Q. Second, in order to have an accurate transcript
5 it's best if we try to have just one person speak at a
6 time. So I would ask, please wait for me to finish my
7 question before you answer and I will also do my best to
8 wait for you to finish before I start speaking.

9 A. Okay.

10 Q. Thanks. Please feel free to take a break at
11 any time. I'm hopeful today won't be very long, that we
12 won't need to take any break. But if you do just say,
13 "Can we please take a break right now."

14 A. Sure.

15 Q. My only request is that if I've asked you a
16 question to please answer the question before we break.

17 A. Okay.

18 Q. And then last, I do have to ask if you are on
19 any medication or if there's any reason why you can't
20 give your best testimony today.

21 A. No.

22 Q. Okay, great.

23 MS. BERESFORD: If you would please mark that as
24 Exhibit 1 and let Mr. Nemerow review it.

25 THE WITNESS: This is the same thing I got a copy

1 of already I think.

2 MS. BERESFORD:

3 Q. My first question will be if you've seen that
4 document before, so take your time to review it.

5 A. Yes, I have seen this document before.

6 Q. Can you please state for the record what it is.

7 A. It's basically a notice to appear for
8 deposition. And the exhibit, Exhibit A is stating that
9 I was an employee of D-Max Engineering for stormwater
10 inspection purposes in the city of Lemon Grove,
11 contracted by the city of Lemon Grove and that I may
12 have access to records related to the inspection of
13 San Altos-Lemon Grove, LLC, Valencia Hills construction
14 site.

15 Q. I think that document asks you to bring records
16 that you had in your possession.

17 A. Right. So I didn't have any records in my
18 possession except for my qualifications, like my resume.
19 I brought a copy of my diploma, college diploma. I
20 didn't know what you guys needed me to bring.

21 Q. That's impressive. I'm not going to ask you to
22 make that an exhibit to the deposition.

23 A. Okay, great. That's good.

24 Q. But you did not have any documents in your
25 possession that were responsive to those requests.

1 A. No.

2 Q. So did you do anything else to prepare for
3 today's deposition?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Okay. That's fine. I would like to talk about
6 your education and background.

7 A. Sure.

8 Q. I'll hand you this document.

9 A. Great, yeah.

10 Q. Can you please state what that is?

11 A. Yeah. This is my resume, my professional
12 resume.

13 Q. Do you know how it came to our office?

14 A. Yes. I emailed it because my printer broke
15 down at home so I had to email for them to print out a
16 copy to bring today.

17 MS. BERESFORD: Let's go ahead and make that
18 Exhibit 2.

19 Q. We can hopefully use this to go through your
20 background somewhat quickly.

21 A. Okay.

22 Q. So I see that you graduated from UC Santa Cruz.

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. With a Bachelor of Science in earth sciences.

25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. In 2010.

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. After you graduated from -- let's go back. Do
4 you have any advanced degrees?

5 A. No.

6 Q. After you graduated from UC Santa Cruz was your
7 first job with D-Max Engineering?

8 A. No.

9 Q. What was your first job?

10 A. My first job was working at a coffee shop.

11 Q. How long did you do that?

12 A. I think two and a half years. So -- yeah.

13 Q. And then when did you start with D-Max?

14 A. I started with D-Max July 1st of 2010.

15 Q. I'm sorry. I just want to be clear.

16 A. I know that sounds unclear. So I completed and
17 walked -- I completed most of my course work and walked
18 with my graduating class in 2008 and then finished up my
19 degree in San Diego. So I was attending courses while
20 working at that coffee shop.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. And then I got my diploma in 2010.

23 Q. That was in San Diego where you were taking
24 classes?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Where did you take those classes?

2 A. MiraCosta Community College.

3 Q. So you started with D-Max in approximately
4 July 1, 2010.

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. What was your title at that time?

7 A. Staff scientist.

8 Q. How long were you a staff scientist with D-Max?

9 A. Staff scientist Level 1 for approximately three
10 years and then staff scientist Level 2 for approximately
11 two years.

12 Q. What did you do as a staff scientist Level 1?

13 A. Staff scientist Level 1, I mostly performed
14 industrial and commercial stormwater inspections,
15 compliance inspections. I also performed numerous
16 different types of stormwater inspection, including
17 treatment control best management practice; treatment
18 control BMP inspections; some construction inspections;
19 municipal facility inspections; fats, oils and grease
20 inspections. And then I also started becoming task lead
21 on certain projects. And I ended up performing a lot of
22 outfall, MS4 outfall inspections for various cities
23 throughout the county of San Diego.

24 Q. We were talking as a staff scientist Level 1.

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Did your duties change a lot when you became a
2 staff scientist Level 2?

3 A. They didn't change a lot. I still did
4 inspections, but I also started to write more reports
5 and started to be involved more with special monitoring
6 projects, stormwater monitoring projects and GIS. I
7 became more involved with using the Geographic
8 Information Systems: GIS. And coordinating more one on
9 one with city staff.

10 Q. Was your last title at D-Max staff scientist
11 Level 2?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. I understand you're no longer with D-Max?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Where are you employed currently?

16 A. The city of Vista.

17 Q. What is your job title there?

18 A. Environmental specialist 2.

19 Q. What do you do for them?

20 A. I mostly work on monitoring projects, MS4
21 outfall monitoring, education and outreach, all related
22 to stormwater. That's mostly what I do there.

23 Q. Let's talk about your stormwater training.

24 A. Okay.

25 Q. What type of training have you done for

1 **stormwater compliance?**

2 A. Sure. A lot of training was on the job.
3 When we first -- when I was first hired at D-Max
4 Engineering, basically I was assigned to another senior
5 inspector who would take me out on inspections, just to
6 observe mostly. And also I received some, like an
7 employee handbook and some written documents to go over
8 when I was hired. And the same goes for all the
9 different types of inspections that I ended up doing:
10 Outfall monitoring, fats, oils and grease inspections.
11 Pretty much always paired up with a senior inspector
12 just to observe for a certain amount of time.

13 Q. Was there one primary person that you were
14 paired up with?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Who was that?

17 A. Kline Swonger for the industrial, commercial
18 inspections.

19 Q. How do you spell his name?

20 A. Her name is K-l-i-n-e S-w-o-n-g-e-r. And then
21 also Anika Kubishka. I don't know how to spell her last
22 name.

23 Q. That's okay.

24 A. She was the main inspector for like fats, oils
25 and grease inspections and also some industrial and

1 commercial inspections. And then for the M S4 outfall
2 monitoring it was Manouchehr Dadkhah.

3 M-a-n-o-u-c-h-e-h-r D-a-d-k-h-a-h, I think.

4 Q. Okay. Do you have any specific certifications
5 for stormwater investigation?

6 A. No, I do not.

7 Q. Are you a qualified stormwater professional?

8 A. I qualified to sit for the exam but I've not
9 taken the test. I plan on sitting for the exam later
10 this year -- or later in 2016.

11 Q. When did you do the course work for that?

12 A. There's no course work. It's based on your
13 experience and then submitting an application and having
14 references.

15 Q. Are you a qualified SWPPP developer?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Are you a trainer of record?

18 A. No.

19 Q. We are here today to talk about the Valencia
20 construction site on San Altos in Lemon Grove. Are you
21 familiar with that site?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. So if I say "the site" I will be referring to
24 that location.

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. When did you first hear about that site?

2 A. I don't remember.

3 MS. BERESFORD: Let's go ahead and mark this as
4 Exhibit 2, please.

5 MS. BERESFORD: I'm sorry, that's Exhibit 3.

6 THE WITNESS: Okay.

7 MS. BERESFORD:

8 Q. Does this document look familiar?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Can you please state what it is.

11 A. It was an inspection report based on a site
12 inspection that I conducted on the morning of December
13 17, 2014.

14 Q. Do you know if that was the first time that you
15 had visited the site?

16 A. Yes, it was the first time.

17 Q. You state in your memo in the second sentence
18 that "rain had ended a few hours prior to the site
19 visit, and no runoff was observed flowing out from the
20 construction site at the Akins or San Altos
21 entrance/exit locations at the time of the site visit."
22 Do you see that sentence?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Is that correct? Is that the best of your
25 recollection?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. The next -- or the first sentence of the second
3 sentence says, "Evidence of sediment discharge was
4 observed at the Akins entrance/exit location and along
5 the curb farther downstream." Do you see that sentence?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. I particularly want to look at photo 3.

8 A. Okay.

9 Q. There is a car in photo 3, do you see that?

10 A. I see that.

11 Q. Is that the same car that's in photo 4?

12 A. I'm not sure.

13 Q. If you look at photo 4, there's some areas that
14 appear to be dirt alongside the car and the mailbox. Do
15 you see that?

16 A. I see in photo 4 where the car is, it is kind
17 of back a little far in the photo and the mailbox. It's
18 kind of hard to make out what's actually over there.

19 Q. Do you recall if that area was open dirt?

20 A. Open dirt in the street?

21 Q. No. The area by the -- above the curb by the
22 mailbox.

23 A. Oh, I see where you're saying. I believe it
24 was not landscaped. Or not vegetated.

25 Q. Going back up to photo 3, do you know if some

1 of the sediment along that curb, could that have been
2 contributed by those adjacent properties?

3 A. I'm not sure.

4 Q. The second sentence in the second paragraph
5 says, "A crew from Downstream Services was power washing
6 the curb along Akins to remove accumulated sediment.
7 This indicates that there likely had been a noticeable
8 sediment discharge earlier in the day." Did you ask the
9 crew if there had been a big sediment discharge earlier
10 in the day?

11 A. I don't remember. But I remember talking to
12 the crew of Downstream Services.

13 Q. Why do you think that there was a noticeable
14 sediment discharge earlier in the day?

15 A. It was my understanding through talking with
16 the crewmember that they were out there due to --
17 someone from the construction site had contracted them
18 to come out to power wash the street, and based on my
19 other observations it seemed like it was likely there
20 was sediment discharge onto the street from the
21 construction site.

22 Q. Did you see the sediment discharge on the
23 street?

24 A. I saw sediment on the street but I didn't see
25 active discharge from the construction site.

1 Q. Could it be that they had just received a lot
2 of inspections and just wanted to do an extra good job
3 to clean it up?

4 A. I don't know.

5 MR. BOYERS: Objection. Speculation.

6 Now you can answer.

7 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

8 MS. BERESFORD:

9 Q. The last sentence of your memo says, "A sample
10 of the power washing discharge water was collected and
11 turbidity was measured at 52"; is that correct?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. Is that before the end of the construction site
14 or where exactly is that?

15 A. I believe that was at a downstream inlet, a
16 storm drain inlet that was outside the property, outside
17 of the construction site property.

18 Q. Maybe you can look at page 4. I don't know if
19 that will help refresh your recollection. Do you know
20 if the power washing, were they completing that before
21 the inlet?

22 A. Upstream of the inlet, that's correct.

23 Q. Do you know, did you calibrate your instrument
24 before you took that sample?

25 A. I believe so.

1 Q. Do you know if you had a calibration log?

2 A. I don't remember.

3 Q. Do you remember if you put it in a binder back
4 at D-Max?

5 A. I don't remember.

6 Q. Do you know which EPA method you used when you
7 analyzed the sample?

8 A. I don't know the EPA method off the top of my
9 head.

10 Q. Do you know if that's documented anywhere?

11 A. It most likely is.

12 Q. Where would that be?

13 A. D-Max probably would have that included with
14 the instrument, the field instrument.

15 Q. What do you mean?

16 A. That was used to measure the sediment.

17 Q. Are you saying they have a document with the
18 instrument that says the EPA method that you're supposed
19 to use?

20 A. I would assume that there is some sort of --
21 like with the actual piece of equipment, with the field
22 meter, I would assume there is some specification that
23 describes the method that's used for analyzing
24 stormwater. But I'm not sure what the exact method is,
25 what it would be called.

1 Q. Do you remember some sort of document that was
2 with the machine?

3 A. No, not at the time.

4 Q. I think if you look at the first page of your
5 memo there's some highlighting on there.

6 A. Uh-huh.

7 Q. Did you do that highlighting?

8 A. I did not.

9 Q. After you finished this memo did you talk to
10 anybody at the city of Lemon Grove about it?

11 A. I did not.

12 Q. Have you ever spoken to anybody from the
13 Regional Water Quality Control Board about your memo?

14 A. No, I have not.

15 Q. Did you exchange email with anybody from the
16 Water Board about this memo?

17 A. No, I did not.

18 MS. BERESFORD: Mark that as Exhibit 4.

19 Q. So Exhibit 3 is a memo from December 17.
20 Exhibit 4 is a memo from May 15. Do you know if you
21 visited the site any time between those two dates?

22 A. I did not.

23 Q. Have you seen Exhibit 4 before?

24 A. I have.

25 Q. Can you tell me what it is?

1 A. It appears to be another inspection report
2 based off the second inspection I did at the Valencia
3 construction site.

4 Q. You took two turbidity samples on that day; is
5 that correct?

6 A. That looks correct.

7 Q. Do you recall if you calibrated the machine on
8 that day?

9 A. I don't recall.

10 Q. Do you recall the EPA method you used to --

11 A. I don't know.

12 Q. Looking at photo 1 -- I actually want to go
13 back to Exhibit 3. If we can look at photo 4 on
14 Exhibit 3 and look at photo 1 on Exhibit 4.

15 A. Okay.

16 Q. Is that the same fence?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. I think you testified previously that the area
19 across the street was not vegetated; is that correct?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. Looks like the hill alongside downgrading of
22 the fence is not vegetated, is that correct, other than
23 some weeds?

24 A. On the other side across -- not on the street,
25 so the creek side, I guess.

1 Q. The side where the red curb is.

2 A. I'm not sure.

3 Q. Looking at photo 1 then, and what we see in the
4 surrounding area, is it possible that some of the
5 sediment in your sampling point could have come from
6 locations other than the Valencia Hills construction
7 site?

8 MR. BOYERS: Objection. Speculation.

9 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm unclear on the
10 question. The sample from photo 1?

11 MS. BERESFORD:

12 Q. Yes.

13 A. This sample from photo 1, there's no other
14 source of flow that I could have been sampling other
15 than runoff from the construction site.

16 Q. Is there not water that flows across the
17 street?

18 A. Not in that location, I don't believe so.

19 Q. And then the water that flows from photo 1,
20 does that go into the Akins_Inlet at photo 2?

21 A. That is correct.

22 Q. Your sample there was 95; is that correct?

23 A. That is correct.

24 Q. Did you visit the site any time after your May
25 15 inspection?

1 A. I'm taking a second to read through this to
2 remember. I may have.

3 Q. You don't recall specifically?

4 A. I don't recall. I seem to remember another
5 time I was there, either the same time or another time
6 where there wasn't any discharge from the site.

7 Q. Do you know if you were there with someone else
8 at that time?

9 A. No. Just me.

10 Q. Do you know if you wrote a memo about it?

11 A. I don't recall.

12 Q. Have you ever discussed the site with Mr. Wayne
13 Chiu of the Regional Board?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Have you ever exchanged any email with him
16 about it?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Have you ever discussed the site with
19 Mr. Melbourn --

20 A. No.

21 Q. -- of the Regional Board?

22 A. No.

23 Q. Have you exchanged any email with him about it?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Have you ever discussed this site with anybody

1 else at the Regional Board?

2 A. No.

3 Q. Have you ever been involved with a site,
4 another site that was the subject of an administrative
5 civil liability complaint for stormwater compliance
6 issues?

7 A. Construction site?

8 Q. Yes.

9 A. I don't believe so.

10 Q. Are you aware that the Water Board is seeking
11 penalties of over \$800,000 for this site?

12 A. Yes, I'm aware.

13 Q. Are you aware of any other Water Board
14 enforcement action for a construction site that has
15 sought a similar amount of penalties?

16 A. I am aware, although I'm not sure on the
17 specifics. And I'm not sure -- it's kind of...

18 Q. What are you thinking about?

19 A. There was a construction site, I think in the
20 city of Encinitas. And I believe that was a relatively
21 large fine that was assessed, although I'm not sure on
22 the specifics of that.

23 Q. Any others that you are aware of?

24 A. No.

25 MS. BERESFORD: I think I'm mostly done. We're

1 going to break for a minute to review and make sure I
2 don't have anything else. David here may have some
3 questions for you.

4 MR. BOYERS: Maybe.

5 MS. BERESFORD: We will convene and get a final
6 answer from him after the break.

7 (Recess.)

8 MS. BERESFORD:

9 Q. We'll go back on the record. I don't have any
10 questions at this time. Do you?

11 MR. BOYERS: No, I don't.

12 MS. BERESFORD: Okay. Well, then, we will conclude
13 today with your stipulations that Oppen & Varco will
14 retain custody of the original transcript. When we
15 receive that we will send it to Mr. Nemerow for review.
16 We'll discuss off the record how best to accomplish
17 that. To the extent he has any changes we will share
18 that with the Regional Board. If for some reason the
19 original transcript is lost the Regional Board agrees
20 that we can use a copy in lieu of the original.

21 MR. BOYERS: Yes, that's fine.

22 MR. ROSENBAUM: The Regional Board would like to...

23 MR. BOYERS: Have a copy. Get a copy. Order a
24 copy. Pay for one.

25 (The proceedings concluded at 4:20 p.m.)

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws
2 of the State of California that the foregoing is true
3 and correct.
4

5 Executed at Ehewitas, California,
6 on 1/19/2016.

7
8 

9 BRIAN ALAN NEMEROW
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss

2

3 I, Marc Volz, CSR 2863, do hereby declare:

4

5 That, prior to being examined, the witness named in
6 the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn pursuant
7 to Section 2093(b) and 2094 of the Code of Civil
8 Procedure;

9

10 That said deposition was taken down by me in
11 shorthand at the time and place therein named and
12 thereafter reduced to text under my direction.

13

14 I further declare that I have no interest in the
15 event of the action.

16

17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws
18 of the State of California that the foregoing is true
19 and correct.


20

21 WITNESS my hand this 5th day of
22 January, 2016.

23

24

25



Marc Volz, CSR 2863



HEALTH INFORMATION PRIVACY & SECURITY: CAUTIONARY NOTICE

Hutchings Litigation Services is committed to compliance with applicable federal and state laws and regulations ("Privacy Laws") governing the protection and security of patient health information.

Notice is hereby given to all parties that transcripts of depositions and legal proceedings, and transcript exhibits, may contain patient health information that is protected from unauthorized access, use and disclosure by Privacy Laws.

Hutchings Litigation Services requires that access, maintenance, use, and disclosure (including but not limited to electronic database maintenance and access, storage, distribution/dissemination and communication) of transcripts or exhibits containing patient information be performed in compliance with Privacy Laws. No transcript or exhibit containing protected patient health information may be further disclosed except as permitted by Privacy Laws.

Hutchings Litigation Services expects that all parties, parties' attorneys, and their HIPAA Business Associates and Subcontractors will make every reasonable effort to protect and secure patient health information, and to comply with applicable Privacy Law mandates, including but not limited to restrictions on access, storage, use, and disclosure (sharing) of transcripts and transcript exhibits, and applying "minimum necessary" standards where appropriate. It is recommended that your office review its policies regarding sharing of transcripts and exhibits - including access, storage, use, and disclosure - for compliance with Privacy Laws.

HUTCHINGS LITIGATION SERVICES
800.697.3210 – Hutchings.com

© All Rights Reserved. Hutchings Litigation Services
(rev. 4/4/13)

**WORD
INDEX**

A	appearing 4:22	23:16	cities 9:22	coordinating
access 6:12	appears 19:1	big 15:9	city 6:10,11 10:9	10:8
accomplish	application	binder 17:3	10:16 18:10	copy 5:25 6:19
23:16	12:13	bit 4:20	22:20	7:16 23:20,23
accumulated	approximately	BMP 9:18	civil 1:8 4:11	23:23,24
15:6	9:3,9,10	Board 1:2 2:4,6	22:5 25:7	correct 7:23,25
accurate 5:4	area 14:19,21	4:13 18:13,16	class 8:18	8:25 9:5,25
action 22:14	19:18 20:4	21:13,21 22:1	classes 8:24 9:1	10:12,14 13:24
25:13	areas 14:13	22:10,13 23:18	clean 16:3	14:1 16:11,12
active 15:25	asked 5:15	23:19,22	clear 8:15	16:22 19:5,6
actual 17:21	asks 6:15	BOYERS 2:7	Code 25:7	19:19,20,22
adjacent 15:2	assessed 22:21	16:5 20:8 23:4	coffee 8:10,20	20:21,22,23
administrative	assigned 11:4	23:11,21,23	collected 16:10	24:3 25:16
1:8 4:11 22:4	Assistant 2:8	break 5:10,12	college 6:19 9:2	Counsel 2:1,8
advanced 8:4	assume 17:20,22	5:13,16 23:1,6	come 15:18 20:5	county 9:23
afternoon 4:5,6	attending 8:19	Brian 1:13 3:3	commercial	course 8:17
Agency 2:5	attorneys 4:10	3:10 4:1,15	9:14 11:17	12:11,12
agrees 23:19	aware 22:10,12	24:9	12:1	courses 8:19
ahead 7:17 13:3	22:13,16,23	bring 6:15,20	Community 9:2	court 4:23
Akins 13:20	B	7:16	complaint 1:8	creek 19:25
14:4 15:6	B 3:7	Broadway 1:15	4:11 22:5	crew 15:5,9,12
Akins_Inlet	Bachelor 7:24	2:18	completed 8:16	crewmember
20:20	back 8:3 14:17	broke 7:14	8:17	15:16
ALAN 1:13 3:3	14:25 17:3	brought 6:19	completing	CRR 1:17 25:3
4:1 24:9	19:13 23:9	B-r-i-a-n 4:15	16:20	25:23
alongside 14:14	background 7:6	C	compliance 9:15	Cruz 7:22 8:6
19:21	7:20	C 2:16	11:1 22:5	CSR 1:17 25:3
Altos 3:8 12:20	based 12:12	calibrate 16:23	conclude 23:12	25:23
13:20	13:11 15:18	calibrated 19:7	concluded 23:25	curb 14:5,21
Altos-Lemon	19:2	calibration 17:1	conducted 13:12	15:1,6 20:1
1:9 2:14 3:9	basically 6:7	California 1:2	construction	currently 10:15
4:10 6:13	11:4	1:16 2:3,5,10	6:13 9:18	custody 23:14
amount 11:12	becoming 9:20	2:19 24:2,5	12:20 13:20	
22:15	believe 14:23	25:1,15	15:17,21,25	D
analyzed 17:7	16:15,25 20:18	called 17:25	16:13,17 19:3	D 3:1
analyzing 17:23	22:9,20	car 14:9,11,14	20:6,15 22:7	Dadkhah 12:2
Anika 11:21	Beresford 2:16	14:16	22:14,19	dates 18:21
answer 4:24 5:7	3:5 4:4,9 5:23	certain 9:21	contracted 6:11	David 2:7 23:2
5:16 16:6 23:6	6:2 7:17 13:3,5	11:12	15:17	david.boyers...
anybody 18:10	13:7 16:8	certifications	contributed	2:12
18:12,15 21:25	18:18 20:11	12:4	15:2	day 15:8,10,14
appear 6:7	22:25 23:5,8	change 10:1,3	control 1:2 2:4,6	19:4,8 25:19
14:14	23:12	changes 23:17	4:13 9:17,18	December 1:16
APPEARANC...	best 5:5,7,20	Chief 2:8	18:13	3:14 13:12
2:1	9:17 13:24	Chiu 21:13	convene 23:5	18:19
				declare 24:1

25:4,12,14 degree 8:19 degrees 8:4 deposition 1:13 3:10 4:16 6:8 6:22 7:3 25:6,9 describes 17:23 DESCRIPTI... 3:8 developer 12:15 Diego 1:3,15 2:19 4:13 8:19 8:23 9:23 different 9:16 11:9 diploma 6:19,19 8:22 direction 25:11 dirt 14:14,19,20 discharge 14:3 15:8,9,14,20 15:22,25 16:10 21:6 discuss 23:16 discussed 21:12 21:18,25 document 6:4,5 6:15 7:8 13:8 17:17 18:1 documented 17:10 documents 3:11 6:24 11:7 doing 4:8 11:9 downgrading 19:21 downstream 14:5 15:5,12 16:15 drain 16:16 due 15:16 duly 25:6 duties 10:1 D-a-d-k-h-a-h 12:3	D-Max 3:13,15 6:9 8:7,13,14 9:3,8 10:10,13 11:3 17:4,13 E E 3:1,7 earlier 15:8,9,14 earth 7:24 easier 4:20 education 7:6 10:21 either 21:5 email 7:15 18:15 21:15,23 emailed 7:14 employed 10:15 employee 6:9 11:7 Encinitas 22:20 ended 9:21 11:9 13:18 enforcement 22:14 Engineering 3:13,15 6:9 8:7 11:4 entrance/exit 13:21 14:4 Environmental 2:5 10:18 EPA 17:6,8,18 19:10 equipment 17:21 ESQ 2:16 event 25:13 Evidence 14:3 exact 17:24 exactly 16:14 exam 12:8,9 EXAMINATI... 3:4 4:3 examined 25:5 exchange 18:15 exchanged	21:15,23 Executed 24:5 exhibit 3:9,12,13 3:15 5:24 6:8,8 6:22 7:18 13:4 13:5 18:18,19 18:20,23 19:13 19:14,14 experience 12:13 extent 23:17 extra 16:2 F facility 9:19 familiar 12:21 13:8 far 14:17 farther 14:5 fats 9:19 11:10 11:24 feel 5:10 fence 19:16,22 field 17:14,21 final 23:5 fine 7:5 22:21 23:21 finish 5:6,8 finished 8:18 18:9 first 6:3 8:7,9,10 11:3,3 13:1,14 13:16 14:2 18:4 Floor 2:9 flow 20:14 flowing 13:19 flows 20:16,19 follows 4:2 foregoing 24:2 25:6,15 Frank 2:23 free 5:10 further 25:12 G	Geographic 10:7 GIS 10:6,8 give 5:20 go 4:18,19 7:17 7:19 8:3 11:7 13:3 19:12 20:20 23:9 goes 11:8 going 4:18 6:21 14:25 23:1 good 4:5,6,9 6:23 16:2 graduated 7:22 8:3,6 graduating 8:18 grease 9:19 11:10,25 great 5:22 6:23 7:9 ground 4:18 Grove 1:9 2:14 3:8,9 4:10 6:10 6:11,13 12:20 18:10 guess 19:25 guys 6:20 H H 3:7 half 8:12 hand 7:8 25:19 handbook 11:7 hard 14:18 Harper 3:16 head 5:1 17:9 hear 13:1 help 16:19 highlighting 18:5,7 hill 19:21 Hills 6:13 20:6 hired 11:3,8 home 7:15 hopeful 5:11 hopefully 4:19	7:19 hours 13:18 Hutchings 1:22 I IDENTIFIED 3:8 impressive 6:21 included 17:13 including 9:16 indicates 15:7 industrial 9:14 11:17,25 Information 10:8 inlet 16:15,16,21 16:22 inspection 6:10 6:12 9:16 13:11,12 19:1 19:2 20:25 inspections 9:14 9:15,18,18,19 9:20,22 10:4 11:5,9,10,18 11:25 12:1 16:2 inspector 11:5 11:11,24 instrument 16:23 17:14,14 17:18 interest 25:12 investigation 12:5 involved 10:5,7 22:3 issued 4:12 issues 22:6 J job 8:7,9,10 10:17 11:2 16:2 Josh 2:24 July 8:14 9:4
---	---	---	--	--

K	9:8	10:6,20,21	12:4 13:6 14:8	13:4,10
kind 14:16,18	longer 10:13	11:10 12:2	19:15 23:12	point 20:5
22:17	look 13:8 14:7	morning 13:12	open 14:19,20	possession 6:16
Kline 11:17	14:13 16:18	MS4 9:22 10:20	Opper 1:14 2:15	6:18,25
know 6:20 7:13	18:4 19:13,14	municipal 9:19	23:13	possible 4:24
8:16 11:21	Looking 19:12	M-a-n-o-u-c-h...	opposed 4:25	20:4
13:14 14:25	20:3	12:3	order 5:4 23:23	power 15:5,18
16:4,7,18,19	looks 19:6,21	N	original 23:14	16:10,20
16:23 17:1,6,8	lost 23:19	N 3:1	23:19,20	practice 9:17
17:10 18:20	lot 9:21 10:1,3	name 4:9,14	outfall 9:22,22	prepare 7:2
19:11 21:7,10	11:2 16:1	11:19,20,22	10:21 11:10	Present 2:23
Kubishka 11:21	M	named 25:5,10	12:1	Pretty 11:11
K-i-i-n-e 11:20	M 2:7 12:1	need 5:12	outreach 10:21	previously
L	machine 18:2	needed 6:20	outside 16:16,16	19:18
landscaped	19:7	Nemerow 1:13	O'Neal 3:16	primary 11:13
14:24	mailbox 14:14	3:3,10,14,16	P	print 7:15
large 22:21	14:17,22	4:1,5,15 5:24	page 3:4 16:18	printer 7:14
laws 24:1 25:14	main 11:24	23:15 24:9	18:4	prior 13:18 25:5
lead 9:20	management	nodding 4:25	paired 11:11,14	probably 17:13
Lemon 3:8 6:10	9:17	notice 3:10 6:7	paragraph 15:4	Procedure 25:8
6:11 12:20	Manouchehr	noticeable 15:7	particularly	proceedings
18:10	12:2	15:13	14:7	23:25
let's 7:17 8:3	Marc 1:17 25:3	noticed 1:14	Pay 23:24	Production 3:11
10:23 13:3	25:23	Number 1:22	penalties 22:11	professional
Level 9:9,10,12	mark 5:23 13:3	numerous 9:15	22:15	7:11 12:7
9:13,24 10:2	18:18	N-e-m-e-r-o-w	penalty 24:1	projects 9:21
10:11	MARKED 3:8	4:15	25:14	10:6,6,20
liability 1:8 4:11	matter 1:7 4:11	O	performed 9:13	properties 15:2
22:5	mean 17:15	oath 4:22	9:15	property 16:16
lieu 23:20	measure 17:16	Objection 16:5	performing 9:21	16:17
Linda 2:16 4:9	measured 16:11	20:8	perjury 24:1	Protection 2:5
lindab@envir...	medication 5:19	observations	25:14	purposes 6:10
2:21	Melbourn 2:23	15:19	person 5:5	pursuant 25:6
little 4:19 14:17	21:19	observe 11:6,12	11:13	put 17:3
LLC 1:9 2:14	memo 3:14,16	observed 13:19	photo 14:7,9,11	p.m 1:17 23:25
3:8 4:10 6:13	13:17 16:9	14:4	14:13,16,17,25	Q
LLC's 3:10	18:5,9,13,16	office 7:13	19:12,13,14	qualifications
LLP 2:15	18:19,20 21:10	Oh 14:23	20:3,10,13,19	6:18
location 12:24	meter 17:22	oils 9:19 11:10	20:20	qualified 12:7,8
14:4 20:18	method 17:6,8	11:24	piece 17:21	12:15
locations 13:21	17:18,23,24	okay 4:21 5:3,9	place 25:10	Quality 1:2 2:3
20:6	19:10	5:17,22 6:23	plan 12:9	4:12 18:13
log 17:1	minute 23:1	7:5,21 8:21	please 4:13,24	question 4:24
long 5:11 8:11	MiraCosta 9:2	10:24 11:23	5:6,10,13,16	5:7,16,16 6:3
	monitoring 10:5		5:23 6:6 7:10	20:10

questions 23:3 23:10 quickly 7:20	5:15 requests 6:25 Resources 2:6 responsive 6:25 resume 3:12 6:18 7:11,12 retain 23:14 review 5:24 6:4 23:1,15 right 5:13 6:17 Rosenbaum 2:17,24 23:22 RPR 1:17 25:3 25:23 rules 4:18 runoff 13:19 20:15 R9-2015-0110 1:8 4:12	second 5:4 13:17 14:2 15:4,4 19:2 21:1 Section 25:7 sediment 14:3 15:1,6,8,9,14 15:20,22,24 17:16 20:5 see 7:22 13:22 14:5,9,10,15 14:16,23 15:22 15:24 20:3 seeking 22:10 seen 6:3,5 18:23 send 23:15 senior 11:4,11 sentence 4:25 13:17,22 14:2 14:3,5 15:4 16:9 Services 15:5,12 share 23:17 shop 8:10,20 shorthand 25:10 shoulders 5:1 shrugging 5:1 side 19:24,25 20:1 similar 22:15 sit 12:8 site 6:14 12:20 12:21,23 13:1 13:11,15,18,20 13:21 15:17,21 15:25 16:13,17 18:21 19:3 20:7,15,24 21:6,12,18,25 22:3,4,7,11,14 22:19 sitting 12:9 somewhat 7:20 sorry 8:15 13:5 20:9	sort 17:20 18:1 sought 22:15 sounds 8:16 source 20:14 speak 5:5 speaking 5:8 special 10:5 specialist 10:18 specific 12:4 specifically 21:3 specification 17:22 specifics 22:17 22:22 Speculation 16:5 20:8 spell 4:14 11:19 11:21 spoken 18:12 ss 25:1 staff 9:7,8,9,10 9:12,13,24 10:2,9,10 start 5:8 8:13 started 8:14 9:3 9:20 10:4,5 state 2:6 4:13 6:6 7:10 13:10 13:17 24:2 25:1,15 stating 6:8 stipulations 23:13 storm 16:16 stormwater 6:9 9:14,16 10:6 10:22,23 11:1 12:5,7 17:24 22:5 street 2:9 14:20 15:18,20,23,24 19:19,24 20:17 subject 22:4 submitting 12:13	Suite 1:15 2:18 supposed 17:18 sure 4:15 5:14 7:7 11:2 14:12 15:3 17:24 20:2 22:16,17 22:21 23:1 surrounding 20:4 Swonger 11:17 sworn 4:2 25:6 SWPPP 12:15 Systems 10:8 S-w-o-n-g-e-r 11:20 S4 12:1
	S			T
rain 13:18 read 21:1 reason 5:19 23:18 recall 14:19 19:7,9,10 21:3 21:4,11 receive 23:15 received 11:6 16:1 Recess 23:7 recollection 13:25 16:19 record 4:14 6:6 12:17 23:9,16 records 6:12,15 6:17 red 20:1 reduced 25:11 references 12:14 referring 12:23 refresh 16:19 Region 1:3 4:13 Regional 1:2 2:3 4:12 18:13 21:13,21 22:1 23:18,19,22 related 6:12 10:21 relatively 22:20 remember 13:2 15:11,11 17:2 17:3,5 18:1 21:2,4 remove 15:6 report 13:11 19:1 reporter 4:23 reports 10:4 request 3:11	S2:17 3:7 Sacramento 2:10 sample 16:9,24 17:7 20:10,13 20:22 samples 19:4 sampling 20:5 20:14 San 1:3,9,15 2:14,19 3:8,9 4:10,13 6:13 8:19,23 9:23 12:20 13:20 Santa 7:22 8:6 saw 15:24 saying 14:23 17:17 says 14:3 15:5 16:9 17:18 Science 7:24 sciences 7:24 scientist 9:7,8,9 9:10,12,13,24 10:2,10			T 3:7 take 5:10,12,13 6:4 9:1 11:5 taken 1:14 4:16 12:9 25:9 talk 7:5 10:23 12:19 18:9 talking 9:24 15:11,15 Tamimi 3:14,16 task 9:20 tell 18:25 test 12:9 testified 19:18 testifies 4:2 testimony 5:20 text 25:11 thanks 4:8 5:10 thing 5:25 things 5:2 think 6:1,15 8:12 12:3 15:13 18:4 19:18 22:19,25 thinking 22:18 three 9:9 time 5:6,11 6:4 9:6 11:12

13:14,16,21 18:3,21 20:24 21:5,5,5,8 23:10 25:10 title 9:6 10:10 10:17 today 4:22 5:11 5:20 7:16 12:19 23:13 today's 7:3 top 17:8 trainer 12:17 training 10:23 10:25 11:2 transcript 5:4 23:14,19 treatment 9:17 9:17 true 24:2 25:15 try 4:19 5:5 Tuesday 1:16 turbidity 16:11 19:4 two 8:12 9:11 18:21 19:4 type 5:2 10:25 types 9:16 11:9	Varco 1:14 2:15 23:13 various 9:22 vegetated 14:24 19:19,22 verbally 4:25 visit 13:19,21 20:24 visited 13:15 18:21 Vista 10:16 Volz 1:17 25:3 25:23	Y yeah 7:9,11 8:12 year 12:10 years 8:12 9:10 9:11 \$ \$800,000 22:11 1 1 3:9 5:24 9:4,9 9:12,13,24 19:12,14 20:3 20:10,13,19 1st 8:14 1001 2:9 13 3:13 15 3:17 18:20 20:25 17 3:14 13:13 18:19 18 3:15 1900 1:15 2:18 2 2 3:12 7:18 9:10 10:2,11,18 13:4 20:20 2008 8:18 2010 8:1,14,22 9:4 2014 3:14 13:13 2015 1:16 3:17 2016 12:10 2093(b) 25:7 2094 25:7 22nd 2:9 225 1:15 2:18 23 3:9,12,13,15 2863 1:17 25:3 25:23 29 1:16 3 3 3:13 13:5 14:7 14:9,25 18:19	19:13,14 3:37 1:17 4 4 3:5,15 14:11 14:13,16 16:18 18:18,20,23 19:13,14 4:20 23:25 5 5 3:9 52 16:11 599122 1:22 6 619.231.5858 2:20 7 7 3:12 9 916.341-5276 2:11 92101 2:19 95 20:22 95814 2:10
U UC 7:22 8:6 uh-hmm 5:1 Uh-huh 18:6 unclear 8:16 20:9 understand 10:13 understanding 15:15 Upstream 16:22 use 7:19 17:19 23:20 V Valencia 6:13 12:19 19:2 20:6	W wait 5:6,8 walked 8:17,17 want 8:15 14:7 19:12 wanted 16:2 wash 15:18 washing 15:5 16:10,20 wasn't 21:6 water 1:2 2:3,6 4:12 16:10 18:13,16 20:16 20:19 22:10,13 Wayne 2:17 21:12 weeds 19:23 We'll 23:9,16 We're 22:25 witness 1:13 3:3 4:2 5:25 13:6 16:7 20:9 25:5 25:19 work 8:17 10:20 12:11,12 working 8:10,20 write 10:4 written 11:7 wrote 21:10 X X 3:1,7		

EXHIBITS

Nemerow, Brian Alan



Litigation
SERVICES

ob: 599122

Exhibit: 00001



EXHIBIT 1
REPORTER M. Velt
WITNESS B. Nemerow
DATE 12-29-15

OPPER & VARCO, LLP
S. WAYNE ROSENBAUM (Bar No. 182456)
LINDA C. BERESFORD (Bar No. 199145)
225 BROADWAY, SUITE 1900
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101
TELEPHONE: 619.231.5858
FACSIMILE: 619.231.5853

ATTORNEYS FOR SAN ALTOS – LEMON GROVE, LLC

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
SAN DIEGO REGION

IN THE MATTER OF:) **SAN ALTOS – LEMON GROVE, LLC'S**
ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL LIABILITY COMPLAINT) **NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF BRIAN**
No. R9-2015-0110) **NEMEROW AND REQUEST FOR**
AGAINST SAN ALTOS – LEMON GROVE, LLC) **PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS**
Date: December 29, 2015
Time: 3:30 p.m.
Place: Law Offices of Oppen & Varco LLP
225 Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101

TO ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 29, 2015 at 3:30 p.m., San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC will take the deposition of Brian Nemerow in accordance with the enclosed subpoena. This deposition will take place at the law firm of Oppen & Varco, LLP, located at 225 Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101, before a certified reporter or person authorized to administer oaths who is present at the specified time and place. Said deposition will continue from day to day, Saturdays, Sundays and holidays excepted, until completed.

YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that the deposition may also be recorded by videotape as authorized by the Code of civil Procedure section 2025.340 and Plaintiff reserves the right to use any videotaped portion of the deposition testimony at a hearing in this matter. The deposition may also be recorded through such means as to provide the instant display of the testimony as also authorized by Code of Civil Procedure section 2025.340.

1 YOU ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED that San Altos-Lemon Grove, LLC requests that
2 Brian Nemerow produce the documents identified in Attachment A to this Notice of Deposition
3 and Request for Production of Documents.
4

5 Dated: December 10, 2015

OPPER & VARCO LLP

7
8 
9 Linda C. Beresford
Attorney for San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC

EXHIBIT A

1 S. WAYNE ROSENBAUM (SBN 182456)
2 OPPER & VARCO, LLP
3 225 Broadway, Suite 1900
4 San Diego, California 92101
5 Telephone: 619.231.5858
6 Facsimile: 619.231.5853
7 Email: swr@envirolawyer.com

8 Attorney for San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC

9 BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

10 SAN DIEGO REGION

11 IN THE MATTER OF;

12 **Administrative Civil Liability Complaint**
13 **No. R9-2015-0110 Against San Altos – Lemon Grove,**
14 **LLC**

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR
DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN
NEMEROW

15 1. I, S. Wayne Rosenbaum, declare that I am counsel for San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC (“San
16 Altos”), a Designated Party in the above-entitled matter.

17 2. On December 4, 2015, the Advisory Team for the California Regional Water Quality Control
18 Board, San Diego Region (“Advisory Team”) issued the Final Hearing Procedures for ACLC R9-2015-0110. (“Final
19 Hearing Procedures”), which included a list of deadlines (the “Schedule”) prior to the currently scheduled hearing
20 date of February 10, 2016.

21 3. The Schedule requires San Altos submit “All evidence (other than witness testimony to be
22 presented orally at the hearing) that the Designated Party would like the San Diego Water Board to consider” by
23 January 4, 2016.

24 4. Good cause exists for the production of the document described below because such evidence is
25 probative of the veracity of the alleged violations of the Complaint.

26 5. As an Employee of D-Max Engineering, Inc. providing on-call Storm Water inspection services
27 for the City of Lemon Grove, Brian Nemerow has, or should have, the documents described below in his possession
28 or control.

6. The exact documents to be produced include:

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW

1 a. All records and documents, including, but not limited to, inspection reports, notices of
2 violation, administrative citations, stop work notices, correct work notices, field notes, photographs, audio
3 or video recordings, phone logs, and internal communications, including emails, related to inspections that
4 occurred at the San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Construction Site on the following dates:

5 December 1, 2014

6 December 2, 2014

7 December 3, 2014

8 December 4, 2014

9 December 5, 2014

10 December 6, 2014

11 December 7, 2014

12 December 8, 2014

13 December 9, 2014

14 December 11, 2014

15 December 12, 2014

16 December 15, 2014

17 December 16, 2014

18 December 17, 2014

19 December 31, 2014

20 January 6, 2015

21 January 7, 2015

22 January 8, 2015

23 January 9, 2015

24 January 10, 2015

25 January 11, 2015

26 January 12, 2015

1 January 13, 2015
2 March 1, 2015
3 March 18, 2015
4 March 19, 2015
5 March 20, 2015
6 March 21, 2015
7 March 22, 2015
8 March 23, 2015
9 March 24, 2015
10 March 25, 2015
11 March 26, 2015
12 March 27, 2015
13 March 28, 2015
14 March 29, 2015
15 March 30, 2015
16 March 31, 2015
17 April 1, 2015
18 May 8, 2015
19 May 9, 2015
20 May 10, 2015
21 May 11, 2015
22 May 12, 2015
23 May 13, 2015
24 May 14, 2015
25 May 15, 2015
26 September 15, 2015

28 AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW

1 October 5, 2015

2 b. Any additional records and documents, including, but not limited to, inspection reports,
3 notices of violation, administrative citations, stop work notices, correct work notices, field notes,
4 photographs, audio or video recordings, phone logs, and internal communications, including emails, related
5 to inspections that occurred at the San Altos -- Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Construction Site,
6 regardless of whether or not the inspection led to the issuance of a formal report, notice, or citation from Mr.
7 Nemerow and/or the City of Lemon Grove to San Altos -- Lemon Grove, LLC from March 6, 2014 to
8 October 19, 2015.


9 c. Any documents, contracts, work orders, requests for services, communications or records
10 thereof including but not limited to emails, or invoices related to inspections, testing, report writing, or
11 materials related to services rendered by D-Max Engineering, Inc. on behalf of the City of Lemon Grove
12 that involved or affected the San Altos -- Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Project Site during the period
13 of March 6, 2014 through October 19, 2015.

14 d. A copy of Mr. Nemerow' curriculum vitae listing his education, qualifications, and
15 experience.

16 7. Emails, writings, or photographs should be provided in both printed and digital formats. Audio or
17 video recordings may be provided in conventional formats accessible on personal computers without the assistance
18 of specialized software.

19
20 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

21
22 Dated this 10th of December, 2015.

23
24 
25 S. Wayne Rosenbaum
26 Attorney for San Altos -- Lemon Grove, LLC
27

28 AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW

**BEFORE THE SAN DIEGO REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD
SUBPOENA FOR ADJUDICATIVE ACTION**

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY REQUESTING SUBPOENA (name, address, and telephone no.): S. Wayne Rosenbaum, Esq. Opper & Varco LLP 225 Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: (619) 231-5858 Fax: (619) 231-5853 E-Mail: swr@envirolawyer.com REPRESENTING: San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC	FOR REGIONAL WATER BOARD USE ONLY
TITLE OF THE PROCEEDING: Administrative Civil Liability Complaint No. R9-2015-0110	
☒ SUBPOENA ☒ SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM	☐ RE HEARING ☒ RE DEPOSITION

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO (name): Brian Nemerow

1. **YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR AS A WITNESS** in this proceeding as follows unless you make special agreement with the person named in item 3:

a. Date: December 29, 2015	Time: 3:30 PM PST
b. Address: 225 Broadway, Suite 1900, San Diego, CA 92101	

2. **AND YOU ARE:**

- a. ☐ Ordered to appear in person. (Wat. Code, § 1080; Gov. Code, § 11450.10; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 649.6.)
b. ☐ Not required to appear in person if you produce the records described in the accompanying affidavit in compliance with Evidence Code sections 1560 and 1561. (Wat. Code, § 1080; Gov. Code, § 11450.10(b); Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 649.6.)
c. ☒ Ordered to appear in person and to produce the records described in the accompanying affidavit. The personal attendance of the custodian or other qualified witness and the production of the original records is required by this subpoena. The procedure authorized by subdivision (b) of section 1560, and sections 1561 and 1562, of the Evidence Code will not be deemed sufficient compliance with this subpoena. (Wat. Code, § 1080; Gov. Code, § 11450.10; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 649.6.)

3. **IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT WITNESS FEES OR THE TIME OR DATE FOR YOU TO APPEAR, OR IF YOU WANT TO BE CERTAIN THAT YOUR PRESENCE IS REQUIRED, CONTACT THE FOLLOWING PERSON BEFORE THE DATE ON WHICH YOU ARE TO APPEAR:**

a. Name: S. Wayne Rosenbaum	b. Telephone number: (619) 231-5858
------------------------------------	--


(Gov. Code, § 11450.20(a); Code Civ. Proc., § 1985.2.)

4. **WITNESS FEES:** You are entitled to witness fees and mileage actually traveled, both ways, as provided by law. Request them from the person who serves this subpoena or from the person named in item 3. (Wat. Code, §§ 1081, 1083, 1084; Gov. Code, §§ 11450.40, 68070 et seq.; Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1986.5, 2065.)
5. If you object to the terms of this subpoena, you may file a motion for a protective order including a motion to quash. Motions must be made within a reasonable period after receipt of the subpoena, and shall be made with written notice to all parties, with proof of service upon all parties attached. In response to your motion, the hearing officer may make an order quashing the subpoena entirely, modifying it, or directing compliance with it, or may make any order needed to protect the parties or witnesses from unreasonable or oppressive demands, including unreasonable violations of the right to privacy. (Gov. Code, § 11450.30.) (Send motions to: San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108, Attn: David Gibson, with copies to all parties and to Catherine George Hagan, State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel, c/o San Diego Water Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108.)

DISOBEDIENCE OF THIS SUBPOENA MAY CAUSE YOU TO BE LIABLE FOR CONTEMPT AND OTHER PENALTIES PROVIDED BY LAW

(Wat. Code, §§ 1090-1097; 23 CCR §648.8; Gov. Code, §§ 11450.20(b), 11455.10-11455.30.)

Dated: December 10, 2015


(signature)

Name: S. Wayne Rosenbaum

Title: Partner - Opper & Varco LLP
Attorney for San Altos - Lemon Grove, LLC

PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUBPOENA

(Gov. Code, § 11440.20; Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1987, 1987.5, 1988, 1989, 2015.3, 2015.5.)

1. I served this ☒ subpoena ☒ subpoena duces tecum and supporting affidavit by:

☐ personally delivering a copy to the person served as follows:

a. Person served (name):	b. Date of delivery:
c. Address where served:	d. Time of delivery:
e. Witness fees and mileage both ways (check one): (1) ☐ were paid. Amount: \$ _____ (2) ☒ were not paid. (3) ☐ were tendered to the witness's public entity employer as required by Government Code § 68097.2. The amount tendered was \$ _____	f. Fees for service. Amount: \$ _____

- ☐ delivering true copies thereof by certified mail, return receipt requested, to the address as shown below.
☐ delivering true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope to a messenger for immediate personal delivery to the address as shown below.
☐ delivering true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope to a mail delivery service for overnight delivery to the address as shown below. [Specify name of mail service and tracking no.: _____]
☐ Other method allowed by Gov. Code § 11440.20. Specify: _____

Address where served:

2. I certify that I received this ☒ subpoena ☒ subpoena duces tecum for service on _____ Date _____

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration is executed on:
Date _____ at (place) _____, California Signature _____

(For California sheriff, marshal, or constable use only)

I certify that the foregoing is true and correct and that this certificate is executed on:
Date _____ at (place) _____, California Signature _____

NOTE: THE ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY REQUESTING THIS SUBPOENA MUST PROVIDE A COPY OF THE SUBPOENA TO EVERY PARTY IN THE HEARING, AND FILE A COPY WITH THE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD. THE COPY PROVIDED TO THE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY A CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE LISTING THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF PARTIES WHO WERE PROVIDED COPIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT CODE § 11440.20. (Gov. Code, § 11440.20; Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 648.4(c).) (Send to: San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2375 Northside Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108: Attn David Gibson, with copies to all parties and to Catherine George Hagan, State Water Resources Control Board, Office of Chief Counsel, c/o San Diego Water Board, 2375 Northside Dr., Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92108.)

1 S. WAYNE ROSENBAUM (SBN 182456)
2 OPPER & VARCO, LLP
225 Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, California 92101
3 Telephone: 619.231.5858
Facsimile: 619.231.5853
4 Email: swr@envirolawyer.com

5 Attorney for San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC

6 BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

7 SAN DIEGO REGION

8 IN THE MATTER OF:

9 **Administrative Civil Liability Complaint**
10 **No. R9-2015-0110 Against San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC**

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR
DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN
NEMEROW

11 1. I, S. Wayne Rosenbaum, declare that I am counsel for San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC (“San
12 Altos”), a Designated Party in the above-entitled matter.

13 2. On December 4, 2015, the Advisory Team for the California Regional Water Quality Control
14 Board, San Diego Region (“Advisory Team”) issued the Final Hearing Procedures for ACLC R9-2015-0110.(“Final
15 Hearing Procedures”), which included a list of deadlines (the “Schedule”) prior to the currently scheduled hearing
16 date of February 10, 2016.

17 3. The Schedule requires San Altos submit “All evidence (other than witness testimony to be
18 presented orally at the hearing) that the Designated Party would like the San Diego Water Board to consider” by
19 January 4, 2016.

20 4. Good cause exists for the production of the document described below because such evidence is
21 probative of the veracity of the alleged violations of the Complaint.

22 5. As an Employee of D-Max Engineering, Inc. providing on-call Storm Water inspection services
23 for the City of Lemon Grove, Brian Nemerow has, or should have, the documents described below in his possession
24 or control.

25 6. The exact documents to be produced include:
26
27

28 AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW

1 a. All records and documents, including, but not limited to, inspection reports, notices of
2 violation, administrative citations, stop work notices, correct work notices, field notes, photographs, audio
3 or video recordings, phone logs, and internal communications, including emails, related to inspections that
4 occurred at the San Altos – Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Construction Site on the following dates:

5 December 1, 2014

6 December 2, 2014

7 December 3, 2014

8 December 4, 2014

9 December 5, 2014

10 December 6, 2014

11 December 7, 2014

12 December 8, 2014

13 December 9, 2014

14 December 11, 2014

15 December 12, 2014

16 December 15, 2014

17 December 16, 2014

18 December 17, 2014

19 December 31, 2014

20 January 6, 2015

21 January 7, 2015

22 January 8, 2015

23 January 9, 2015

24 January 10, 2015

25 January 11, 2015

26 January 12, 2015

1	January 13, 2015
2	March 1, 2015
3	March 18, 2015
4	March 19, 2015
5	March 20, 2015
6	March 21, 2015
7	March 22, 2015
8	March 23, 2015
9	March 24, 2015
10	March 25, 2015
11	March 26, 2015
12	March 27, 2015
13	March 28, 2015
14	March 29, 2015
15	March 30, 2015
16	March 31, 2015
17	April 1, 2015
18	May 8, 2015
19	May 9, 2015
20	May 10, 2015
21	May 11, 2015
22	May 12, 2015
23	May 13, 2015
24	May 14, 2015
25	May 15, 2015
26	September 15, 2015

1 October 5, 2015

2 b. Any additional records and documents, including, but not limited to, inspection reports,
3 notices of violation, administrative citations, stop work notices, correct work notices, field notes,
4 photographs, audio or video recordings, phone logs, and internal communications, including emails, related
5 to inspections that occurred at the San Altos -- Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Construction Site,
6 regardless of whether or not the inspection led to the issuance of a formal report, notice, or citation from Mr.
7 Nemerow and/or the City of Lemon Grove to San Altos -- Lemon Grove, LLC from March 6, 2014 to
8 October 19, 2015.

9 c. Any documents, contracts, work orders, requests for services, communications or records
10 thereof including but not limited to emails, or invoices related to inspections, testing, report writing, or
11 materials related to services rendered by D-Max Engineering, Inc. on behalf of the City of Lemon Grove
12 that involved or affected the San Altos -- Lemon Grove, LLC Valencia Hills Project Site during the period
13 of March 6, 2014 through October 19, 2015.

14 d. A copy of Mr. Nemerow' curriculum vitae listing his education, qualifications, and
15 experience.

16 7. Emails, writings, or photographs should be provided in both printed and digital formats. Audio or
17 video recordings may be provided in conventional formats accessible on personal computers without the assistance
18 of specialized software.


19
20 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

21
22 Dated this 10th of December, 2015.

23
24 
25 S. Wayne Rosenbaum
26 Attorney for San Altos -- Lemon Grove, LLC
27

28 AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA FOR DOCUMENTS AND THINGS FROM BRIAN NEMEROW

Nemerow, Brian Alan



Litigation
SERVICES

Job: 599122

Exhibit: 00002



Brian Nemerow

1149 North Vulcan Ave #6
Encinitas, CA 92024
(760) 846-5135
brian.nemerow@gmail.com

PROFILE

My experience working with D-Max Engineering has given me the base knowledge and skills needed to provide exceptional work that satisfies NPDES permit requirements, all while applying critical thinking to solve tough problems that may arise in the process. My main goals are to be a valuable asset to the City of Vista's Water Quality Protection Program, educate the public on important pollution-related issues and to explore creative ways to solve environmental challenges.

EXPERIENCE

D-Max Engineering, Inc; San Diego, CA — July, 2010-Present

I have performed a variety of field tasks along with project management and coordination roles while working at D-Max Engineering. Some of the most notable projects are described below:

- City of La Mesa Prop 84 Grant Project, 2015. I reviewed project information and wrote the Quality Assurance Project Plan, Monitoring Plan and Project Assessment and Evaluation Plan in accordance with Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP) requirements. I worked with laboratory staff to ensure specific testing for unique chemical constituents would be completed using EPA standard methods and proper reporting limits.
- City of Menifee MS4 Mapping, 2014-2015. I obtained construction drawings from City of Menifee and County of Riverside sources for references used to map the City's entire MS4 structures using GIS, in accordance with Region 8 Municipal Permit requirements. I used this map to develop the City's major outfall inventory and conduct field visits to all major outfalls within the City's jurisdiction.
- City of Vista Transitional Dry Weather Monitoring, 2013-2014. I planned and conducted field visits to major outfalls within the City jurisdiction in accordance with Municipal Permit requirements, used GIS to help develop the major outfall inventory, conducted upstream source investigations with City and public school staff, and wrote the final dry weather monitoring report summary.
- City of Vista's 2013-2014 Industrial/Commercial and FOG inspection program. I helped manage the program to ensure inspections were completed within the time constraints of the permit requirements, performed field inspection tasks, ensured oversight of D-Max inspectors and helped revise the inventory. I also worked with City staff to develop a new property-based inspection procedure in accordance with the 2013 Municipal Permit.

EXHIBIT 2
REPORTER M. Volz
WITNESS B. Nemerow
DATE 12-29-15

EDUCATION

University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA — B.S. Earth Sciences with concentration in Ocean Sciences, 2010.


SKILLS

I am proficient in using Global Information System (GIS) to create maps and conduct research pertaining to stormwater-related programs. I also have excellent communication skills, which I utilize while educating the public during inspections and discussing report findings with municipalities or RWQCB staff.

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

I have qualified to sit for the Certified Professional in Storm Water Quality (CPSWQ) exam, and plan to obtain the certification within the next two months.

Nemerow, Brian Alan



Litigation
SERVICES

Job: 599122

Exhibit: 00003



D-MAX Engineering, Inc.

Consultants in Water & Environmental Sciences

**Memo**

EXHIBIT 3
REPORTER M. Volz
WITNESS B. Nemerow
DATE 12-29-15

Date: December 17, 2014

To: Malik Tamimi

Cc: Tad Nakatani; John Quenzer

From: Brian Nemerow

Subject: December 17, 2014 Field Visit at Valencia Construction Site

Per the City's request, D-MAX visited the Valencia construction site on the morning of December 17, 2014, following a storm earlier in the morning. Rain had ended a few hours prior to the site visit, and no runoff was observed flowing out from the construction site at the Akins or San Altos entrance/exit locations at the time of the site visit.

Evidence of sediment discharge was observed at the Akins entrance/exit location (Photos 1 and 2) and along the curb farther downstream (Photo 3). A crew from Downstream Services was power washing the curb along Akins to remove accumulated sediment (Photos 4, 5, and 6). This indicates that there likely had been a noticeable sediment discharge earlier in the day. Based on talking with the crew, our understanding is that they were working on behalf of the Valencia project, and they also planned to use a vacator truck to remove accumulated sediment from the downstream storm drain on Akins. The crew was taking measures to prevent discharge of water from power washing, including using a vacator truck to reclaim the water, but a small amount of water was observed discharging to the inlet along Akins. The water was seeping through gravel bags around the inlet and discharge to the storm drain. A sample of the power washing discharge water was collected, and turbidity was measured at 52 NTU.



Figure 1. Observation Locations



Photo 1. Evidence of sediment discharge at Akins entrance/exit



Photo 2. Evidence of sediment discharge at Akins entrance/exit



Photo 3. Sediment accumulation along Akins curb gutter, downstream of Akins entrance/exit



Photo 4. Power washing activity performed by Downstream Services



Photo 5. Power washing activity performed by Downstream Services



Photo 6. Power washing activity performed by Downstream Services

Nemerow, Brian Alan



Litigation
SERVICES

Job: 599122

Exhibit: 00004



D-MAX Engineering, Inc.

Consultants in Water & Environmental Sciences



Memo

EXHIBIT 4
REPORTER M. Volz
WITNESS B. Nemerow
DATE 12-29-15

Date: May 15, 2015

To: Malik Tamimi, Gary Harper, Tamara O'Neal

Cc: Tad Nakatani, John Quenzer

From: Brian Nemerow

Subject: May 15, 2015 Sampling at Valencia Construction Site

Per the City's request, D-MAX collected samples of runoff from the Valencia construction site. Runoff was observed discharging from the construction site only at the Akins Avenue entrance/exit sampling location (site Valencia_Akins, Figure 1 and Photo 1). Because gravel bag check dams were positioned along Akins Avenue from the site discharge point down to the nearest storm drain inlet, a sample was also taken downstream of the last set of gravel bags, just before the water entered the inlet (site Akins_Inlet, Figure 1 and Photo 2).

Each sample was collected and analyzed for turbidity and pH using a calibrated field meter. The Valencia_Akins site had a turbidity value that exceeded the numeric action level of 250 NTU. Unprotected loose sediment observed along the site perimeter near the Akins entrance likely contributed to the high turbidity levels. Photo 3a shows the project area near the Akins inlet during the rain event on 5/15/2015. Photo 3b is a close-up photo of the same area two days earlier. It appears that the loose sediment observed on 5/13/2015 was not sufficiently cleaned up or protected prior to the rain event.

The sample collected at the Akins_Inlet site downstream of the Akins entrance had a turbidity value below the numeric action level. This decrease in turbidity between the Valencia_Akins and Akins_Inlet locations may be due to a combination of sediment settling out at the gravel bag check dams and the runoff from Akins Avenue comingling with the site runoff. Results are summarized in the table below, and photos of the samples in clear containers are presented as photos 4 and 5.

Following sampling, the construction site foreman was informed of the high turbidity measurement, and he stated he was working toward remediating the issue.

Table 1. Sampling Results

Site	Sample Date	Sample Time	Turbidity (NTU)	pH
Valencia_Akins	5/15/2015	07:20	308	8.35
Akins_Inlet	5/15/2015	07:40	95	8.23



Figure 1. Sampling Locations



Photo 1. Site Valencia_Akins



Photo 2. Site Akins_Inlet



Photo 3a. Project area at the Akins Ave entrance/exit during rain event



Photo 3b. Project area at the Akins Ave entrance/exit on 5/13/2015. (Same telephone pole as seen in photo 3a)



Photo 4. Sample from Site Valencia_Akins



Photo 5. Sample from Site Akins_Inlet