| TESTING TYPE | ☐ Installation | □ Repair | ☐ 12 Mont | íh | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| 1. FACILITY INFO | RMATION | | | | |
| CERS ID | | | | Test Da | ate |
| Facility Name | | | | | |
| Facility Address | | | City | | ZIP Code |
| 2. SERVICE TECH | HNICIAN INFORMATION | | | | |
| Company Performi | ng Testing | | | Phone | |
| Mailing Address | | | | | |
| Service Technician | Performing Testing | | | | |
| Contractor License | Number | | | | |
| ICC Certification | | | | ICC Ex | piration Date |
| 3. TRAINING AND | CERTIFICATIONS | | | | |
| Manufacturer and 1 | Test Equipment Training (| Certifications | Tı | raining E | xpiration Date |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | |
| 4 CEPTIFICATIO | N BY SERVICE TECHNIC | | TING TEST | | |
| | - | | | in acco | rdanco with |
| I hereby certify that all equipment identified in this form has been tested in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 23, division 3, chapter 16, section 2663; that required supporting documentation is attached; and all information contained herein is accurate. | | | | | |
| Service Technician | | | | | |

CERS = California Environmental Reporting System, GPH = Gallons Per Hour, ID = Identification, ICC = International Code Council, LLD = Line Leak Detector, NA = Not Applicable, UDC = Under-Dispenser Containment, UST = Underground Storage Tank, VPH = Vacuum/Pressure/Hydrostatic

| 5. MONITORING SYSTEM AND | PROGRAMMING | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|---------|------|----|
| A separate Release Detection I monitoring system. | Equipment Testing Report Form | must be prepare | d for (| each | |
| Manufacturer of Monitoring System Control Panel | Model of Monitoring System Control Panel | Software Version | Instal | led | |
| Attach the post-testing reports if the monitoring system is capable of generating either: Monitoring System Set-up Report Alarm History Report | | | | No | NA |
| Is all release detection equipmen specifications? | t that was tested operational per n | nanufacturer's | | | |
| Is the secondary containment free | e of damage, debris, or liquid? | | | | |
| Are the release detection audible | and visual alarms operational? | | | | |
| Have all sensors been: 1) visually inspected for wiring kinks, breaks and residual buildup on floats; and 2) tested for functionality and confirmed operational? | | | | | |
| Are all sensors installed to detect a release at the earliest opportunity? | | | | | |
| Was the monitoring system set-up reviewed, and proper settings confirmed? | | | | | |
| Was the monitoring system's backup battery visually inspected, functionally tested, and confirmed operational? | | | | | |
| Was it confirmed that the flow of hazardous substance stops at the dispenser if a release is detected in the under-dispenser containment? | | | | | |
| Does the pressure supply pump automatically shut down if the piping secondary containment monitoring system fails to operate or is disconnected? | | | | | |
| Does the pressure supply pump automatically shut down if the piping secondary containment monitoring system detects a release? Which sensors initiate positive shut down? (Check all that apply) □ Containment Sump □ UDC | | | | | |
| If release detection alarms are re communication equipment operation | layed to a remote monitoring cent tional? | er, is all | | | |
| If there is any buried single-wall suction hazardous substance piping, was it confirmed using the inspection method approved by the Unified Program Agency, that the pipe contents drain back into the UST if the suction is released? | | | | | |

| _ | | | | | |
|----------|-----|------|------|-------|------|
| 6 | GEV | IGUD | TEST | DEGII | I TC |
| O. | OEI | IJUR | IESI | RESU | டாவ |

| - Chook the box if Appoints of Locatingation page is attached | on page is attached | continuation | endix 5.1 | box if Apr | Check this | |
|---|---------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|--|
|---|---------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|--|

List only sensors tested. List "Sensor ID" as labeled in system programming. For VPH monitoring, continuity must be confirmed between the most distant points in the interstitial space to the extent practical as approved by the Unified Program Agency and the sensor that monitors the zone.

| Sensor | Sensor | Sensor Function C | | Co | Continuity | | |
|--------|--------|------------------------|------|------|------------|------|----|
| ID | Model | Component(s) Monitored | Pass | Fail | Pass | Fail | NA |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Describe all answers marked "No" or "Fail" and the proposed remedy in **Section 8**. List all equipment either replaced or repaired (including cleaning or adjustment) in **Section 8**.

| 7. LINE LE | AK DETECTOR TEST | RESULTS | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|----------|------|------|
| | | ors ARE NOT installed. (Do not complete this se | ection.) |) | |
| | | ontinuation page is attached. | | | |
| Simulated re □ 3 GPF | elease rate verified: (Ch H □ 0.1 GPH <i>(Requ</i> i | neck all that apply.) ired only if LLD performs line tightness testing) | Yes | No | NA |
| Has the tes | ting equipment been pro | operly calibrated? | | | |
| | ency tank systems, does ease is detected? | the LLD create an audible and visual alarm | | | |
| For mechar release is d | | D restrict the flow through the pipe when a | | | |
| a release is | detected? | sure supply pump automatically shut off when | | | |
| monitoring s | system or LLD is disable | | | | |
| monitoring s | system or LLD malfunct | sure supply pump automatically shut off if the ions or fails a tightness test? | | | |
| | nic LLDs, have all acces or kinks and breaks? | ssible wiring connections been visually | | | |
| Were all iter completed? | | anufacturer's maintenance checklist | | | |
| Were all LL | Ds confirmed operation | al within regulatory requirements? | | | |
| | | | | | |
| List only line | e leak detectors tested. | | | | |
| List only line | e leak detectors tested. LLD Model | Lines Monitored | | Pass | Fail |
| | | Lines Monitored | | Pass | Fail |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| | | Lines Monitored | | | |
| • | | Lines Monitored | | | |

| 8. COMMENTS |
|--|
| Describe all answers marked "No" or "Fail" and proposed remedy. |
| List all release detection equipment either replaced or repaired (including cleaning or adjustment). |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

9. MONITORING SITE PLAN

Attach a copy of the facility's UST Monitoring Site Plan that shows the general layout of tanks and dispensers, locations of the monitoring panel and all other release detection equipment, VPH monitoring zones (if applicable), and the date the site plan was prepared. Include a legend for all symbols depicted.