SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

GOLDEN GATE PETROLEUM CO.: BAY AREA/DIABLO PETRO-LEUM, CO.; DENNIS O'KEEFE; EASTGATE PETROLEUM, LLC

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

	SUM-100
FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)	And the second s
omil MAX 3P B	1: 39
K NORME, CLERK OF THE SUFERIOR JOHN FOR DRIPP COSTA, CA	COURT LIF.
D. Wagner, Deouty	Clerk

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gow/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso,

	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):	7 .
725 Court Street, P.O. Box 911	010 0	
Martinez, CA 94553		

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es);

Brett J. Morris, Deputy Attorney General, 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612, 510.622,2100

DATE: MAY 26 7011 (Fecha)	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	Clerk, by (Secretario)	D. WAGNER	, Deputy _ (Adjunto)
	mmons, use Proof of Service of Surta citatión use el formulario Proof of NOTICE TO THE PERSON SER 1 as an individual defend 2 as the person sued uno	of Service of Summons, <i>(POS-0</i> RVED: You are served	<i>''</i>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lefunct corporation)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservated) CCP 416.90 (authorized)	

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]

SUMMONS

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412,20, 465 www.courtinfo.ca.gov

CM-010 ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address).

ROBERT J. KOCHLY, District Attorney FOR COURT USE ONLY County of Contra Costa Lauren R. Wixson, Deputy District Attorney, SB#117178 900 Ward St., Martinez, ČA 94553 TELEPHONE NO.: 925.957.8786 FAX NO.: 925.646.4683 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Contra Costa STREET ADDRESS: 725 Court Street MAILING ADDRESS: P.O.Box 911 CITY AND ZIP CODE: Martinez, CA 94553 BRANCH NAME: Martinez D. Wagner, Deputy Clerk CASE NAME: The People of the State of California v. Golden Gate Petroleum Co.; et.al. CASE NUMBER CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Complex Case Designation ✓ Unlimited Limited Counter Joinder (Amount (Amount demanded is Filed with first appearance by defendant demanded exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) DEPT Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2). 1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case: Contract Auto Tort **Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation** (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) Breach of contract/warranty (06) Auto (22) Rule 3.740 collections (09) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Other collections (09) Construction defect (10) Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Insurance coverage (18) Mass tort (40) Asbestos (04) Other contract (37) Securities litigation (28) Product liability (24) Real Property Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Medical malpractice (45) Eminent domain/Inverse Insurance coverage claims arising from the condemnation (14) above listed provisionally complex case Other PI/PD/WD (23) types (41) Wrongful eviction (33) Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Other real property (26) **Enforcement of Judgment** Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Enforcement of judgment (20) Civil rights (08) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Defamation (13) Miscellaneous Civil Complaint Residential (32) Fraud (16) RICO (27) Drugs (38) Intellectual property (19) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) Judicial Review Professional negligence (25) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Asset forfeiture (05) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) Partnership and corporate governance (21) Petition re: arbitration award (11) Employment Other petition (not specified above) (43) Wrongful termination (36) Writ of mandate (02) Other employment (15) Other judicial review (39) ✓ is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the This case is not factors requiring exceptional judicial management: Large number of separately represented parties d. ✓ Large number of witnesses Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.

monetary b.

nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief punitive Number of causes of action (specify): fraudulent conveyance; unlawful business practice This case ____ is ✓ is not a class action suit. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-91 Date: May 26, 2010 Lauren R. Wixson

SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME

- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- other parties to the action of proceeding.

 Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

 Page 1 of 2

SUPERIOR COURT - MARTINEZ COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA MARTINEZ, CA 94553

PEOPLE VS GOLDEN GATE PETROLEUM CO.

MSC10-01567

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO DEPARTMENT SEVENTEEN FOR CASE MANAGEMENT DETERMINATION

THIS FORM, A COPY OF THE NOTICE TO PLAINTIFFS, THE ADR INFORMATION SHEET, AND A BLANK CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT ARE TO BE SERVED UPON ALL OPPOSING PARTIES, ALL PARTIES SERVED WITH SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT/CROSS-COMPLAINT.

- 1. This matter has been assigned to Department 17, Judge B. Goode presiding, for all purposes; Department 17 is designated as the complex litigation department of the Court and as such (a) hears all cases wherein a designation of complex case has been made and (b) conducts hearings, in cases that this court determines, on a preliminary basis may be complex, to determine whether the case should remain in the complex litigation program.
- 2. All counsel are required to appear in Dept. 17 on 11/16/10 at 8:30am.
 - (a) If the case has been designated as complex, and no counterdesignation has been filed, the Court will hold its first case management conference at that time.
 - (b) If the case has been assigned to Department 17 on a preliminary basis the Court will hold a hearing to determine if the matter is, or is not, complex. If the matter is determined to be complex, the Court will then proceed with the first case management conference.
- 3. Each party shall file and serve a Case Management Conference Statement five (5) days before this hearing and be prepared to participate effectively in the Conference, including being thoroughly familiar with the case and able to discuss the suitability of the case for private mediation, arbitration or the use of a special master or referee.
- 4. Prior to the conference counsel for plaintiff shall meet and confer with counsel for each other party in an effort to precisely define the the issues in the case, discuss the possiblity of early mediation, the identities of possible other parties, and their respective plans for discovery.
- 5. Until the time of the conference the following INTERIM ORDERS shall be in effect:
 - A. Plaintiff shall diligently proceed in locating and serving each and every defendant. It is the Court's intention that each party be served in sufficient time to have entered an appearance within the time allowed by law and to attend the first conference.
 - B. All discovery shall be stayed excepting as all parties to the action might otherwise stipulate or the Court otherwise order.
 - C. No party shall destroy any writing or other evidence in its possession or under its control which bears in any way upon the matters which are the subject of this litigation.

- D. Within the time for any party to file an answer or demurrer such party may alternatively file a notice of general appearance. In such event the time for filing of an answer or demurrer shall be extended to twenty (20) days following the first conference unless the Court shall, at that time, set a different schedule.
- E. Counsel for each party shall do a conflict check to determine whether such counsel might have a possible conflict of interest as to any present or contemplated future party.

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

1 2 3 4 5 6	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California J. MATTHEW RODRIGUEZ Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX Senior Assistant Attorney General MARGARITA PADILLA Supervising Attorney General BRETT J. MORRIS, SBN 158408 KIRK McINNIS, SBN 130952 Deputy Attorneys General 1515 Clay Street, 20 th Floor P.O. Box 70550	K TORFE CLUSK OF THE SIMEROR COURT COURT OF CENTRA COSTA CASE. 6Y. D. Wagner, Deputy Clerk
8 9	Oakland, California 94612 Telephone: (510) 622-2100 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of California (Additional counsel on following pages)	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF C	ONTRA COSTA
13		
14		
15		Case No.
16	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	
17 18	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT TO SET ASIDE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER
19	v.	
20	GOLDEN GATE PETROLEUM CO., a	
21	California Corporation; BAY AREA/DIABLO PETROLEUM, CO., A	
22	California Corporation; DENNIS O'KEEFE, an individual; EASTGATE	PER LOCAL RULE 5 THIS CASE IS ASSIGNED TO
23	PETROLEUM, LLC; and DOES 1 through 100,	DEFT
24	Defendants.	SHMMONS ISSUED
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Nancy E. O'Malley
	District Attorney of the County of Alameda
2	KENNETH MIFSUD
3	State Bar No. 144000
3	Deputy District Attorney Consumer and Environmental Protection Division
4	7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650
-1	Oakland, California 94621-1934
5	Telephone: (510) 569-9281
	Facsimile: (510) 569-0505
6	
	Michael L. Ramsey
7	District Attorney of the County of Butte
	Harold M. Thomas
8	State Bar No. 131212
	Deputy District Attorney
9	Environmental Protection Unit
10	25 County Center Drive
10	Oroville, California 95965 Telephone: (530) 538-7411
11	Facsimile: (530) 538-7411
1 1	1 desimile. (330) 336-7071
12	JOHN R. POYNER
	District Attorney of the County of Colusa
13	MATTHEW MACLEAR
	State Bar No. 209228
14	Deputy District Attorney
	547 Market Street
15	Colusa, California 95932
1.0	Telephone: (530) 458-0545
16	Facsimile: (530) 458-8265
17	ROBERT J. KOCHLY
1 /	District Attorney of the County of Contra Costa
18	Lauren R. Wixson
	State Bar No. 117178
19	Deputy District Attorney
	627 Ferry Street
20	Martinez, CA 94553-0125
	Telephone: (925) 957-8786
21	Facsimile: (925) 646-4683
	D
22	ROBERT S. HOLZAPFEL
22	District Attorney of the County of Glenn MATTHEW MACLEAR
23	State Bar No. 209228
24	Deputy District Attorney
27	P. O. Box 430
25	Willows, California 95988
	Telephone: (530) 934-6525
26	Facsimile: (530) 934-6529
27	
0.0	
28	

[
1	Paul V. Gallegos
2	District Attorney of the County of Humboldt MATTHEW MACLEAR
3	State Bar No. 209228 Deputy District Attorney
4	825 Fifth Street Eureka, California 95501
5	Telephone: (707) 445-7411 Facsimile: (707) 445-7416
6	Ronald L. Calhoun
7	District Attorney of the County of Kings MATTHEW MACLEAR
8	State Bar No. 209228 Deputy District Attorney
9	1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, California 93230
10	Telephone: (559) 582-0326 Facsimile: (559) 584-4127
11	JON HOPKINS District Attorney of the County of Lake
12	MATTHEW MACLEAR State Bar No. 209228
13	Deputy District Attorney 255 North Forbes Street
14	Lakeport, California 95453
15	Telephone: (707) 263-2251 Facsimile: (707) 263-2328
16	MEREDITH LINTOTT District Attorney of the County of Mendocino
17	Matthew Maclear
18	State Bar No. 209228 Deputy District Attorney
19	100 North State Street Ukiah, California 95482 Telephona (707) 463, 4211
20	Telephone: (707) 463-4211 Facsimile: (707) 463-4687
21	DEAN D. FLIPPO District Atternay of the County of Montaray
22	District Attorney of the County of Monterey ANNE M. MICHAELS State Par No. 136134
23	State Bar No. 136134 ROBERT J. LAUCHLAN, JR. State Bar No. 118545
24	State Bar No. 118545 Deputy District Attorneys Engineers and Programming Unit
25	Environmental Prosecution Unit 1200 Aguajito Road, Room 301 Montanese California 03040
26	Monterey, California 93940 Telephone: (831) 647-7770 Facility (831) 647-7763
27	Facsimile: (831) 647-7762
28	
	3

1	
1	
2	GERALD T. SHEA
3	District Attorney of the County of San Luis Obispo STEVEN D. VON DOHLEN
4	State Bar No. 182499 Deputy District Attorney
5	County Government Center, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, California 93408
6	Telephone: (805) 781-5800 Facsimile: (805) 781-4307
7	JAMES P. FOX
8	District Attorney of the County of San Mateo JOHN E. WILSON
9	State Bar No. 095602 Deputy District Attorney In Charge
10	400 County Center, 3rd Floor Redwood City, California 94063
11	Telephone: (650) 363-4098 Facsimile: (650) 363-4873
12	Dolores A. Carr
13	District Attorney of the County of Santa Clara TINA TUNES OBER State Bar No. 1162750
14	Deputy District Attorney County Government Center, West Wing
15	70 W. Hedding Street
16	San Jose, California 95110 Telephone: (408) 792-2638 Facsimile: (408) 287-5076
17	
18	DAVID W. PAULSON District Attorney of the County of Solano
19	CRISELDA B. GONZALEZ State Bar No. 146493
20	Deputy District Attorney Consumer and Environmental Protection Unit Hall of Justice
21	600 Union Avenue
22	Fairfield, California 94533 Telephone: (707) 784-6800 Faccinile: (707) 421, 7086
23	Facsimile: (707) 421-7986
24	STEPHAN R. PASSALACQUA District Attorney of the County of Sonoma
25	JEFFREY W. HOLTZMAN State Bar No. 097625 Deputy District Attorney
26	Deputy District Attorney 2300 County Center Drive, Suite B-170 Sente Bose, California 05403
27	Santa Rosa, California 95403 Telephone: (707) 565-3161 Faccimile: (707) 565-3400
28	Facsimile: (707) 565-3499

CARL A. ADAMS District Attorney of the County of Sutter MATTHEW MACLEAR State Bar No. 209228 Deputy District Attorney 446 Second Street Yuba City, California 95991 Telephone: (530) 822-7300 Facsimile: (530) 822-7337 PATRICK J. MCGRATH District Attorney of the County of Yuba MATTHEW MACLEAR State Bar No. 209228 Deputy District Attorney 215 Fifth Street Marysville, California 95901 Telephone: (530) 749-7770 Facsimile: (530) 749-7762

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

- 11
- 12
- 13

14 15

- 16
- 17
- 18

19

- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23

24

- 25
- 26
- 27

28

STATEMENT OF THE ACTION

- On November 26, 2007, the People of the State of California, also the Plaintiff in this action, filed a Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, and Other Equitable Relief ("2007 action") in this Court against, inter alia, Defendants O'Keefe, Golden Gate Petroleum Co., and Bay Area/Diablo Petroleum Company ("Debtors"). The Complaint alleged that those defendants violated California statutes and regulations governing the operation of underground storage tank systems, the handling of hazardous waste, and maintenance of Hazardous Materials Business Plans. The People also alleged that these violations of California's health and safety laws constituted unfair competition under Business and Professions Code section 17200 et sea. In addition to equitable relief, the People sought civil penalties in an amount of not less than fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000).
- The 2007 action is now pending in Department 17 of this Court before the Honorable Barry Goode.
- Plaintiff brings this action under Civil Code section 3439 et seq. to set aside transfers made by Debtors to Eastgate Petroleum, LLC for less than reasonably equivalent value. Plaintiff also seeks to set aside and void all obligations, contracts, or other agreements between Debtors and Eastgate Petroleum, LLC that are for less than reasonably equivalent value.

PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff the People of the State of California brings this action by and through Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, and by and through the District Attorneys for the Counties of Alameda, Butte, Colusa, Contra Costa, Glenn, Humboldt, Kings, Lake, Mendocino, Monterey, San Luis Obispo, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Solano, Sonoma, Sutter, and Yuba.

DEFENDANTS

Defendant Golden Gate Petroleum Co. is a California corporation which does business in the State of California and is a defendant in the 2007 action. Golden Gate Petroleum Co.'s official place of business is 501 Shell Avenue, Martinez, California.

- 6. Defendant Bay Area/Diablo Petroleum Co. is a California corporation which does business in the State of California and is a defendant in the 2007 action. Bay Area/Diablo Petroleum Co.'s official place of business is 501 Shell Avenue, Martinez, California.
- 7. Defendant Eastgate Petroleum, LLC is a Nevada corporation which does business in the State of California. Eastgate Petroleum, LLC's official place of business is 10651 Professional Circle, Suite B, Reno, Nevada.
- 8. Defendant Dennis O'Keefe is an individual, and is sued in that capacity. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant Dennis O'Keefe at all relevant times is and was the owner and/or controlling officer of Golden Gate Petroleum Co., Bay Area/Diablo Petroleum Company, and Eastgate Petroleum, LLC.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant Dennis O'Keefe was personally responsible for decisions relative to the formation of Eastgate Petroleum, LLC. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendant Dennis O'Keefe was personally responsible for transferring the assets of Debtors to and entering into obligations, contracts, and other agreements with Eastgate Petroleum, LLC.
- 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at all relevant times Defendant Dennis O'Keefe controlled and was actively involved in the day-to-day operations of Golden Gate Petroleum Co., Bay Area/Diablo Petroleum Company, and Eastgate Petroleum, LLC. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that any act of Defendant Dennis O'Keefe alleged herein to have constituted a violation of California law was carried out personally by Defendant Dennis O'Keefe, or at his direction, or with his knowledge and acquiescence. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that any act or failure to act alleged herein to have constituted a violation of California law resulted from Defendant Dennis O'Keefe's act or failure to act, or failure to direct or authorize others to act.
- 11. In this Complaint, when reference is made to any act of Defendants, such allegations shall mean that the owners, officers, directors, agents, employees, contractors, or representatives of Defendants did, or Defendants authorized, such acts, or negligently failed and omitted to

adequately or properly supervise, control, or direct its employees and agents while engaged in the management, direction, operation, or control of the affairs of the business organization.

12. Plaintiff is ignorant of the names of those Defendants identified as Does 1 through 100, who are therefore sued under fictitious names. When the true names of these Defendants have been ascertained, Plaintiff will amend the Complaint to substitute the true names of each Doe defendant in place of the fictitious names.

ALTER-EGO, AGENTS, AIDERS AND ABETTORS, AND CO-CONSPIRATORS

- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that, at all relevant times, a unity of interest and ownership existed among Defendants O'Keefe, Golden Gate Petroleum Co., Bay Area/Diablo Petroleum Company, and Eastgate Petroleum, LLC such that the separateness of these Defendants from each other never existed. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that recognition of the privilege of separate existence would promote injustice because Defendants O'Keefe and/or Golden Gate Petroleum Co. control, and at all relevant times controlled, Defendants Bay Area/Diablo Petroleum Company, and Eastgate Petroleum, LLC, such that they are now, and at all relevant times were, merely instrumentalities, agencies, conduits, or adjuncts of Defendants O'Keefe and Golden Gate Petroleum Co. and recognition of the privilege of separate corporate exixtance would allow Defendants to avoid paying Plaintiff's claim.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that that Defendants, and each of them, at all relevant times, acted as the principal, agent, or representative of each of the other Defendants, and in doing the acts alleged, each Defendant was acting within the course and scope of the agency relationship with each of the other Defendants.
- 15. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that each Defendant intended to and did encourage, facilitate, or assist in the commission of the acts alleged, and thereby aided and abetted the other Defendants in the unlawful conduct. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the unlawful acts alleged in this Complaint were those acts Defendants intended to and did facilitate or were the natural and reasonable consequences of the acts Defendants intended to and did facilitate.

16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants have engaged in a conspiracy, common enterprise, and common course of conduct, the purpose of which is and was to engage in the violations of law alleged in this Complaint. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the conspiracy, common enterprise, and common course of conduct continue to the present.

VENUE

17. Venue is proper in this County pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 395.5 in that the claim that is the subject of the Complaint arose in part in the County of Contra Costa. Venue is also be proper in this County pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 395.5 in that both Defendant Golden Gate Petroleum Co. and Defendant Bay Area/Diablo Petroleum Co. have their principal places of business in this County. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Article 6, section 10 of the California Constitution.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Debtors were at all times relevant to the 2007 action owners and/or operators of underground storage tanks systems, either directly or as the alter ego, agent, or representative of the other Debtors. These underground storage tank systems are used to store hazardous materials and hazardous substances, including motor vehicle fuel, gasoline, and diesel.
- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Debtors were at all times relevant to the 2007 action used, handled, and managed hazardous materials and hazardous waste products, including, but not limited to waste motor oil and waste oil filters, either directly or as the alter ego, agent, or representative of the other Debtors.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Debtors were at all times relevant to the 2007 action were required to filed Hazardous Material Business Plans.
- 21. In the 2007 action, Plaintiff alleged in its complaint that Debtors violated various California statutes and statutes governing the maintenance and operation of underground storage tank systems and the handling of hazardous material and hazardous waste.

///

- 22. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that on or about November 18, 2008, Defendant O'Keefe created Defendant Eastgate Petroleum, LLC.
- 23. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Debtors subsequently transferred significant assets to Eastgate Petroleum, LLC without receiving reasonably equivalent value in exchange. In addition, Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Debtors incurred obligations to Eastgate Petroleum, LLC by way of contracts and other agreements without receiving reasonably equivalent value in exchange.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 24. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 25 At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff held, and continues to hold, a claim against Debtors. This claim consists of civil penalties owning to Plaintiff as a result of Debtors' alleged violations of Chapters 6.5, 6.7, and 6.95 of the Health and Safety Code and Business and Professions Code section 17200 *et seq*.
 - 26. On November 26, 2007, Plaintiff filed suit to, inter alia, recover these civil penalties.
- 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at a time subsequent to November 18, 2008, Debtors transferred assets, the amount of which is not presently known to Plaintiff but is not less than six hundred twenty- five thousand dollars (\$625,000), to Eastgate Petroleum, LLC.
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at a time subsequent to November 18, 2008, Debtors entered into contracts and other agreements with Eastgate Petroleum, LLC that created obligations by Debtors to Eastgate Petroleum, LLC.
- 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the transfers were made and the obligations were incurred without receipt of reasonably equivalent value in exchange.
- 30. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that the transfers were made and the obligations were incurred with the intent to hinder, delay, and defraud Plaintiff.
- 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at the time of the transfer, the sum of Debtors' debts, including Plaintiff's claim, were greater than all of Debtors' assets.

2.7

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 32. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1 through 31, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that by the acts described above and herein, each Defendant engaged in acts of unlawful and/or unfair competition prohibited by California Business and Professions Code sections 17200-17208. Each act alleged constitutes an unlawful and/or unfair business practice.
- 34. Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17206, each Defendant is liable for civil penalties for each violation.
- 35. Defendants must immediately and permanently be enjoined from engaging in activity that violates Civil Code section 3439 *et seq.*, which thereby constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code section 17200.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS FOR THE FOLLOWING RELIEF:

- 1. A preliminary and permanent injunction preventing Eastgate Petroleum, LLC from transferring, conveying, or otherwise disposing of any assets transferred by Debtors;
- 2. A preliminary and permanent injunction prohibiting each Defendant from engaging in activity that violates Civil Code section 3439 *et seq.*, including making future transfers of assets for less that reasonably equivalent value, which thereby constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code section 17200;
- 3. That the transfer or transfer of assets from Debtors to Eastgate Petroleum, LLC be set aside;
- 4. That all obligations incurred by Debtors to Eastgate Petroleum, LLC be annulled and declared void;
- 5. That Eastgate Petroleum, LLC be required to account to Plaintiff for all profits and proceeds earned from or taken in exchange for the transferred assets or under the obligations incurred;
 - 6. Grant Plaintiff its costs and attorneys fees; and,
 - 7. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

_		
1	Dated: May 13, 2010	Respectfully Submitted,
2		EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
3		Attorney General of California
4		
5		
6		KIRK MCINNIS Deputy Attorney General
7		
8		Nancy E. O'Malley District Attorney of the County of Alameda
9		
10		Kenneth M. Ford by (PM)
11		Deputy District Attorney
12		MICHAEL L. RAMSEY
13		District Attorney of the County of Butte
14 15		Harold M. Thermas by (h)
16		Deputy District Attorney
17		JOHN R. POYNER
18		District Attorney of the County of Colusa
19		Smill marcher
20		MATTHEW MACLEAR Deputy District Attorney
21		
22		ROBERT J. KOCHLY District Attorney of the County of Contra
23		Costa
24		May
25		LAUREN R. WIXSON Deputy District Attorney
26		
27		
28		

1	ROBERT S. HOLZAPFEL
2	District Attorney of the County of Glenn
3	Mall Moelen
4	MATTHEW MACLEAR Deputy District Attorney
5	
6	PAUL V. GALLEGOS District Attorney of the County of Humbolds
7	
8	MATTHEW MACLEAR
9	Deputy District Attorney
10	Ronald L. Calhoun
11	District Attorney of the County of Kings
12	Mitthe Man Car
13	MATTHEW MACLEAR Deputy District Attorney
14	
15	JON HOPKINS District Attorney of the County of Lake
16	
	MATTHEW MACLEAR
17	MATTHEW MACLEAR Deputy District Attorney
18	
19	MEREDITH LINTOTT District Attorney of the County of
20	Mendocino
21	· The fletter Cary
22	MATTHEW MACLEAR
23	Deputy District Attorney
24	DEAN D. FLIPPO
25	District Attorney of the County of Monterey
26	Anne M. Michaels by (h)
27	ANNE M. MICHAELS Deputy District Attorney
28	

ı	
1	GERALD T. SHEA
2	District Attorney of the County of San Luis Obispo
3	Stores Digo Dalla Coll
4	STEVEN D. Von DOHLEN Deputy District Attorney
5	Deputy District Attorney
6	JAMES P. FOX District Attorney of the County of San
7	Mateo
8	John E. Wilson by Kny
9	JOHN E. WILSON Deputy District Attorney In Charge
10	
11	DOLORES A. CARR District Attorney of the County of Santa
12	Clara
13	Tina Tunes (bor by (by) TINA TUNES OBER
14	Deputy District Attorney
15 16	DAVID W. PAULSON
17	District Attorney of the County of Solano
18	Crisella B. Gonzalez L. Ma
19	Criselda B. Gonzalez by Criselda B. Gonzalez Deputy District Attorney
20	
21	STEPHAN R. PASSALACQUA District Attorney of the County of Sonoma
22	
23	JEFFREY W. HOLTZMAN by Chi
24	Deputy District Attorney
25	CARL A. ADAMS
26	District Attorney of the County of Sutter
27	- Kry wheelen
28	MATTHEW MACLEAR Deputy District Attorney

PATRICK J. MCGRATH District Attorney of the County of Yuba MATTHEW MACLEAR Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of California