ECEIVE

SWRCB Clerk



FRESNO METROPOLITAN FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT

File 510.1312

September 16, 2013

Jeanine Townsend, Clerk to the Board State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 24th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Townsend,



Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft California Industrial General Permit. We understand that protection of receiving water quality and beneficial uses is the ultimate objective of the permit. The Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District and its Co-Permittees (City of Fresno, City of Clovis, County of Fresno, and California State University, Fresno) support that objective. Collectively, these agencies will be responsible for ensuring the compliance of industries covered under the draft Permit that are located within our NPDES permit boundary.

The Fresno/Clovis regional stormwater control system is characterized by a unique set of conditions including flat topography, low rainfall, a comprehensive system of engineered multiple-use detention basins, deliberate interconnectivity with municipal and irrigation district conveyance systems, and minimal and intermittent hydrologic connection to receiving waters. This system is owned and operated by the Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District, and comprises 153 existing stormwater treatment basins and five large flood control dams and reservoirs. Each treatment basin is an engineered feature between 10 and 40-acres in size, situated at the lowest elevation within its drainage area. Each drainage area is a small watershed that collects runoff from about one square mile of urbanized land. The stormwater retention basins act as effective stormwater pollutant collection and treatment facilities.

We have reviewed the Draft Industrial General Permit with respect to its effects on regional receiving water quality, the protection of local water resources, the impact on permit holders, and the impact on oversight agencies. We provide the following comments:

wprocess/bvw/2013/letters/industrialgeneralpermit

- 1. The "No Exposure Certification" should be filed electronically just once during the term of the Permit, at no cost to the applicant. The burden should be on the business operator to truthfully report the nature of their operations (with appropriate penalties for misrepresentation) and the burden to find noncompliance should remain with the lead regulatory agency (State and Regional Water Quality Control Boards).
 - 2. Revenues generated by the Permit should be used to provide local compliance assistance and RWQCB-level permit oversight specifically targeting non-filers. Such activities have the potential to improve water quality.

These issues are discussed in more detail below.

The "No Exposure Certification" should be filed electronically just once during the term of the Permit, at no cost to the applicant.

Many of the businesses in our area will be eligible for a No Exposure Certification because they have very low potential to impact stormwater. It is inappropriate to require a business with no stormwater pollution exposure to pay each year to re-state their eligibility and confirm what has already been established. CASQA estimates that initial filing and preparation costs will range from \$2,000-\$4,400 dollars. There should be no charge to file a No Exposure Certification. Regulations should not be written in such a way as to require individuals or companies to pay to file a statement that such regulations do not apply to their circumstances. If there is a charge for the repeated electronic filing of NECs, the State should specify to what purpose these fees will be applied, since it's unclear how the discharger's cost of annual re-certification relates to the State's cost to automatically and passively receive these documents.

Revenues generated by the Permit should be used to provide local compliance assistance and permit oversight for affected industries.

Fresno Metropolitan Flood Control District conducted a workshop with industrial permittees in the Fresno-Clovis area. The proposed permit was discussed in some detail among experienced dischargers already covered by the Industrial General Permit. Aside from the increased administrative burden of the permit, a common concern we heard was the lack of a level playing field. That is, similar industries not filing for coverage. The focus group relayed the concern that adding more bureaucracy to the current process will drive up costs for the businesses trying to comply while creating a disincentive for the rest to bother trying to do anything.

The expansion of industry-types subject to the draft Permit will raise substantial new revenues for the State NPDES program; up to \$500,000 from the Fresno-Clovis area, depending on the eligibility of industries for the No Exposure Exemption.

Ms. Jeanine Townsend September 16, 2013 Page 3

The New Industrial General Permit specifies that Regional Water Boards are primarily responsible for enforcement of the Permit. This General Permit recognizes that Regional Water Boards have the authority to protect the beneficial uses of receiving waters and prevent degradation of water quality. As such, Regional Water Boards may modify monitoring requirements and review, comment, approve or disapprove any Discharger reports required under this General Permit. Further, Permit revenues accrue to the Regional Water Boards and the State, providing the means by which Permit monitoring and enforcement may be implemented. Given the proliferation of Permittees under the new Permit and the retention of all Permit revenues by the State and Regional Boards, it follows that those agencies should assume the primary burden of monitoring and enforcement, including the identification of non-filers and following up on sites filing No Exposure Certifications.

We thank you again for the opportunity to review the draft Industrial General Permit and to provide our thoughts in developing a more proactive and constructive stormwater management program. If you have any questions regarding our comments, please feel free to contact Daniel Rourke of my staff at (559) 456-3292.

Sincerely,

Bob Van Wyk

General Manager-Secretary

Bostan Wyk

BVW/jt