DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY AND FIRE PROTECTION

P.O. Box 944246 SACRAMENTO, CA 94244-2460 Website: www.fire.ca.gov (915) 653-0719







January 31, 2006

303 (d) Deadline: 1/31/06

Ms. Tam M. Doduc, Chair c/o Selica Potter, Acting Clerk to the Board State Water Resources Control Board 1001 I Street, 24th Floor Sacramento, California 95814

FAX: (916) 341-5620

Email: commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov

RECEIVED

SWRCB

Executive ofc.

F.S.

P.S.

P.S

Dear Chair Doduc:

Subject: Request for Comment on the Draft Revision of the Clean Water Act Section 303(d)
List of Water Quality Limited Segments.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Draft Revision of the Clean Water Act Section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments. We would like to comment specifically on the proposed 303(d) listing of the Noyo River Hydrologic Area (HA) for water temperature. This draft proposal would affect the Jackson Demonstration State Forest (JDSF), which includes most to the South Fork Noyo River watershed upstream of its confluence with Kass Creek.

The draft 303(d) water temperature guideline is 14.8°C based on a 7-day mean for the protection of coho salmon, and cites "An Analysis of the Effects of Temperature on Salmonids of the Pacific Northwest with Implications for Selecting Temperature Criteria (Sullivan et. al., 2000)" (emphasis added).

The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CDF) urges the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB) not to adopt this listing as currently proposed for the following reason. The proposed temperature guideline for the Noyo River watershed, which is located at 39.5° North latitude, is based on Sullivan et al., 2000 which was specifically written for conditions in the Pacific Northwest, primarily Washington State, which is North of 45.5° North latitude. Five degrees south of the Noyo River at 34.5° North latitude lies the Santa Ynez River near Santa Barbara. It is no more appropriate to apply a temperature guideline developed for conditions in Washington State to conditions in northern California, than it would be to apply a temperature guideline developed for conditions in southern California.

Chair Tam M. Doduc January 31, 2006 Page 2

If SWRCB decides to adopt this listing as currently proposed, CDF strongly urges the SWRCB to exclude the South Fork of the Noyo River above its confluence with Kass Creek near the boundary of the JDSF. The South Fork of the Noyo River watershed above its confluence with Kass Creek is primarily comprised of the state forest lands managed under a JDSF Management Plan. There is ample water temperature data for the South Fork above its confluence with Kass Creek, none of which was cited in the Fact Sheet as being used in the proposed 303(d) listing. Basically the South Fork Noyo was excluded from the analysis on which the proposed listing was based; it should therefore be excluded from the proposed listing. The proposed listing did not and could not establish that there is a water temperature problem affecting salmonid habitat on the either in the South Fork or downstream portions affected by the South Fork without analyzing this data.

Moreover, this data was analyzed and used in the preparation the new JDSF Management Plan and Environmental Impact Report (EIR) now in public review. The JDSF Management Plan and EIR fully address water temperature and salmonid habitat protection in the South Fork Noyo. The maximum weekly average temperature (MWAT) is defined as the highest average of mean daily temperatures over any 7-day period. In the JDSF Management Plan an MWAT value of 16.8°C was chosen as a threshold of significance. The National Marine Fisheries Services (NMFS) originally established 16.8°C as an MWAT threshold for coho (BOF 2005).

The Fact Sheet quotes the North Coast Basin Plan water quality objectives for temperature: "The natural receiving water temperature of intrastate waters shall not be altered unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Regional Water Quality Control Board that such alteration in temperature does not adversely affect beneficial uses. At no time or place shall the temperature of any COLD water be increased by more than 5°F (2.8C°) above natural receiving water temperature." The receiving water from the South Fork is the mainstem of the Noyo River, and this standard is being met. In fact, water exiting the JDSF and then entering the mainstem seven miles downstream of the JDSF boundary "appears to have a moderate cooling effect on water temperatures in the lower Noyo River depending upon the relative flow of the two streams (BOF 2005)."

For all of the above reasons, the South Fork Noyo River watershed above its confluence with Kass Creek near the JDSF boundary should be excluded from consideration for 303(d) listing for water temperature.

In conclusion, the 303(d) listing of the Noyo River watershed for water temperature should not be approved as proposed. Indeed, it may not be necessary at all if local climatic conditions are properly considered. In any, case the South Fork of Noyo River watershed above Kass Creek near the JDSF boundary should not be included in the listing without (at a minimum) considering the available water temperature data from the South Fork Noyo River watershed.





California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection

	Sherry Ann Habon - Ex Sec Resource Management / Forest Practice 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1516-25 Sacramento, CA 95814 Ph - (916) 653-5305 Fax - (916) 653-8957						
	Chair Tam Doduc						
To:	c/o Selica Potter						,
Co / Dept		P	ages:	5 incl	uding	cove	V
Fax:	916-341-5620		ate:	Jan		,	
Phone:		C	C:				
Re:							
Urgent For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Per Your Request Comments:							
	8			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	- A4						
			·				
		-,					