

Appendix 6A

**BDCP/California WaterFix Coordination with
Flood Management Requirements**

1
2
3

1 Construction of water conveyance facilities would have the potential to result in localized and
2 temporary increases in sedimentation at various river locations. Construction activities, such as pile
3 driving during cofferdam installation and barge facility construction, could result in incremental
4 suspension of river bed sediments. However, these impacts are expected to be isolated and minimal.
5 Potential sedimentation effects will be further minimized by limiting the duration of in- water
6 construction activities and through implementing the environmental commitments described in
7 Appendix 3B, *Environmental Commitments AMMs, and CMs*, including the commitment to Develop
8 and Implement Erosion and Sediment Control Plans to control short-term and long-term erosion
9 and sedimentation effects and to restore soils and vegetation in areas affected by construction
10 activities following construction. To address potential erosion and sedimentation impacts from
11 barge facility construction, the project proponents will ensure that a Barge Operations Plan is
12 developed and implemented for facility construction. The requirements for the Barge Operations
13 Plan are described in Appendix 3B. This plan will be developed and submitted by the construction
14 contractors per standard DWR contract specifications. In addition, project proponents will obtain
15 water quality certification from the Regional Water Quality Control Board.

16 **6A.6.3.2 Erosion and Levee Stability**

17 Slope instability (e.g., landslides, soil creep, and debris flow) can occur as a result of gravity loads or
18 in combination with earthquake loads. Analysis focused on areas where past instability had
19 occurred or where water saturates slope materials to estimate the potential for slope instability. In
20 areas where facilities may be built, new cut-and-fill slopes were identified and evaluated for
21 stability. A qualitative slope stability evaluation was performed based on slope inclination, soil type,
22 and groundwater conditions. For areas where adequate soil and site data were available, slope
23 stability was evaluated using a two-dimensional slope model and the limit-equilibrium method.

24 Construction of the water conveyance facilities could have effects to levee stability and potentially
25 increase risks of levee slope failures. To mitigate potential effects, all levee reconstruction/building
26 pad construction would conform to applicable state and federal flood management engineering and
27 permitting requirements, including engineering standards discussed in Appendix 3B, *Environmental*
28 *Commitments, AMMs, and CMs*. The level of flood protection will be the same as required for the
29 modified levee without the new facilities. The reconstruction of levees would improve levee stability
30 over existing conditions due to improved side slopes, erosion countermeasures (geotextile fabrics,
31 rock revetments, riprap, or other material), seepage reduction measures, and overall mass.

32 The proposed project would involve excavation that creates new cut-and-fill slopes and construction
33 of new embankments and levees. As a result of ground shaking and high soil-water content during
34 heavy rainfall, existing and new slopes that are not properly engineered and natural stream banks
35 could fail and cause damage to facilities. The potential effect could be substantial because levee
36 slopes and stream banks may fail, either from high pore-water pressure caused by high rainfall and
37 weak soil, or from seismic shaking. Structures built on these slopes could be damaged or fail entirely
38 as a result of slope instability. As discussed above, the proposed project would be designed and
39 operated in a way to not increase flood management risk to the surround area. During project
40 design, a geotechnical engineer would develop slope stability design criteria (such as minimum
41 slope safety factors and allowable slope deformation and settlement) for the various anticipated
42 loading conditions. The design criteria would be documented in a detailed geotechnical report
43 prepared in accordance with state guidelines, in particular Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating
44 Seismic Hazards in California (California Geological Survey 2008). Increased risk of channel bank
45 scour would be low because peak monthly flows under the proposed project in the locations