

Division of Water Rights, September 6, 2023, Item #6

Agenda

- Introduction
- Staff Presentation
 - Background
 - Principles for the Development of Biological Goals
 - Biological Goals
- Public Comments
- Closing

Background

- Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (Bay-Delta Plan)
- 2018 Update Lower San Joaquin River (LSJR) Flows
 - February–June: narrative & numeric objectives
 - **Tributary Flows:** 40 percent of unimpaired flow, adaptive range of 30–50 percent, from each of the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced Rivers
 - Minimum Base Flow: 1,000 cfs, adaptive range of 800–1,200 cfs on LSJR at Vernalis
- Program of Implementation for LSJR flows
 - Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced Working Group
 - Biological Goals

Background

- 2018 Bay-Delta Plan requires biological goals for LSJR salmonids
- Biological Goals
 - Quantitative metrics to assess progress toward achieving fish narrative objectives, including complementary activities in the watershed, e.g., hatchery reforms, habitat restoration, or predator reduction
 - Used to inform: adaptive methods, San Joaquin River Monitoring and Evaluation Program, evaluation of effectiveness of program of implementation, and future changes to Bay-Delta Plan
 - Biological Goals <u>not</u> intended to assess water right holders' compliance with the Bay-Delta Plan
- Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced (STM) Working Group
 - STM Working Group established to assist with the implementation, monitoring, and effectiveness assessment of LSJR flows
 - Board will seek recommendations on biological goals from STM and others
 - Four STM Working Group meetings from November 2022–April 2023

Background – STM Working Group

- California Department of Fish & Wildlife
- Central Sierra Environmental Resource Center
- Department of the Interior, US Bureau of Reclamation
- Merced Irrigation District
- Merced River Conservation Committee
- Modesto Irrigation District
- National Marine Fisheries Service
- Oakdale Irrigation District
- San Francisco Baykeeper
- San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
- Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi Yokut Tribe

- South San Joaquin Irrigation District
- Stanford University
- State Water Board
- Stockton East Water District
- The Nature Conservancy
- Tuolumne County Water Agency
- Tuolumne Utilities District
- Turlock Irrigation District
- US Fish & Wildlife Service
- Unaffiliated William Martin
- Unaffiliated Richard Morat
- Valley Water

Background – Public Participation

- 2019 Independent Science Advisory Panel
- 2019 Draft Biological Goals released for public comment
- 2022 Revised Draft Initial Biological Goals released for public comment
 - August 2022 Technical Workshop
 - November 2022 STM Working Group Meeting
 - December 2022 STM Working Group Meeting
 - March 2023 STM Working Group Meeting
- April 2023 2nd Revised Draft Initial Biological Goals released for public comment
 - April 2023 STM Working Group Meeting
 - May 2023 Board Workshop
- August 2023 Draft Final Initial Biological Goals released for public review

Principles for the Development of Biological Goals

- Science-based
- Existing legal requirements (Central Valley Project Improvement Act, Fish and Game Code, and Bay-Delta Plan salmon protection objective)
- Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Quantitative, Result-focused, Time-bound (SMART)
- Goals were developed to assess Viable Salmonid Population (VSP) parameters
- Goals were specifically developed for LSJR salmon as an indicator of watershed health

Principles for the Development of Biological Goals

- 2019 Delta Science Program Independent Science Advisory Panel (ISAP) Report
- Other efforts to develop biological goals (Scientific Evaluation Process, Bay-Delta Conservation Plan efforts, Collaborative Science and Adaptive Management Program efforts)
- Goals will be reassessed as additional information is collected using an adaptive management approach, at least every 5 years

Initial Biological Goals for Fall-run Chinook Salmon

- VSP Parameters: Key indicators of salmon population viability
 - Abundance: Escapement
 - Productivity: Cohort Replacement Rates, Juvenile Survival, Juvenile Production
 - Diversity: Proportion of Hatchery Origin Spawners, Emigration Timing, Emigration Size Classes
 - Spatial Structure: Population Distribution

Major Changes to the 2nd Revised Report

- Response to Comments Section
- Freshwater Juvenile Survival changed to 2.2%
 - Reduced the timeline for attaining goals
 - Reconciles disparity between tributaries to attain goals
 - Increased to a level more indicative of Pacific Coast Chinook salmon populations
 - Results in subsequent changes to goals that are quantitatively linked
- Baseline juvenile survival table
- "Purposes and Roles" section modified to provide more clarity
- Biological Goals Monitoring and Assessment Section

Role of Biological Goals

Table 1-1. Role and Use of Biological Goals

Biological Goal/Goal Component	Role of Biological Goal	
 Juvenile egg to confluence survival Juvenile emigration timing at tributary 	Determine whether adaptive adjustments are allowable pursuant to criterion 2 identified in the Bay-Delta Plan (footnote below), for the following allowable adaptive adjustments:	
confluence	• Change in required percent of unimpaired flow within the range of 30–50%	
 Juvenile size class migration at tributary confluence 	 Alternative flow schedule based on total 5-month volume equal to the required percent of unimpaired flow (flow budget) 	
• Juvenile production at tributary confluence	Shift some of the flow budget to July–January	
 Juvenile LSJR survival at Mossdale Juvenile survival Mossdale to Chipps Island Juvenile egg to confluence survival 	Inform potential water diversion, water right, water quality, or other actions in the mainstem San Joaquin River and Delta to protect flows and habitat provided by LSJR flows or actions by other entities in furtherance of achieving the LSJR narrative flow or Salmon Protection Objectives	
All biological goals	Inform Board or Executive Director potential action on adaptive methods to the extent that current achievement or furtherance of achieving goals is related to adaptive methods	
	Evaluate effectiveness of program of implementation	
	Evaluate effectiveness of SJRMEP	
	Inform future changes to the Bay-Delta Plan	

There are two "approval criteria" that must be met to allow the Executive Director or Board to approve proposals for adaptive implementation adjustments. Bay-Delta Plan (2018), page 26, "The State Water Board may approve adaptive adjustments to the flow requirements ... if information produced through the monitoring and review processes described in this program of implementation, or other best available scientific information, indicates that the change for the period at issue will satisfy the following criteria for adaptive adjustments: (1) it will be sufficient to support and maintain the natural production of viable native San Joaquin River watershed fish populations migrating through the Delta; and (2) it will meet any existing biological goals approved by the State Water Board." Satisfying the approval criteria relies on best available science indicating existing achievement, furtherance of achievement, or future achievement of the approval criteria.

Productivity

 The population growth rate of a species and an indicator of how well the population replaces itself

- Full life cycle
 - Cohort Replacement Rate (CRR)
- Juvenile productivity
 - Juvenile survival
 - Juvenile production

Productivity Goal

Table 3-2. LSJR Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Full Life Cycle Productivity Goals

Productivity Metric	Goal, measured as a 5-year geometric mean	Progress Assessment/Attainment Target
CRR Trend	Positive generational trend until a CRR > 1 is met	Assessed annually/when numeric productivity goals are met
Pre-Fishing CRR	Pre-Fishing CRR > 1 and > post-fishing CRR until abundance goals met and then sustained	Assessed annually/Year 10, achieve the goal
Post-Fishing CRR	Post-Fishing CRR > 1 until abundance goals met and then sustained CRR > 1	Assessed annually/Year 10, achieve the goal

Productivity Goal

Table 3-3. LSJR Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Juvenile Survival Goals

Productivity Metric	Goal, measured as a 5-year geometric mean	Progress Assessment/ Attainment Target
Juvenile Productivity Trend	Positive trend in juvenile survival until abundance goal is met, measured as a 5-year geometric mean	Until numeric abundance goals are met (Year 15)
Freshwater Juvenile Survival (egg to Chipps Island)	≥ 2.2%	Assessed annually/Year 5, achieve the goal
LSJR at Mossdale to Chipps Island (Through-Delta) Survival (SJDS)	≥ 24%	Assessed annually/Year 5, achieve the goal
Egg to tributary confluence with LSJR	≥ 12%	Assessed annually/Year 5, achieve the goal

Productivity Goal

Table 3-5. LSJR Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Juvenile Production Goals

	Goal	Progress Assessment/
Productivity Metric		Attainment Target
Stanislaus River	y	J The state of the
Confluence Juvenile Production	2,200,000	Assessed annually on an ongoing basis
Delta exit (Chipps Island) Juvenile Production	400,000	Assessed annually on an ongoing basis
Tuolumne River		
Confluence Juvenile Production	3,800,000	Assessed annually on an ongoing basis
Delta exit (Chipps Island) Juvenile Production	700,000	Assessed annually on an ongoing basis
Merced River		
Confluence Juvenile Production	1,800,000	Assessed annually on an ongoing basis
Delta exit (Chipps Island) Juvenile Production	300,000	Assessed annually on an ongoing basis

Baseline LSJR Salmonid Survival Rates

Table 3-4. Estimated Baseline Salmonid Survival Rates for Juvenile Life Stages in the LSJR Tributaries and Delta

Freshwater Juvenile Survival (egg to				
Chipps Island)	Arithmetic Mean	Geometric Mean	Range	Years
Stanislaus River	0.5%	0.3%	0.02 - 1.1%	2007-2016
Tuolumne River	1.4%	0.6%	0.1-4.2%	2007-2016
Merced River	0.8%	0.5%	0.2-2.8%	2007-2016
Through Delta Survival from the LSJR				
Buchanan et al. 2018	3.8%	3.0%	0-8%	2010-2015
Buchanan et al. 2021 (steelhead)	32.3%	26.6%	14-54%	2011-2016
Pre-Vamp/VAMP	22.8%	14.9%	2.6-79%	1994-2006
Egg to Tributary Confluence Survival				
Stanislaus River	3.2%	1.0%	0.08-21%	1997–2017
Tuolumne River	3.6%	0.73%	0.03-17%	2006-2013

Abundance

- Population abundance is an important determinant of risk, and large populations have lower risk of extinction and are more resilient
- Based on escapement numbers (i.e., fish that return to reproduce)
- Escapement goals are quantitatively linked to the productivity goals and the salmon protection objective
- Only includes contributions of natural origin spawner escapement

Abundance Goal

Table 3-1. LSJR Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Escapement Goals

River	Escapement Goal, measured as a 5-Year Running Average Positive generational trend in escapement, measured as a 5-year geometric mean	Progress Assessment/Attainment Target Assessed annually/when numeric abundance goals are met
Stanislaus River	5,300	Assessed annually/Year 15 achieve the goal
Tuolumne River	10,500	Assessed annually/Year 15 achieve the goal
Merced River	5,000	Assessed annually/Year 15 achieve the goal

Diversity

 The variations in a population that help ensure its survival by contributing to its stability, resilience, and persistence

- More diverse populations are at less risk of extinction, for example from habitat and climate changes
- Initial biological goals include two types:
 - Genetic Diversity
 - Life History Diversity

Genetic Diversity Goal

Table 3-9. LSJR Fall-Run Chinook Salmon pHOS Genetic Diversity Goals for the LSJR Basin

	Goal, measured as a 5-year running	Progress Assessment/Attainment
Genetic Diversity Metric	average	Target
pHOS	Decreasing trend, as a 5-year running average	Assessed annually/when the genetic diversity goal is met
pHOS	≤ 15%	Assessed annually/Year 12 after beginning of implementation
pHOS	≤ 10%	Assessed annually/Year 21 after beginning of implementation

Life-History Diversity Goal

Table 3-10. LSJR Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Juvenile Emigration Timing Goals

Juvenile Size		
Class*	Positive Detection Each Week near Mouth of	Progress Assessment/
(Phenotype)	Each Tributary	Attainment Target
Fry	Last week of January to second week of April	Assessed annually/Year 10, achieve the goal
Parr	First week of February to last week of May	Assessed annually/Year 10, achieve the goal
Smolt	Third week of February to first week of June	Assessed annually/Year 10, achieve the goal

^{*}Size classes are defined as fry <55 millimeters (mm); parr 55–75 mm; smolt >75 mm

Life-History Diversity Goal

Table 3-11. LSJR Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Minimum Percentage for Different Size Classes at Migration Goals for different Water Year (WY) Types.

Wet and Above Normal WYs	Below Normal, Dry, and Critical WYs	Progress Assessment/ Attainment Target
Fry ≥ 20%	Fry ≥ 20%	Assessed annually/Year 12, achieve the goal
Parr ≥ 20%	Parr ≥ 30%	Assessed annually/Year 12, achieve the goal
Smolt ≥ 10%	Smolt ≥ 20%	Assessed annually/Year 12, achieve the goal

Notes: Size classes are defined as fry <55 mm; parr 55–75 mm; smolt >75 mm. Percentages are measured as 3-year running averages at the mouth of each tributary.

Spatial Structure

- Broad geographic distribution of populations or individuals in a population
- Reduces chance of catastrophic loss, facilitates recolonization, and buffers population from future environmental change
- Decreases extinction risk

The initial spatial structure biological goal in the LSJR is to achieve the abundance, productivity, and diversity goals on all three LSJR tributaries, the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced Rivers

Draft Resolution

- Approves the Draft Final Initial Biological Goals
- Directs Board staff to review the biological goals at least every 5 years
- Directs Board staff to continue coordinating with the STM Working Group
- Directs Board staff to coordinate with state and federal fisheries agencies to evaluate hatchery impacts and potential hatchery reform actions

Timely Comments Received

- Tuolumne River Parties
 - Turlock Irrigation District
 - Modesto Irrigation District
 - San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
- San Joaquin Tributaries Authority
- Merced Irrigation District

Technical Comments

- Various comments regarding the relationship between flows and fish
- Biological goals are unattainable
- Hatchery spawners should be counted toward the escapement goal
- The assessment of biological goals requires a robust monitoring and analytical framework

Other Comments

- Legal comments
- Recommended changes to resolution language

Proposed Changes to Resolution

Proposed Change #1

On page 3, insert a new WHEREAS #10 as follows:

10. The State Water Board recognizes that voluntary agreements can help inform and expedite implementation of the water quality objectives and can provide durable solutions in the Delta watershed. The implementation of flow or other commitments and the evaluation of the effectiveness of voluntary agreements would be subject to the specific provisions or terms of any voluntary agreement as may be approved in any future updates to the Bay-Delta Plan.

Proposed Change #2

On page 3, delete the following text in RESOLVED #1 as follows:

1. Approves the Final Initial Biological Goals for the Lower San Joaquin River for abundance, productivity, diversity, and spatial structure as identified in **Chapter 3 of** the Final Initial Biological Goals for the Lower San Joaquin River Report and in Tables 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 3-5, 3-9, 3-10, and 3-11 and Section 3.4.

Staff Recommendation

 Staff recommends that the Board adopt the Resolution with the 2 proposed changes approving the Initial Biological Goals for the Lower San Joaquin River

Questions

Public Comments

Closing

To stay informed on the biological goals, STM Working Group, and other Bay-Delta Plan implementation see the LSJR Activities webpage:

https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/implementation-activities-lower-san-joaquin-river.html