Water Right and Water Quality Certification Fees

FY 2004-2005

Vicky Whitney Division of Water Rights

Discussion

- Overview
- Objectives
- Constraints
- Background
- This year
- Next steps

Overview

- Purposes of the meeting
 - To hear comments and suggestions on revisions to the fee schedule.
 - To assemble an ad hoc working group to assist the SWRCB and staff on fee issues
 - » Working Group size
 - » Working Group representation
 - To charge the working group with the task of developing recommendations to the SWRCB for changes in the existing fee schedule
 - To develop a schedule for submittal of the working group's recommendations

Working Group Objectives

- To develop a fee schedule that generates revenues to the Water Right Fund consistent with the Budget Act of 2004
- To develop a fee schedule that can be implemented using the resources provided by the legislature for fee-related work
- To make the fees as "equitable" as possible
- To develop and implement any changes in sufficient time to generate revenues as close to July 1, 2004 as possible

Working Group Constraints

The Proposed Fee Schedule Must:

- Generate the necessary revenues
 - Must generate amounts specified in the Budget Act
 - Funding must be relatively stable
 - Fees must be affordable
- Be able to be implemented using available resources
 - Must be based on available information/data
 - Should not require more than 1 PY to implement unless additional resources are allowed by the Legislature
- Be legally supportable

May 17, 2004 5

Contraints (cont.)

- The Working Group must:
 - Be balanced in terms of representation
 - Be large enough to represent all fee payers
 - Be small enough to reach a decision

Working Group Goals

The fees should:

- Provide motivation to the fee payers to comply with the law
- Implement the laws, regulations and policies of the State

Background: FY 2003-2004

Revenue

- » Target Revenue was \$4.6M
- » Billed Amount (as of May 1)
 - Annual Fees Billed: \$7.5M
 - □ Filing Fees Billed: \$6.5M
- » Collected Amount (as of May 1) *
 - Annual Fees Collected: \$6.7M**
 - Filing Fees Collected: \$0.350M
- » Collection Rate*
 - Annual Fees: 89%
 - Filing Fees: 0.05%

*does not include refunds ordered as a result of reconsideration

**includes penalties and interest

- Annual Permit and License Fees
 - Stipulation between the SWRCB and NCWA required that fees be paid
 - Of \$6.7M in annual fees collected
 - » \$3.7M is associated with the NCWA litigation
 - » \$3M is not associated with the litigation
 - Collection Rate of non-litigation-related fees is 40%

Background FY03-04 (cont.)

REVENUE ESTIMATES AND CURRENT COLLECTIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2003-2004

| Annual Fees (Collected by BOE) | | Estimate | | | Status | | |
|--|----------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Fee Source | New Fees | Estimated Cases | Est. Billing Amount | Est. Revenue (1) | Accounts Billed (2) | Accounts Paid | Collected (as of 5/1/04) |
| 401 Certifica tion- §1071 | .085/KW | NA | \$350,583 | \$210,350 | 32 | 29 | \$316,237 |
| Appl. Annual Fee - §1063(a)(1)-(5) | \$1,000 | 1 | \$1,000 | \$600 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Petition Annual Fees - §1065(a)-(d) | \$1,000 | 2 | \$2,000 | \$1,200 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| WaterLease Annual Fee- §1067(a)(2)&(b)(1) | \$10,100 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Permits & Licenses Annual Fees-§1066©(see 1) | \$100 | NA | \$7,098,227 | \$4,258,936 | 13247 | 9616 | \$6,411,099 |
| Total | | | \$7,451,810 | \$4,471,086 | 13,279 | 9,645 | \$6,727,336 |

⁽¹⁾ It was assumed that all annual fees billed would be subject to a non collection rate of 40%

⁽²⁾ Annual application and petition fee liability has been determined by the Division; how ever, bill have not yet been mailed due to BOE staffing constraints. Bills will be sent by 6/1/04

Background FY03-04 (cont.)

| One-Time Fees (Collected by SWRCB) | i i | | Estimate | | Status | | | |
|--|----------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Fee Source | New Fees | Estimated Cases (3) | Est. Amount of Billing | Estimated Revenue | Accounts Billed | Accounts Billed | Collected (as of 5/13/04) | |
| Applications - §1062 (a)(1) | \$1,000 | 60 | \$60,000 | \$60,000 | n/a | 12 | \$257,744 | |
| FAS Petition w/ Appl §1062 (a)(2) | \$10,000 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | n/a | 0 | \$0 | |
| State Filing Assignment- §1062 (a)(3) | \$5,000 | 1 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | n/a | 0 | \$0 | |
| Supplementa1Fee W.C. §1062 (b) | \$900 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 26 | 8 | \$23,380 | |
| Change Petition- §1064 (a)(1)&(a)(3) | \$1,000 | 34 | \$34,000 | \$34,000 | n/a | 11 | \$55,900 | |
| Change Petition for instream uses- §1064 (a)(1) | \$850 | 1 | \$850 | \$850 | n/a | incl. Above | incl. Above | |
| Extension Petition- §1064 (a)(2) | \$1,000 | 10 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | n/a | 2 | \$1,100 | |
| Wastewater Petitions - §1064 (a)(4) | \$1,000 | 1 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Release of Priority (State Filing)- §1064(a)(5) | \$5,000 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | n/a | 0 | \$0 | |
| WaterLease Application-§1067(a)(1) | \$10,000 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | n/a | 0 | \$0 | |
| S. Domestic and Stockpond Reg §1068 | \$250 | 40 | \$10,000 | \$10,000 | n/a | 6 | \$1,500 | |
| 5-year Rene wal Fee-§1068 | \$100 | 30 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | - | 61 | \$5,400 | |
| ProofofCla im-§1069 | \$500 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| FERC FEE | \$1,000 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | n/a | 1 | \$1,000 | |
| Ground water Recordation- §1070 | \$115 | 570 | \$65,550 | \$65,550 | n/a | 45 | \$4,395 | |
| ACL P e na ltie s | \$6,000 | 3 | \$18,000 | \$18,000 | 4 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Total for Year (3) Estimated cases is half of the long term number | | | \$207,400 | \$207,400 | | | \$350,419 | |

⁽³⁾ Estimated cases is half of the long term number of a verage annual cases

- Annual Permit/License and FERC Fee Payers*
 - No. billed was 13,279
 - No. paid was 9,645
 - Payment Rate: 73%
- Supplemental Fee Payers
 - No. billed was 26
 - − No. paid was 8
 - Payment rate: 30%

*as of May 1, 2004

- 401 Certification
 - Amount billed: \$350,582
 - Amount paid: \$316,237 (90%)
- Permit & License Holders (excl. USBR)
 - Amount billed: \$4,504,890
 - Amount paid: \$4,176,484 (88%)
- USBR Contractors
 - Amount billed: \$2,593,336
 - Amount paid: \$2,234,614 (86%)

- OCC: 0.65 PYs
 - » Rulemaking
 - » Petitions for Reconsideration:
 - » Litigation
- Division of Water Rights: 12.53 PYs
 - » Permitting Section: 1.30 PYs
 - Supplemental and annual application fees
 - Database updates
 - » Hearings and Special Projects Section: 3.18 PYs
 - Rulemaking
 - Petitions for Reconsideration
 - Litigation
 - » Licensing Section: 7.20 PYs
 - Ownership Changes
 - Petitions for Reconsideration
 - Database verification

Background FY03-04 (cont.)

Benefits

- Database Updates
 - Ownership Changes (since July 2003)
 - 1825 requested
 - 1775 processed
 - Permit/License Revocations (since January 2004)
 - 128 requested
 - 46 processed
 - Application Cancellations (since January 2003)
 - 19 processed

This Year: FY 04-05

- Governor's Proposed Budget for Water Right Related Activities
 - » SWRCB (Division of Water Rights/OCC)
 - Water Rights Fund: \$7.764M
 - Federal Reimbursements: \$0.407M
 - Other Reimbursements: \$0.419M
 - Tobacco Tax Funding: \$0.272M
 - General Fund: \$ 62,800*
 - » BOE
 - Water Rights Fund: \$0.428M

May 17, 2004

16

^{*}Based on 03-04 budget (Bay/Delta work)

This Year: FY 04-05 (cont.)

- » Budgeted Fee Revenues: \$8.192M
- » FY 03-04 over-collections: \$2.45M
- » Target: \$5.742M
- » Billed amount to collect \$5.72M at various noncollection rates:
 - □ 10%: \$6.38M
 - **■** 15%: \$6.75M
 - 20%: \$7.17M
 - **25%:** \$7.66M
 - **■** 30%: \$8.20M
 - **■** 40%: \$9.57M
- » Billed amount FY 03-04: \$7.4M

Next Steps

- Continue to update the fee revenue collection information
- Continue to update the water right database
- Review the suggestions received at this meeting
- Identify those who would like to participate in the ad hoc Working Group
- Set up the ad hoc Working Group
- Staff to assist the Working Group

Closing

Fees

- No one likes to pay them
- Zero sum game

Other points

- The SWRCB and Division are also interested in making improvements to the water right process:
 - » Legislative
 - » Regulatory
 - » Administrative

We've Got to Work Together



May 17, 2004 20