in the proposed Auburn

smull, the Modesto and Tur-

to get a return on the capital that's

Sacramento counties, are interested Many of the supervisors, particularly those from San Joaquin and Sacramento, El Dorado, Amador, Alpine, Tuolumne and Calaveras

ing to supervisors from San Joaquin

The assistant secretary was speak

sees, manages federal water proj

reclamation bureau, which he

over-

Non-Federal Funding Urged For Auburn Dam

By Thorne Grav Modesto Bee Staff Writer

STOCKTON - The Reagan administration is molding a policy that would "seriously jeopardize" construction of the Auburn Dam on the American River unless private or non-federal public agencies help pay for it, an administration official said Monday.

"We're here to sell pieces of Auburn Dam to those of you who can afford it," said Garrey E. Carruthers. Interior Department assistant secretary in charge of the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation.

"We're looking for new partners in water development," Carruthers told a gathering of Central California county supervisors.

Asked to clarify the remark later, Carruthers said the proposal is to let private or non-federal public agencies - like the Sacramento Municipal Utility District, counties or cities - help build federal dams in exchange for the power they would generate. The government would settle for a royalty on the power, he

"We can no longer continue to go to the federal till ... and totally finance and recover part of that financing for water projects as we've done in the past," he said.

'And so we've looked to the nonfederal sector and part of that nonfederal sector may be some of your counties, who have a definite interest in flood control, an interest in hydro-power development, have an interest in perhaps irrigation or have an interest in municipal and industrial supplies of water.

'We're looking for partners to help us finance these water projects in the future. When we find those partners we're going to become very aggressive in water development as we used to be some years ago.'

There are many proposed federal water projects and some will draw partners, Carruthers said. Without such partners, he said, "I would think that would seriously jeopardize the Auburn case."

Carruthers is assistant secretary of land and water resources under Interior Secretary James Watt. The

See AUBURN, Back Page, A12

posed dam into eastern San Joaquin

wants to get water development moving in the West. But not under tion" favors water projects and visors the "Reagan-Watt administranomics in private life, told the super-The federal government now fi-Carruthers, a professor of eco

nances such powerhouses alone and the cost by selling the power at very water projects. We're going to have hard-line the economics of these "I'll be candid with you," Carruth ers said. "We're going to have to

but still power-producing dams like Shasta or Folsom. River, are averaged in with older newer, most costly powerhouses like New Melones Dam on the Stanislaus

ect construction, and flood control

studying ways to change those ules. "I'd suspect the price will go up in national objectives, to be paid for and wildlife have been considered the taxpayers. Carruthers heads a subcommittee

lock irrigation districts and some

flood control and wildlife protection now subsidizes some federal water project purposes, such as irrigation have not had to pay interest on proj Farmers receiving federal water

Auburn Dam project experiences rebirth

By Reed Fujil. Assistant News Editor

Construction of the Auburn Dam
— stalled by earthquake-safety
and environmental concerns, and
the federal budget squeeze—may
be stirring to life again.

In an unprecedented action, the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation is inviting non-federal entities to help finance the \$1.8 billion water project. In return, of course, any partners would hope to reap a portion of the project's water, hydroelectric production, or other benefits.

More than 50 persons — elected officials, utility executives, bureaucrats, businessmen, news reporters and just plain folks — met Thursday in Auburn to discuss such a partnership:

During the day, officials gave background information on the project, the construction site was toured and, after lunch, financial

Page A2 - The Fresno Bee

Watt insists he won't tolerate US park damage

BURLINGTON, Vt. (UPI) — Interior Secretary James Watt said Sunday he would not tolerate any damage to 75 million acres of national park land.

"It is not to be drilled; it's not to be mined; it's not to be cut; it's to be preserved for future generations," Watt said of the land under his jurisdiction.

"I have poured my life into these parks," said Watt, who has come under fire for plans to open public land to developers.

"Have you got it?" he asked reporters before speaking at the University of Vermont.

Watt said he blamed his image on the efforts of six to 10 national groups, including the Sierra Club and the National Audubon Society. discussions were held.

While no deals were made at the meeting, strong interest was expressed by several organizations. Among those were the Placer County Water Agency, Placer and El Dorado County supervisors, Pacific Gas and Electric Co., and Sacramento Municipal Utility District.

If any of those attending the meeting at the Auburn Construction Engineer's office had expected bureau officials to outline minimum requirements for cosponsoring the project, they were disappointed.

Michael A. Catino, USBR regional director, said he would welcome any proposals for nonfederal participation in the water project and that there were no preconceived limitations.

"At the present time, we have no established guidelines as to format or extent of any possible partnership," he said

Mon., April 19, 1982

"I get irritated everytime I read it." Watt said.

Watt stressed he does not want to touch the national parks, but would open 340 million acres of other public lands for "orderly, phased, environmentally sensitive development."

"No one has said the starting mark is 25 percent (participation). See if you can get 35 percent," Catino noted. He reported that Interior Secretary James Watt told bureau officials just to see what offers would develop.

"The operative word here is 'innovation.' Sooner or later, we'll
probably get some guidelines, but.
I'd like to think that this group can
set the standard for what is to
follow and that what comes out of
this session will play a major role
in whatever guidelines are established in the future," Catino said.

After the meeting, USBR spokesman Jerry King emphasized that potential partners could practically make their own best deals.

King noted that the Reagan "administration has made (non-federal financing) something of a touchstone for going ahead with major federal undertakings ..."

The point, he said, was to reduce federal spending and to allow greater local control of federal projects.

Catino did ask that any organizations interested a financing partnership indicate their interest by June 1 and make a firm committment by Aug. 1.

While those dates wereflexible, the bureau official said he hoped to draft a contract in September in time to seek Congressional reauthorization next January.

Catino said that earthquake safety questions about the dam have been settled and added that otherissues concerned with yearround minimum water releases should be resolved by the end of the year.

If the project is given a green light, it may be 1993 before the first watt of electricity is produced at the site, officials said. Planning and design will take three years.

The Sacramento Union

Friday April 9, 1982

Utilities willing to help pay for Auburn

rior! has told me to see what I can the entire 300 megawatts to partners

SACELMENTO UNION STAFF WRITER

BY PAUL BARNES

Although figures are preliminary, Catino said that a power plant at the and that figure will escalate, he dam would cost about \$530 million. The project must go back to Congress for re-authorization, however, Thursday from state utility officials AUBURN - The U.S Bureau of Reclamation got what it wanted

preliminary meeting to find out

- interest in Auburn Dam.

300 megawatts of power that will be available if the dam is ever built. Utilities are still interested in the

Notan Daines, an executive for

his utility is more interested in purchasing power than in becoming "I'm not sure ownership is good for us." he said. "Raising capital is difficult to do. We would have to sell

an owner.

the Sacramento Municipal "They already have a message from us," said Ann Taylor, presi-Utility District board dent of

you that some day could hold 2

million acre feet of water

interested in putting a project Michael Catino, regional

"The Department of the Interior

\$1.8 billion Auburn Dam took place what private and public money might eventually help pay for the in a construction office where windows open on the scraped out can"We are absolutely interested if and we would take a good portion of the project is economically feasible, the power available," she said.

Catino said he was willing to sell director of the bureau, told utility "Jim Watt (secretary of the inte-

Once that interest is shown, presigned Aug. 1. Then a detailed liminary agreements would be contract would be written.

> who would help pay for the dam. SMUD Director Paul Carr, who also attended, said the project looks proposal and that is a plus in this Carr said SMUD is "willing to negotiate a fair price." Pacific Gas and Electric Co., said

good to him "because it is a federal

state."

"I set those dates at my own "because I want to get the package kitchen table," he told the group, Although the afternoon brought to the 1983 Congress.

contracts."

out easy discussion, state officials added a note of complexity.

ment of Water Resouces said that California wants the bureau to have commitments for 75 percent of the water Auburn will hold before con-Wayne Restri of the state Depart struction begins.

flows remain in the Lower American He also said that the bureau must guarantee that between 1,500 and 2,000 cubic feet per second of water

Catino has asked utilities to respond with definite interest to the offer of a partnership by June 1.

stock below value.

the dam itself.

would most likely be substantially smaller than the bureau's plan Catino, in responding to Taylor about the state's requirements, said River for recreation and fishery

"We have been waiting 16 years for Auhurn Dam, and we can't wait much longer." Koster said. "The time is now to build or let Placer County build the dam itself." that DWR "did not have too much of an impact on the ability to proceed with the dam. We will not hold up construction of the dam for water

Koster said he was willing to enter a partnership with El Dorado County to build his version of Auburn He said, in fact, that the bureau agreed that contracts for water were an important first step and

"The dam was authorized in 1965. that it was simply good business to cer County Water Agency, said his

Edwin Koster, director of the Pla-

get them early.

ground," Koster said. "If we build and all we have is a hole in the It, it will be with private financing.

before power sparks transmission lines leading out of the Auburn Catino said that once final approval is given it could take another decade With the existing federal proposal powerhouse with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for a permit to build organization had filed an application Koster said the Placer plan would be to build "a feasible project" that

Springtime is perfect for lovin' and fishin'

The catfish were biting at Gibson Reach County Perk for Tony Anderson Jr. and

in the Bacre lake this week as part of a relocation experiment. This week's absenting before the total members of



DEPARTMENT of the INTERIOR news release

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION

Mid-Pacific Region 2800 Cottage Way Sacramento, CA 95825 (916) 484-4647

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

82-38-140

August 6, 1982

The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation has asked potential non-Federal investors in Auburn Dam power development for more formal indications of interest, Bureau Mid-Pacific Regional Director Michael A. Catino said today.

Several public and private organizations contacted the Bureau following information sessions held in April to discuss the partnership proposal designed to help finance construction of the Auburn-Folsom South Unit of the Central Valley Project.

Among those who expressed interest in the idea were American Hydroelectric Development Corporation, American River Authority, Cushionrail International, East Bay Municipal Utility District, Modesto Irrigation District, and Sacramento Municipal Utility District.

(more)

The Bureau is now asking potential partners for a more formal expression of interest through a Memorandum of Understanding, Letter of Intent, or other such agreement. A sample draft was sent late last month to the parties that have expressed continuing interest since April, Catino said.

The suggested Memorandum of Understanding covers such specifics as a percentage estimate of expected contribution to construction costs; expected power entitlement; expected contribution to operation and maintenance costs; and cost ceiling limitations the potential partners might require as a condition of investment, in terms of mills per kilowatt-hour.

The Bureau's accompanying letter notes that while the draft agreement provides for participation in Auburn power development, suggestions are also invited to expand the agreement to cover participation in the water supply aspects of the project.

The Bureau requested execution of the agreements by the end of August in anticipation of discussions with the Commissioner of Reclamation and Secretary of the Interior on the availability of "up-front" funds for Auburn construction. The Bureau noted that the documents would be useful in supporting legislation to reauthorize construction of the project on a partnership basis.

Both the Bureau's letter and suggested Memorandum of Understanding stress that a signed agreement at this time is not an irrevocable commitment by either the interested parties or the Bureau. According to the Bureau, such a commitment would not be required until after formal negotiation and execution of a contract, following reauthorization of the project by Congress.

#