1	Chandra Ferrari, CA Bar No. 246012	
2	Staff Counsel	
2	Department of Fish and Game 1416 9 th Street	
3	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916)654-3819	
4	Facsimile: (916) 654-3805	•
5	Email: <u>cferrari@dfg.ca.gov</u>	
6	STATE OI	F CALIFORNIA
7	STATE WATER RESO	URCES CONTROL BOARD
8		
•		•
9	In the Matter of:)) NOTICE OF MOTION TO QUASH
10	Hearing Regarding Water Right Application	 NOTICE OF MOTION TO QUASH NOTICES OF DEPOSITIONS OF KIT CUSTIS, DEBORAH HILLYARD AND ROB
11	30166 of El Sur Ranch) TITUS AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
12) TO LIMIT DISCOVERY
10) [Filed Concurrently With: (1) Memorandum of
13) Points and Authorities, (2) Declaration of) Chandra Ferrari and (3) Proposed Order]
14		, v
15		Hearing Date: TBA Time: TBA
16	·	Place: Sacramento
10		•
17		
18	NOTICE IS HEARBY GIVEN that at	a time to be determined, at the office of the State
19	Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), a I	hearing will be held to determine if the SWRCB
20	will grant this motion by the Department of Fig	sh & Game (Department) to quash the notices
21	received by the Department on May 11, 2011,	that require the depositions of Kit Custis, Rob
22	Titus and Deborah Hillyard. In addition, the S	WRCB will consider this motion for a protective
23	order that the depositions of Kit Custis, Rob Ti	itus and Deborah Hillyard, which are to commence
24	as early as May 25, 2011, not be taken and that	t the demanded documents not be produced.
25	Alternatively, the SWRCB will consider an alt	ernative motion for a protective order limiting the

21

22

23

24

25

depositions to a specified length of time, and limiting the circumstances under which documents must be produced.

This motion to quash is made on the ground that the notices of deposition were not served on all parties who have appeared in this action, and that the discovery proceedings will not be completed within 30 days of the hearing as required by the California Code of Civil Procedure section 2024.020(a). The motion for protective order is be made on the grounds that good cause exists for this order because the discovery sought is unreasonably cumulative and duplicative, is obtainable from another source that is more convenient, and is overly burdensome to the Department, and that a protective order is necessary to protect the Department from unwarranted annoyance, embarrassment, or oppression and undue burden and expense.

This motion is based on this notice of motion, on the memorandum of points and authorities accompanying this motion, the declaration of Chandra Ferrari and on any evidence that may be presented at the hearing on this motion. If the SWRCB determines that a hearing on this motion is unnecessary or a decision on this motion is made prior to the hearing date on this motion, the Department will waive its right to a hearing on this motion.

Dated: May 13, 2011

Respectfully submitted

Chandra Ferrari, Attorney for Department

of Fish and Game

1				
1	Chandra Ferrari, CA Bar No. 246012 Staff Counsel			
2	Department of Fish and Game 1416 9 th Street			
3	Sacramento, California 95814			
4	Telephone: (916)654-3819 Facsimile: (916) 654-3805			
5	Email: cferrari@dfg.ca.gov			
6	STATE O	OF CALIFORNIA		
. 7	STATE WATER RESC	OURCES CONTROL BOARD		
8	·			
9	In the Matter of:)		
10	Hearing Regarding Water Right Application	· /		
11	30166 of El Sur Ranch) TO QUASH NOTICES OF DEPOSITIONS) OF KIT CUSTIS, DEBORAH HILLYARD,		
12) AND ROB TITUS AND FOR PROTECTIVE) ORDER TO LIMIT DISCOVERY		
13) [Filed Concurrently With: (1) Notice of		
14) Motion, (2) Declaration of Chandra Ferrari and (3) Proposed Order]		
15				
16		Hearing Date: TBA Time: TBA		
17		Place: Sacramento		
1.0				
18		I.		
19	INTRO	ODUCTION		
20	Pursuant to Water Code section 1100 a	and Code of Civil Procedure sections 2024.020		
21	subdivision (a), 2025.240 subdivision (a), 202	25.420 subdivision (b) and 2019.030 subdivisions		
22	(a) and (b), the Department of Fish and Game	e (Department) files its Motion to Quash Notices of		
23	Deposition for Kit Custis, Rob Titus and Debo	oorah Hillyard and for a Protective Order Restricting		
24	Discovery. The motion is made in response to	to the Notice of Deposition of Kit Custis and		
25	Demand for Production of Documents, Notice	e of Deposition of Rob Titus and Demand for		

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH NOTICES OF DEPOSITIONS AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Production of Documents and Notice of Deposition of Deborah Hillyard and Demand for Production of Documents (Attachment A) served on the Department by James J. Hill III (Applicant). By its motion, the Department requests that the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) issue an order quashing the Notices of Deposition for Kit Custis, Rob Titus and Deborah Hillyard. If the SWRCB declines to quash the notices, or orders the notices quashed but allows for re-noticing, DFG requests that the SWRCB issue a protective order directing that the three depositions not be taken at all and that the demanded documents and writings not be produced, inspected or copied. Alternatively, DFG requests that the SWRCB order the depositions to be limited to two hours per person and direct that documents need only be produced if they are not already in the possession of the Applicant or his representatives or cannot be readily obtained by another source.

II.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND ARGUMENTS FOR MOTION TO QUASH

The Applicant relies on Water Code section 1100 as authority for its discovery requests. Water Code section 1100 authorizes parties to adjudicative proceedings before the SWRCB to take the depositions of witnesses in compliance with the Civil Discovery Act (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 2016.010-2036.050). The Civil Discovery Act specifies notice and timing requirements that must be met to properly conduct discovery proceedings.

A. THE MOTION TO QUASH IS JUSTIFIED BECAUSE PROPER SERVICE WAS NOT EFFECTUATED

The Motion to Quash should be granted because the Applicant failed to comply with the notice provisions of the Civil Discovery Act. As noted above, parties utilizing Water Code section 1100 to take depositions of witnesses in SWRCB proceedings must comply with the provisions of the Civil Discovery Act. (Water Code § 1100.) The Civil Discovery Act mandates that any party who prepares a notice of deposition shall give the notice to every other party that has appeared in the action. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2025.240(a).) Furthermore, the deposition notice,

3

5 6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

or accompanying proof of service, must list all of the parties on whom it has been served. (Id.) The Applicant failed to comply with this requirement.

On May 11, 2011, the Applicant sent an email to the Department that contained as attachments three Notices of Depositions for Kit Custis, Deborah Hillyard and Rob Titus, respectively. The email was also addressed to Walter Motzel, Lori Lockwood, and representatives of Trout Unlimited and the Center for Biological Diversity (Attachment B). The Proofs of Service for all three Notices of Deposition also indicated that notice was served to only those individuals who also received the email (Attachment A). The revised service list for this proceeding was made available to hearing participants on March 1, 2011. The service list includes California Sportfishing Protection Alliance (CSPA) and Carmel River Steelhead Association (CRSA) among the parties required to be served with all documents related to this hearing. The conspicuous lack of mention of CSPA and CRSA in the Proofs of Service submitted with the Notices of Deposition indicate that the Applicant clearly failed to serve them with the documents. The Applicant has failed to comply with the requirements of the Civil Discovery Act and therefore the motion to quash all three Notices of Deposition should be granted.

B. THE MOTION TO QUASH IS JUSTIFIED BECAUSE THE APPLICANT CANNOT COMPLY WITH THE TIME LIMITATIONS CONTAINED IN THE CIVIL DISCOVERY ACT

The Motion to Quash should also be granted because the Applicant cannot comply with the timing provisions of the Civil Discovery Act. As noted above, parties utilizing Water Code section 1100 to take depositions of witnesses in SWRCB proceedings must comply with the provisions of the Civil Discovery Act. (Water Code § 1100.) The Civil Discovery Act mandates that discovery proceedings be completed 30 days before the initial hearing date. (Cal. Civ. Proc. § 2024.020 subd. (a).) The hearing date for this matter is scheduled for June 16, 2011. Discovery proceedings are required to be completed by May 17, 2011. Given that at least 10

days notice is required before a deposition can occur (Cal. Civ. Proc. § 2025.270(a)), the Applicant cannot comply with the timing requirement. An exception to the timing requirement does exist for witnesses designated as experts under Chapter 18 of the Civil Discovery Act (Code Civ. Proc. § 2024.030.). In this case, the Department plans to present Kit Custis and Rob Titus at the hearing on water right application 30166 to provide expert testimony on hydrology and fisheries related issues. Therefore, it is possible that section 2024.020(a)'s 30-day notice requirement does not apply to their Notice of Depositions. However, Deborah Hillyard has not been designated as an expert, and therefore the 30-day notice requirement discussed above certainly applies to her Notice of Deposition. The Motion to Quash should be granted, at least in respect to the Notice of Deposition sent to Deborah Hillyard, because the Applicant cannot comply with the timing requirements of the Civil Discovery Act.

III.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND ARGUMENTS FOR THE MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER

As stated above, should the SWRCB deny the Department's motion to quash or authorize the Applicant to re-serve the Notice of Depositions, the Department requests that the SWRCB issue a protective order limiting discovery in this proceeding. The Applicant relies on Water Code section 1100 as authority for its discovery requests. Water Code section 1100 authorizes parties to adjudicative proceedings before the SWRCB to take the depositions of witnesses in compliance with the Civil Discovery Act (Code Civ. Proc. §§ 2016.010-2036.050). The Civil Discovery Act identifies several bases for limiting discovery. Pursuant to the Civil Discovery Act, a protective order may be issued if the discovery sought would be "unreasonably cumulative or duplicative, or is obtainable from some other source that is more convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive." (Id., § 2019.030(a), subds. (1) and (2).) A protective order may also be granted to protect a party from undue burden and expense. (Id., § 2025.420, subd.(b).) A

protective order may be issued on either of these grounds to direct that a deposition not be taken at all, or that it be limited in scope or duration. (Id., §§ 2025.420(b), subds. (1) and (11).)

A. THE MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER LIMITING DISCOVERY SHOULD BE GRANTED BECAUSE THE REQUEST IS UNREASONABLY CUMULATIVE AND DUPLICATIVE AND CAN BE OBTAINED FROM ANOTHER SOURCE

The motion for a protective order should be granted because the discovery sought is duplicative, unreasonably cumulative and can be (or already has been) obtained from another source. Most, if not all, of the information requested by the Applicant in the Notice of Depositions has been provided to the Applicant already or will be provided to him as a result of the SWRCB's hearing procedures.

The purpose of discovery, as it relates to expert witnesses, is "to give fair notice of what an expert will say at trial." (Bonds v. Roy (1999) 20 Cal.4th 140, 146.) However, the Department's position on the four issues that are the subject of a hearing by the SWRCB as indicated in its Notice of Public Hearing on Water Right Application 30166 of El Sur Ranch, dated December 20, 2010, can hardly be a surprise to the Applicant given the Department's extensive involvement in this matter since the time the original water right application was submitted in 1992. After the Department's testimony on three of the four issues and supporting exhibits are filed on May 19, 2011, there will be few, if any, Department documents left that the Applicant has not already received through the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process, the Public Records Act process, the water right settlement process or the water right hearing process. More importantly, the Applicant will have in its possession the testimony of the Department's two experts more than four weeks prior to the hearing on water right application 30166. Given that the Applicant currently possesses relevant Department documents, or will soon possess them after the exchange of testimony and exhibits on May 19, 2011, the Applicant will have more than fair notice of what the Department's experts will say during the hearing.

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

Therefore, the discovery sought is duplicative, unreasonably cumulative and will (or already has been) obtained from another source.

As stated above, the Applicant has had numerous opportunities over the last 19 years to gather information from the Department. A quick search of the SWRCB's website will find the latest comment letter from the Department on the Draft Environmental Impact Report with fourteen attachments, which constitute previous correspondence from the Department including multiple memoranda from Kit Custis analyzing the deficiencies of Applicant supplied information. Further, the Applicant has submitted four Public Records Act requests to the Department related to this matter beginning January 13, 2010. The last request was received on May 5, 2011. The Department has expended much staff time and effort complying with those requests. Additionally, the Department and the Applicant have been in settlement negotiations since early 2010. These negotiations have resulted in no less than four in-person meetings. Kit Custis, Rob Titus and Deborah Hillyard were present at those meetings and available for questions from the Applicant. Finally, the Applicant will obtain any remaining information it seeks by virtue of the SWRCB's adjudicative hearing process. As stated above, this process ensures that the Applicant will obtain the direct testimony from the Department's witnesses and documents supporting their testimony prior to the hearing. In addition, the Applicant will have the opportunity to cross-examine these witnesses at the hearing and submit additional evidence to rebut evidence presented in the Department's case in chief. There is no admissible evidence identified in the discovery requests that will not be made available by the SWRCB hearing process. The process that the SWRCB has established for the exchange of information among the parties is the less burdensome alternative for the Department to provide the requested information to the Applicant as opposed to the discovery process.

In summary, the Applicant's request for depositions and document production demands are unreasonable and duplicative, as they seek information and/or documents already in the

14°

possession of the Applicant by virtue of the CEQA process and the Public Records Act, or soon to be in the possession of the Applicant by virtue of the SWRCB process.

B. THE MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER LIMITING DISCOVERY SHOULD BE GRANTED BECAUSE THE REQUEST WOULD SUBJECT THE DEPARTMENT TO UNDUE BURDEN AND EXPENSE

The Department is a state agency constrained by limited financial and personnel resources. The Department continues to expend resources to engage in good faith settlement negotiations with the Applicant. In addition, the Department has to expend substantial resources to respond every time the Applicant submits a new request under the Public Records Act. This discovery request imposes an additional burden on the Department and its experts during an extremely critical time for hearing preparation. As currently scheduled, the first deposition will occur May 25, less than one week after testimony and exhibits are due to be submitted. The second and third depositions will occur June 2 and 3 respectively, less than two weeks before the hearing. To respond and prepare for these discovery requests, the Department and its experts will be required to devote significant amounts of time and resources to the effort and attention will be diverted from hearing preparation. The Department believes that it will be able to present better quality information to the SWRCB if it is protected from unnecessary discovery requests. A protective order is warranted to protect the department from the undue burden and expense associated with the Applicant's discovery requests.

IV.

CONCLUSIONS

For the foregoing reasons, the Department requests that the SWRCB grant the Department's motion and issue an order quashing the Notices of Deposition for Kit Custis, Rob Titus and Deborah Hillyard. The Department also requests that the SWRCB grant the Department's motion and issue a protective order directing that no depositions be taken and that the demanded

documents and writings not be produced, inspected, or copied. In the alternative, if the SWRCB determines that the depositions should go forward, the Department requests that the burden on the Department be minimized by a protective order limiting the depositions to two hours per person and that document production be limited to exclude documents already in the possession of the Applicant or easily obtainable from sources other than the Department. Dated: May 13, 2011 Respectfully submitted, Chandra Ferrari, Attorney for Department of Fish and Game

1	Chandra Ferrari, CA Bar No. 246012 Staff Counsel		
2	Department of Fish and Game 1416 9 th Street		
. 3	Sacramento, California 95814		
4	Telephone: (916)654-3819 Facsimile: (916) 654-3805		•
5	Email: cferrari@dfg.ca.gov		
· 6	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA	
7	STATE WATER RESOUR	RCES CONTRO	L BOARD
8			
9	In the Matter of:		
10	Hearing Regarding Water Right Application	TO QUASH N	ON IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OTICES OF DEPOSITION OF
11	30166 of El Sur Ranch	ROB TITUS A	DEBORAH HILLYARD AND ND FOR A PROTECTIVE MIT DISCOVERY
12	<u> </u>		
13		Motion, (2) Me	ently With: (1) Notice of morandum of Points and I (3) Proposed Order
14		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	(3) Troposou Ordor
15		Hearing Date: Time:	TBA TBA
16		Place:	Sacramento
17			
18			
19	I, CHANDRA FERRARI, hereby declare	to the following	
20	1. I am an attorney at law admitted to	o practice law in	the State of California. I am
21	employed as a Staff Counsel with the Departmen	t of Fish and Gar	ne (Department), and I am the
22	attorney of record herein for the Department in the	ne above describe	d action.
23	2. A true and correct copy of each d	eposition notice t	for Kit Custis, Deborah
24	Hillyard and Rob Titus is attached as Attachment	t A.	
25			

- 10 11 12 13 14 15 17 19 20
- 16

22 23

24

25

- 3. I am familiar with the Public Records Act (PRA) requests submitted by Mr. James J. Hill III (Applicant) since January 2010. There have been four requests in total. For each request, the Department has provided the Applicant with responsive documents not qualifying as attorney-client privileged communications. Complying with each request has required considerable staff time and resources. The first request, dated January 14, 2010, contained over fourteen categories of requested information. I am aware that the Applicant's document demands include documents that are already in the possession of the Applicant by virtue of the PRA responses. Attached hereto as Attachment C are true and correct copies of the Applicant's PRA requests.
- 4. The Department has been engaged in settlement discussions with the Applicant for approximately one year, beginning in May 2010. I have been in attendance at all of the settlement meetings. In order to facilitate the discussions, the representatives of the Applicant and Department staff met approximately five times. At most of those meetings, Kit Custis, Rob Titus and Deborah Hillyard were present and subject to questions from the Applicant's representatives and consultants. In addition, several phone conversations have occurred to discuss various settlement proposals.
- 5. The Department is a state agency constrained by limited financial and personnel resources. To respond to the discovery requests, Department staff will be required to devote a significant amount of time to reviewing documents, preparing for depositions, traveling to depositions and participating in depositions. This will detract from the resources needed by the Department to prepare for the hearing.
- 6. One of the Department's key witnesses, Kit Custis, is a retired annuitant and is limited in the number of hours he can work in a calendar year. The time he may require to prepare for the deposition may push him past his allotted number of hours. In that case, he will not be able to fully participate in hearing preparation.

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	2	

7. After receiving Notice of the Depositions of Kit Custis, Deborah Hillyard and Rob Titus, I was in communication with several of the Applicant's legal counsel. I indicated that the Department may be filing motions related to the Notices. I also indicated that I did not believe that the depositions could produce any information that they did not already have or would not be able to obtain from the testimony and exhibits being submitted by the Department. I encouraged them to contact me if they could identify specific documents (or descriptions of documents) that they believed to be in the possession of the Department but not produced to them already. They did not appear to have an interest in withdrawing their deposition notices.

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the above is true and correct.

Date: May 13, 2011

Place: Sacramento, California

CHANDRA FERRARI

Chandra Ferrari, CA Bar No. 246012 Staff Counsel Department of Fish and Game 1416 9th Street Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916)654-3819 Facsimile: (916) 654-3805 Email: cferrari@dfg.ca.gov 5 6 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 7 STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD 8 9 In the Matter of: [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING 10 Hearing Regarding Water Right Application MOTION TO OUASH NOTICES OF DEPOSITIONS OF KIT CUSTIS, DEBORAH 11 30166 of El Sur Ranch HILLYARD, AND ROB TITUS AND GRANTING PROTECTIVE ORDER TO 12 LIMIT DISCOVERY 13 [Filed Concurrently With: (1) Notice of Motion, (2) Memorandum of Points and 14 Authorities, and (3) Declaration of Chandra Ferrari] 15 16 Hearing Date: TBA Time: TBA 17 Place: Sacramento 18 19 After review of the moving and opposing papers, and after hearing the argument of 20 counsel, the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) grants the Department of Fish & 21 Game's (Department) Motion to Quash Notices of Depositions of Kit Custis, Deborah Hillyard 22 and Rob Titus. The SWRCB further grants the Department's Motion for a Protective Order. 23 24 IT IS ORDERED that the depositions of Kit Custis, Deborah Hillyard and Rob Titus are not to 25 be taken, and the demanded documents are not to be produced, inspected or copied.

MOTION TO QUASH NOTICES OF DEPOSITIONS AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
- 1

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH NOTICES OF DEPOSITIONS AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Attachment A

1	JANET K. GOLDSMITH, State Bar No. 065959 jgoldsmith@kmtg.com
2	jgoldsmith@kmtg.com DANIELLE R. TEETERS, State Bar No. 210056 dteeters@kmtg.com
3	KRONIČK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD
4	A Law Corporation
5	Sacramento, California 95814
6	
7	Attorneys for Applicant James J. Hill III
8	
9	BEFORE THE
10	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
11	
12	In the Matter of Water Right
13	Application No. 30166 of James J. NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF DEBRA
14	Hill, III HILLYARD AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
15	
16	TO PROTESTANT DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME AND ITS ATTORNEY (S) OF
17	RECORD:
18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Water Code section 1100, Government
19	Code sections 11450.10 and 11450.20, California Code of Civil Procedure section 2025.010 et
20	seq., and the procedures and practices of the State Water Resources Control Board, the deposition
21	of DEBRA HILLYARD will be taken by the Applicant James J. Hill III ("Applicant") in the
22	above entitled matter, upon oral examination before a certified shorthand reporter of the State of
23	California as follows:
24	
25	DATE: June 1, 2011
26	TIME: 10:00 a.m. LOCATION: Kronick Moskovitz Tiedemann & Girard
	400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor
27	Sacramento, CA 95814 916/321-4500
28 Kronick,	971112.1 8896.2
MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD	NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF DEBRA HILLYARD AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Said deposition will commence at the above date and time, and continue from day to day thereafter, Sundays and holidays excepted, until completed. The Applicant reserves the right to record this deposition by videotape.

DOCUMENT REQUEST

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that the above-described deponent shall bring and produce at the deposition, at the time and place set out above, the following listed writings and other things identified below.

DEFINITIONS

As used herein, the following terms have the meaning and significance set forth below, unless otherwise specifically indicated:

- 1. **DOCUMENT**. The terms "DOCUMENT" or "DOCUMENTS" shall mean and include all written, recorded, or graphic materials, however produced or reproduced, whether or not privileged, pertaining in any way to the subject matter of this action, including, but not limited to, any and all originals, copies or drafts or any and all of the following: records; written testimonies; drafts; notes; summaries; schedules; drawings; sketches; diaries; reports; memoranda of telephone or in-person conversations by or with any person, or other memoranda; letters; facsimiles, or cables received; electronic mail and voice mail messages, drafts, originals or copies of letters, tapes, transcripts or recordings; photographs, pictures of films; or other graphic, recorded written material of whatever nature and other "writings" of any kind.
- 2. POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL. Each request extends to any documents in the POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL of the Protestant,

 Department of Fish and Game; and/or the Deponent. The document is deemed to be in Deponent and/or Protestant's POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL, if it is in the Deponent and/or Protestant's physical custody., or if it is in the physical custody of any other person and Deponent and/or Protestant (a) owns such document in whole or in part; (b) has a right by contract, statute or otherwise to use, inspect, examine or copy such document on any terms; (c) has an understanding, express or implied, that Deponent and/or Protestant may use, inspect, examine or copy such document on any terms; or (d) has, as a practical matter, been able to use, inspect,

KRONICK. Moskovitz, Ciedemann & GIRARD

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Sherry Ramirez, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Sacramento County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor, Sacramento, California 95814. On May 11, 2011, I served a copy of the within document(s):

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF DEBRA HILLYARD AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

П	by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set
لبا	forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.

by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, the United States mail at Sacramento, California addressed as set
forth below.

by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed Delivery Service envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a Delivery Service agent for delivery.
berview agent for derivery.

X	by transmitting via e-mail or electronic transmission the docu	ment(s) listed above
لتستا	to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) set forth below.	•

SEE ATTACHED

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on May 11, 2011, at Sacramento, California.

Sherry Ramirez

971112.1 8896.2

1	SERVICE LIST		
2	PAPER COPIES	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH &	
3	Division of Water Rights	GAME	
_	State Water Resources Control Board	c/o Kevin Takei & Chandra Ferrari	
4	Attention: Paul Murphy	1416 Ninth Street, 12th Floor	
5	P.O. Box 2000	Sacramento, CA 95814	
	Sacramento, CA 95812-2000	KTakei@DFG.ca.gov	
6	ELECTRONIC COPIES	CFerrari@DFG.ca.gov	
. 7	Email: wrhearing@waterboards.ca.gov	(916) 653-3715	
/	TROUT UNLIMITED	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY &	
8	c/o Brian Johnson	VENTANTA WILDERNESS ALLIANCE	
_	2239 5 th Street	c/o Adam Lazar	
9	Berkeley, CA 94710	351 California Street, #600	
10	bjoohnson@tu.org	San Francisco, CA 94104	
	(510) 528-4772	alazar@biologicaldiversity.org	
11	WALTED MOTTET	(415) 436-9683	
12	WALTER MOTZEL c/o Alexander Hubbard	LORI LOCKWOOD	
12	Hubbard & Hubbard, LLP	P.O. Box 264	
13	400 Camino Aguajito	Big Sur, CA 93920 loribigsure@aol.com	
14	Monterey, CA 93940	(831) 667-2564	
14	AFHUBB@aol.com	(031) 007 2304	
15	(831) 372-7571		
10			
16			
17			
18			

971112.1 8896.2

	JANET K. GOLDSMITH, State Bar No. 065959		
2	jgoldsmith@kmtg.com DANIELLE R. TEETERS, State Bar No. 210056		
3	dteeters@kmtg.com		
	A Law Corporation		
٠	Sacramento, California 95814		
5	Facsimile: (916) 321-4555		
6	Attorneys for Applicant James J. Hill III		
7	,		
8			
9	BEFORE THE		
10	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD		
11			
12	In the Matter of Water Right		
13	Application No. 30166 of James J. Hill, III NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF ROBERT G. TITUS AND REQUEST FOR		
14	PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS		
15			
16	TO PROTESTANT DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME AND ITS ATTORNEY (S) OF		
17	RECORD:		
18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Water Code section 1100, Government		
19	Code sections 11450.10 and 11450.20, California Code of Civil Procedure section 2025.010 et		
20	seq., and the procedures and practices of the State Water Resources Control Board, the deposition		
21			
22	of ROBERT G. TITUS will be taken by the Applicant James J. Hill III ("Applicant") in the		
	above entitled matter, upon oral examination before a certified shorthand reporter of the State of		
23	California as follows:		
24	DATE: June 2, 2011		
25	TIME: 10:00 a.m. LOCATION: Kronick Moskovitz Tiedemann & Girard		
26	400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor		
27	Sacramento, CA 95814 916/321-4500		
28			
	971111.1 8896.2		

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF ROB TITUS AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann &

GIRARD ATTORNEYS AT LAW Said deposition will commence at the above date and time, and continue from day to day thereafter, Sundays and holidays excepted, until completed. The Applicant reserves the right to record this deposition by videotape.

DOCUMENT REQUEST

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that the above-described Deponent shall bring and produce at the deposition, at the time and place set out above, the following listed writings and other things identified below.

DEFINITIONS

As used herein, the following terms have the meaning and significance set forth below, unless otherwise specifically indicated:

- 1. **DOCUMENT.** The terms "**DOCUMENT**" or "**DOCUMENTS**" shall mean and include all written, recorded, or graphic materials, however produced or reproduced, whether or not privileged, pertaining in any way to the subject matter of this action, including, but not limited to, any and all originals, copies or drafts or any and all of the following: records; written testimonies; drafts; notes; summaries; schedules; drawings; sketches; diaries; reports; memoranda of telephone or in-person conversations by or with any person, or other memoranda; letters; facsimiles, or cables received; electronic mail and voice mail messages; drafts, originals or copies of letters, tapes, transcripts or recordings; photographs, pictures of films; or other graphic, recorded written material of whatever nature and other "writings" of any kind.
- 2. POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL. Each request extends to any documents in the POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL of the Protestant,

 Department of Fish and Game; and or the Deponent. The document is deemed to be in

 Deponent's and/or Protestant's POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL, if it is in the

 Protestant's physical custody., or if it is in the physical custody of any other person and Protestant

 (a) owns such document in whole or in part; (b) has a right by contract, statute or otherwise to

 use, inspect, examine or copy such document on any terms; (c) has an understanding, express or

 implied, that Deponent and/or Protestant may use, inspect, examine or copy such document on

 any terms; or (d) has, as a practical matter, been able to use, inspect, examine or copy such

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, CIEDEMANN & GIRARD ATTORNEYS AT LAW

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Sherry Ramirez, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Sacramento County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor, Sacramento, California 95814. On May 11, 2011, I served a copy of the within document(s):

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF ROB TITUS AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

	by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the	e fax number(s) set
I I	forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.	` ` `

×	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereofully prepaid, the United States mail at Sacramento, California addressed as set	on
	forth below.	

	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed Delivery Service envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a Delivery Service agent for delivery.
	-B

by transmitting via e-mail or electronic transmission the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) set forth below.

SEE ATTACHED

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on May 11, 2011, at Sacramento, California.

Sherry Ramirez

971111.1 8896.2

1	SERVICE LIST		
2	PAPER COPIES	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH &	
3	Division of Water Rights	GAME	
3	State Water Resources Control Board	c/o Kevin Takei & Chandra Ferrari	
4	Attention: Paul Murphy	1416 Ninth Street, 12 th Floor	
	P.O. Box 2000	Sacramento, CA 95814	
5	Sacramento, CA 95812-2000	KTakei@DFG.ca.gov	
6		CFerrari@DFG.ca.gov	
U	ELECTRONIC COPIES	(916) 653-3715	
7	Email: wrhearing@waterboards.ca.gov		
	TROUT UNLIMITED	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY &	
8	c/o Brian Johnson	VENTANTA WILDERNESS ALLIANCE	
0	2239 5 th Street	c/o Adam Lazar	
9	Berkeley, CA 94710	351 California Street, #600	
10	bjoohnson@tu.org	San Francisco, CA 94104	
10	(510) 528-4772	alazar@biologicaldiversity.org	
11		(415) 436-9683	
	WALTER MOTZEL	LORI LOCKWOOD	
12	c/o Alexander Hubbard	P.O. Box 264	
13	Hubbard & Hubbard, LLP	Big Sur, CA 93920	
13	400 Camino Aguajito	loribigsure@aol.com	
14	Monterey, CA 93940	(831) 667-2564	
	AFHUBB@aol.com		
15	(831) 372-7571		
10	·		
16			
17	•		
18	•		

971111.1 8896.2

1 2 3 4 5 6	JANET K. GOLDSMITH, State Bar No. 065959 jgoldsmith@kmtg.com DANIELLE R. TEETERS, State Bar No. 210056 dteeters@kmtg.com KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD A Law Corporation 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 321-4500 Facsimile: (916) 321-4555 Attorneys for Applicant James J. Hill III
8	BEFORE THE
9	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
10	
11 12	
13	In the Matter of Water Right Application No. 30166 of James J. NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF KIT
14	Hill, III CUSTIS AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
15	
16	TO PROTESTANT DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME AND ITS ATTORNEY(S) OF
17.	RECORD:
18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to Water Code section 1100, Government
Code sections 11450.10 and 11450.20, California Code of Civil Procedure section 2025.010	
20	seq., and the procedures and practices of the State Water Resources Control Board, the deposition
21	of KIT CUSTIS will be taken by the Applicant James J. Hill III ("Applicant") in the above
entitled matter, upon oral examination before a certified shorthand reporter of the State of	
23	California as follows:
24	
25	DATE: May 25, 2011 TIME: 10:00 a.m.
26	LOCATION: Kronick Moskovitz Tiedemann & Girard 400 Capitol Mall, 27 th Floor
27	Sacramento, CA 95814 916/321-4500
28 Kronick,	971110.1 8896.2
MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD ATTORNEYS AT LAW	NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF KIT CUSTIS AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Said deposition will commence at the above date and time, and continue from day to day thereafter, Sundays and holidays excepted, until completed. The Applicant reserves the right to record this deposition by videotape.

DOCUMENT REQUEST

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that the above-described deponent shall bring and produce at the deposition, at the time and place set out above, the following listed writings and other things identified below.

DEFINITIONS

As used herein, the following terms have the meaning and significance set forth below, unless otherwise specifically indicated:

- 1. **DOCUMENT**. The terms "**DOCUMENT**" or "**DOCUMENTS**" shall mean and include all written, recorded, or graphic materials, however produced or reproduced, whether or not privileged, pertaining in any way to the subject matter of this action, including, but not limited to, any and all originals, copies or drafts or any and all of the following: records; notes; summaries; schedules; drawings; sketches; diaries; reports; memoranda of telephone or inperson conversations by or with any person, or other memoranda; letters; facsimiles, or cables received; electronic mail and voice mail messages, drafts, originals or copies of letters, tapes, transcripts or recordings; photographs, pictures of films; or other graphic, recorded written material of whatever nature and other "writings" of any kind.
- 2. POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL. Each request extends to any documents in the POSSESSION, CUSTODY OR CONTROL of the Protestant,

 Department of Fish and Game. The document is deemed to be in Protestant's POSSESSION,

 CUSTODY OR CONTROL, if it is in the Protestant's physical custody., or if it is in the physical custody of any other person and Protestant (a) owns such document in whole or in part;

 (b) has a right by contract, statute or otherwise to use, inspect, examine or copy such document on any terms; (c) has an understanding, express or implied, that Protestant may use, inspect, examine or copy such document on any terms; or (d) has, as a practical matter, been able to use, inspect, examine or copy such document when Protestant has sought to do so. Such document shall

KRONICK. MOSKOVITZ. TIEDEMANN & GIRARD ATTORNEYS AT LAW

- 3 -

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 又 15 16 SEE ATTACHED 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 is true and correct. 24 25

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Sherry Ramirez, declare:

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Sacramento County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 400 Capitol Mall, 27th Floor, Sacramento, California 95814. On May 11, 2011, I served a copy of the within document(s):

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF KIT CUSTIS AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

	by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set
لـــا	forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.

X	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed fully prepaid, the United States mail at Sacramento,	envelope with postage thereor. California addressed as set
	forth below.	

- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed Delivery Service envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a Delivery Service agent for delivery.
- by transmitting via e-mail or electronic transmission the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) set forth below.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above

Executed on May 11, 2011, at Sacramento, California.

Sherry Ramirez

971110.1 8896.2

26

27

28

1	SERVICE LIST		
2	PAPER COPIES	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH &	
3	Division of Water Rights	GAME	
J	State Water Resources Control Board	c/o Kevin Takei & Chandra Ferrari	
4	11 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1416 Ninth Street, 12 th Floor	
	P.O. Box 2000	Sacramento, CA 95814	
. 5	Sacramento, CA 95812-2000	KTakei@DFG.ca.gov	
6	TI TOTAL CONTRACTOR	CFerrari@DFG.ca.gov	
	ELECTRONIC COPIES	(916) 653-3715	
7	Email: wrhearing@waterboards.ca.gov TROUT UNLIMITED		
8	c/o Brian Johnson	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY &	
0	2239 5 th Street	VENTANTA WILDERNESS ALLIANCE	
9	Berkeley, CA 94710	c/o Adam Lazar	
10	bioohnson@tu.org	351 California Street, #600 San Francisco, CA 94104	
10	(510) 528-4772	alazar@biologicaldiversity.org	
11		(415) 436-9683	
	WALTER MOTZEL	LORI LOCKWOOD	
12	c/o Alexander Hubbard	P.O. Box 264	
13	Hubbard & Hubbard, LLP	Big Sur, CA 93920	
15	400 Camino Aguajito	loribigsure@aol.com	
14	Monterey, CA 93940	(831) 667-2564	
1.5	AFHUBB@aol.com		
15	(831) 372-7571		
16			
-			
17	:		
18			
19			

971110.1 8896.2

- 5 -

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF KIT CUSTIS AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedemann & Girard ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Attachment B

From:

"Ramirez, Sherry" <SRamirez@kmtg.com>

To:

loribigsur@aol.com; AFHUBB@aol.com; alazar@biologicaldiversity.org; bjoh...

CC:

DTeeters@kmtg.com

Date:

5/11/2011 1:16 PM

Subject:

Matter of Water Right Application No. 30166 of James J. Hill, III

Attachments:

Notice of Deposition of DebraHillyard and Req for Production of Docs.PDF; Notice of Deposition of RobertTitus and Req for Production of Docs.PDF; Noti

ce of Deposition of Kit Custisand Req for Production of Docs.PDF

Attached please find Deposition Notices of Kit Custis, Debra Hillyard and Robert G. Titus. A copy will follow by mail. Please feel free to contact our offices with any questions. Thank you.

The information contained in this E-mail is confidential and may also contain privileged attorney-client information or work product. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this E-mail in error, please delete this message from your computer and immediately notify the sender. Thank you.

Attachment C

KRONICK MOSKOVITZ TIEDEMANN GIRARD A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

JANET K. GOLDSMITH

January 13, 2010

Kristine Van Keuren
Public Records Act Coordinator
Office of General Counsel
Department of Fish & Game
1416 Ninth Street, 12th Floor, Suite 1341
Sacramento, CA 95814

John McCamman Director
Department of Fish & Game
1416 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 321-4500 mith@kmtg.com

Re: Public Records Act Request

Dear Ms. Van Keuren and Mr. McCamman:

Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the California Government Code), I request copies of any public record in the possession or control of the Department of Fish and Game ("Department"), including records of the Fish and Game Commission ("Commission"), that are responsive to the numbered categories below.

The scope of this request includes all public records including, but not limited to, all public records of the Department including agendas and agenda packets, minutes of Commission hearings, meetings, workshops or similar activities; drafts, communications of every nature, letters, e-mails and other electronic communications, notes of telephone calls, contracts, raw data or spreadsheets of data containing information responsive to the requests, reports, maps, schedules both past and future; publications, and reports or other documents reviewed or relied on by Department, Commission and/or Commission staff.

- 1. All documents related to formulation and adoption of fishing regulations applicable to the Big Sur River, including low-flow restrictions contained in 14 CCR section 8.00 for Big Sur River.
- 2. All public records related to the Department's interim flow recommendation, set forth in its comments to the Draft Environmental Impact Report on Water Right Application 30166 ("DEIR").
- 3. All public records, including drafts and communications, related to the development, funding and/or implementation of the study plan entitled "Habitat and Instream Flow Relationships for Steelhead in the Big Sur River, Monterey County, September 2009" submitted as an attachment to the Department's comments to the DEIR.

- 4. All data and other public records collected to date as part of the study of "Habitat and Instream Flow Relationships for Steelhead in the Big Sur River, Monterey County, September 2009" as stated in the Department's "Detailed comments from California Department of Fish & Game on the DEIR for El Sur Ranch Water Right Application 30166." ("Detailed Comments")
- 5. All data collected by or on behalf of the Department or its contractors and all other public records concerning "critical riffles, downstream of the Molera Creek campground, according to the Thompson method" as identified in the Department's "Detailed Comments."
- 6. All data collected from the Big Sur River and all other public records that relate to the Department's following assertion in the "Detailed Comments": "DFG's preliminary data also show a reduction in densities of fish with reductions in water flow. Reductions in water flow reduce the availability and quality of prey available to steelhead for maintenance and growth."
- 7. All data and other public records related to the Department's following assertion in its "Detailed Comments": "DFG has files of stream surveys, creel surveys, reports, and investigations dating back to surveys conducted by Shapovalov and Taft on the Big Sur in 1945."
- 8. All public records related to the assertion, on page 1 of the Department's "Detailed Comments" that "the Old Well was relocated and reconstructed."
- 9. All public records related to the Department's assertion in its "Detailed Comments" that "comparable sites in coastal Monterey typically utilize 2 AFA for irrigated pasture. . . ."
- 10. All public records that contributed to the Department's recommendation for interim flows of "40 cfs for the months of June through November as measured at the USGS gauge and 132 cfs for the months of December through May, as measured at the USGS gauge" as set forth in its December 14, 2009 Memorandum from Jeffrey R. Single, Ph.D. to Paul Murphey, commenting on the DEIR.
- The report titled The Use of Bioassessment to Determine the Biotic Condition of Two Sites on the Big Sur River, Monterey County, Ca, December 2009 by Jim Harrington, cited in the Department's "Detailed Comments"
- 12. All data or other public records relating to the Anadromous Sport Fish Management and Research Program, Project #55 cited in the Department's "Detailed Comments".
- 13. Research, data or other public records concerning "Post-Fire Baseline Monitoring of Big Sur River Lagoon: November/December 2008, Watershed Institute, Publication No WI-22008-7", described in the Department's "Detailed Comments".



14. All data or other public records supporting the DFG assertion in the Detailed Comments", that "Increased irrigation efficiency would allow the applicant to make better use of the more limited amount and rates of diversion which are proposed under this new alternative and could allow more optimal forage production."

If the Department or Commission claims that a record responsive to the above requests is exempt from inspection pursuant to the Public Records Act, please identify the record and the exemption that is claimed.

Thank you very much for your prompt reply to this request.

Sincerely,

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD A Law Corporation

Janet K. Goldsmith

JKG:ll

cc: Dr. Jeffrey R. Single Regional Manager, DFG Central Region 1234 E. Shaw Avenue Fresno, CA 93710

930487 2 8896.2





(916) 321-4500 igoldsmith@kmtg.com

April 20, 2010

VIA E-MAIL AND U.S. POST

Kristine Van Keuren Department of Fish & Game 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1341 P.O. Box 944209 Sacramento, CA 95814

John McCamman, Director Department of Fish & Game 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1341 Sacramento, CA 95814

Chandra Ferrari, Counsel Department of Fish & Game 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1341 P.O. Box 944209 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re:

Public Record Act Request

Dear Ms. Van Keuren, Ms. Ferrari and Mr. McCamman:

Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the California Government Code), I request a complete copy of the below-named report and any public record in the possession or control of the Department of Fish and Game ("Department") containing data that were collected or used in the study identified in the following memorandum:

> Titus, R.G. 1994. "Progress on Big Sur Steelhead Habitat Use Study and Related Work. California Department of Fish and Game Memorandum" dated approximately August 3, 1994.

An incomplete copy of this Memorandum is attached to this request, for your convenience.

The Memorandum references (at page 8, 2d ¶) another, unpublished, memorandum dated 12 August 1993 by R. G. Titus, a copy of which, including any tables and appendices and related field data, is also requested.

Further, the Memorandum (at page 11, 1st ¶) notes that further work on the Big Sur River Steelhead Habitat Use Study, using PIT (passive integrated transponder) tags was planned to be conducted by the Department in 1994-1995. It is requested that all records related to such further work (whether done in 1994-1995 or subsequently) be provided as well.

The scope of this request includes the above-referenced documents, all drafts of such documents, all data, maps, photographs, spreadsheets, graphs, field notes and presentations or other public records of the Department containing information responsive to the request.

Please provide records responsive to this request in electronic format (such as excel spreadsheets, for example), where such format exists. Thank you very much for your prompt reply to this request.

Sincerely,

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD A Professional Corporation

Janet K. Goldsmith

JKG/ll 938594.1 8896.2





JANET K. GOLDSMITH jgoldsmith@kmtg.com

(916) 321-4500 jgoldsmith@kmtg.com

December 28, 2010

VIA E-MAIL AND U.S.P.S.

Kristine Van Keuren Department of Fish & Game 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1341 P.O. Box 944209 Sacramento, CA 95814 John McCamman, Director Department of Fish & Game 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1341 Sacramento, CA 95814

Chandra Ferrari, Counsel Department of Fish & Game 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1341 P.O. Box 944209 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Public Record Act Request

Dear Ms. Van Keuren, Ms. Ferrari and Mr. McCamman:

Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Chapter 3.5 of Division 7 of Title 1 of the California Government Code), I request a complete copy of the reports/plans/data ("reports") set forth below, including any public records in the possession or control of the Department of Fish and Game ("Department") containing the documents that were collected or used in the reports:

- 1. "Study Plan: Habitat and Instream Flow Relationships for Steelhead in the Big Sur River, Monterey County September 2009."
- 2. "Stream Cross-Sectional Data collected during 1992-1995 juvenile steelhead habitat surveys of the Big Sur River by the Department."

The reports were referenced in correspondence dated December 23, 2010, entitled "Protest Dismissal, Interim Minimum Bypass Low Requirements for Juvenile Steelhead Rearing, El Sur Ranch Water Right Permit Application No. 30166, Big Sur River, Monterey County," from Jeffrey R. Single, Ph.D., Regional Manager, to El Sur Ranch representatives. A copy of the correspondence is attached hereto for your convenience.

Additionally, I request the following:

- All documents, including but not limited to all emails, data, surveys, studies, measurements and analyses, reports, plans, photographs, spreadsheets, graphs, field notes, presentation, meeting notes and agendas, task force meeting agendas and notes, and any public records pertaining to or used to formulate the Department's proposed interim bypass flow requirements, including the wetted perimeter analysis and estimate of potential upstream losses as referenced in the attached correspondence.
- All documents, including, but not limited to, all emails, data, surveys, studies, measurements and analyses, reports, plans, photographs, spreadsheets, graphs, field notes, presentation, meeting notes and agendas, task force meeting agendas and notes, and any public records relied on, produced, and/or gathered by the Department of Fish and Game related or pertaining to the El Sur Ranch Water Right Permit Application No. 30166, Big Sur River, Monterey County, excepting all documents already produced in our prior Public Records Act requests dated January 13, 2010 and April 20, 2010

The scope of this request includes the above-referenced documents, all drafts of such documents, all emails, data, surveys, studies, measurements and analyses, maps, photographs, spreadsheets, graphs, field notes and presentations, task force agendas and meeting notes, and/or other public records of the Department containing information responsive to this request that has not already been provided in response to our previous requests dated January 13, 2010 and April 20, 2010.

Please provide records responsive to this request in electronic format (such as electronic portable document format (or "pdf"), excel spreadsheet), where such format exists. Thank you very much for your prompt reply to this request.

Sincerely,

KRONICK, MOSKOVITZ, TIEDEMANN & GIRARD A Professional Corporation

Janet K. Goldsmith

JKG/II 959300.1 8896.2



From:

Megan Penick

To:

dteeters@kmtg.com

CC:

Kevin Takei

Date:

5/9/2011 1:58 PM

Subject:

Public Records Act Request No. 11-05-130

May 9, 2011

Re:

Public Records Act Request No. 11-05-130

Dear Ms. Teeters:

This letter is in response to your Public Records Act ("PRA") request received by the Department of Fish and Game on May 05, 2011, seeking copies of records relating to El Sur Ranch.

The Department has determined it will comply with your request by providing you copies of all responsive documents that are not exempt from disclosure. After the Department has located and assembled any non-exempt responsive documents, we will contact you to make arrangements for delivering the documents to you.

Please note that the Department charges a photocopying fee of \$.15 per page, in addition to shipping and handling costs. The Department does not have a policy that allows us to waive or reduce these fees and costs. If you would like copies of any documents, we will identify the total amount that must be paid to the Department before we send you copies of the documents you have requested. Documents located in response to your request can always be reviewed at the location where they are held without charge.

If you have any questions regarding this matter or would like to know the status of your request, please contact me at mpenick@dfg.ca.gov, and reference PRA number 11-05-130.

Sincerely,

Megan Penick Public Records Act Officer From:

Megan Penick

To:

Chandra Ferrari 5/12/2011 12:57 PM

Date: Subject:

And then 10-05-130

>>> Megan Penick 5/12/2011 12:56 PM >>>

>>> Kevin Takei 5/9/2011 1:23 PM >>>

>>> "Teeters, Danielle R." <<u>DTeeters@kmtg.com</u>> Thursday, May 05, 2011 11:14 AM >>> Kevin:

It is difficult to understand how a map of the data collection sites could be made from the "general location" points and other information provided in your emails from March 28 and 29 2011. There is not enough information contained in those two documents, or any other documents DFG has already produced for anyone to discern where the study and data collection occurred.

We therefore again request any documents related to, concerning, or showing the location of data collection sites or survey sites, including, but not limited to, USGS topo maps/sheets, base maps, hand drawn maps, or any documents showing the locations, landmarks, and/or access areas near or at the data collection sites and/or survey sites, written descriptions of where data collection and/or survey sites were located and how to get to them, parks department maps, field maps or notes, or any document that indicates directions where to find the data collection and/or survey sites; photos of the data collection and/or survey locations; a form that identifies exactly where the start and end data collection and/or survey sites are located to include mileage or any other kind of measurement that indicates how to reach the sites; printed aerial photographs, satellite photos or any other photos that indicate orientation, locations, or landmarks where the data collection and/or survey sites are located.

Please produce these materials as soon as possible. If you have any questions, please let me know. Thank you.

-Danielle

The information contained in this E-mail is confidential and may also contain privileged attorney-client information or work product. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this E-mail in error, please delete this message from your computer and immediately notify the sender. Thank you.

----Original Message----

From: Kevin Takei [mailto:KTAKEI@dfg.ca.gov]

Sent: Monday, May 02, 2011 1:57 PM

To: Teeters, Danielle R.

Cc: Chandra Ferrari; Jolie-Anne S. Ansley; Thomas M. Berliner; Mark Blum; Goldsmith, Janet K.

1 2 3 4	Chandra Ferrari, CA Bar No. 246012 Staff Counsel Department of Fish and Game 1416 9 th Street Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916)654-3819 Facsimile: (916) 654-3805 Email: cferrari@dfg.ca.gov		
6	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
. 7			
	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD		
8			
9	In the Matter of:) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
10	Hearing Regarding Water Right Application)		
11	30166 of El Sur Ranch)		
12			
13			
14)		
15			
İ			
16	I am a resident of the State of California and over the age of eighteen years, and not a		
17	party to the within action. My business address is the Office of General Counsel, Department of		
18	Fish & Game, 1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1341, Sacramento, California, 95814. On May 13, 2011, served the following documents:		
19			
20			
21	NOTICE OF MOTION TO QUASH NOTICES OF DEPOSITIONS OF KIT CUSTIS, DEBORAH HILLYARD AND ROB TITUS AND FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER TO LIMIT		
22	DISCOVERY; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITES, DECLARATION OF CHANDRA FERRARI AND PROPOSED ORDER		
23	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid,		
24	in the United States mail at Sacramento, California, addressed as set forth below in the attached Service List.		
25			
- 1			

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed on May 13, 2011, at Sacramento, California.

Megan Penick

HEARING REGARDING WATER RIGHT APPLICATION 30166 FILED BY EL SUR RANCH (BIG SUR RIVER) – MONTEREY COUNTY

SCHEDULED TO COMMENCE ON JUNE 16, 2011

SERVICE LIST (March 1, 2011)

<u>PARTICIPANTS TO BE SERVED</u> WITH WRITTEN TESTIMONY, EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. (Note: The participants listed below agreed to accept electronic service, pursuant to the rules specified in the hearing notice.)

EL SUR RANCH c/o Janet Goldsmith & Tom Berliner Kronick, Moskovitz, Tiedmann & Girard 400 Capitol Mall, 27 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 jgoldsmith@kmtg.com tmberliner@duanemorris.com (916) 321-4500	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME c/o·Kevin Takei & Chandra Ferrari 1416 Ninth Street, 12 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 KTakei@DFG.ca.gov CFerrari@DFG.ca.gov (916) 653-3715
TROUT UNLIMITED c/o Brian Johnson 2239 5 th Street Berkeley, CA 94710 bjohnson@tu.org (510) 528-4772	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY & VENTANA WILDNERNESS ALLIANCE c/o Adam Lazar 351 California Street, # 600 San Francisco, CA 94104 alazar@biologicaldiversity.org (415) 436-9683
WERNER MOTZEL c/o Alexander Hubbard Hubbard & Hubbard, LLP 400 Camino Aguajito Monterey, CA 93940 AFHUBB@aol.com (831) 372-7571	LORRI LOCKWOOD P.O. Box 264 Big Sur, CA 93920 lorribigsur@aol.com (831) 667-2564

March 1, 2011

CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING PROTECTION ALLIANCE c/o Michael Jackson P.O. Box 207 Quincy, CA 95971 miatty@sbcglobal.net (530) 283-1007

CARMEL RIVER STEELHEAD ASSOCIATION c/o/ Brian LeNeve P.O. Box 1012 Carmel, CA 93921 bileneve@att.net (831) 624-8497