



**Linda S. Adams**  
Secretary for  
Environmental Protection

# State Water Resources Control Board

---

## Division of Water Rights

1001 I Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor ♦ Sacramento, California 95814 ♦ 916.341.5300  
P.O. Box 2000 ♦ Sacramento, California 95812-2000  
Fax: 916.341.5400 ♦ [www.waterrights.ca.gov](http://www.waterrights.ca.gov)



**Arnold Schwarzenegger**  
Governor

## MEMORANDUM

Date: January 7, 2008

To: All Interested Persons

Re: Errata for Draft Policy for Maintaining Instream Flows in Northern California Coastal Streams and Supporting Technical and Environmental Documents

On December 28, 2007, the State Water Resources Control Board posted on its website documentation for the proposed Policy for Maintaining Instream Flows in Northern California Coastal Streams. This memorandum provides notification of errors found in two of the posted documents. After 4 pm on January 7, 2008, corrected versions of these documents may be accessed at the State Water Board website at [http://www.waterrights.ca.gov/HTML/instreamflow\\_nccs.html](http://www.waterrights.ca.gov/HTML/instreamflow_nccs.html)

Following is a description of the revisions made:

### Draft Policy for Maintaining Instream Flows in Northern California Coastal Streams

1. Two errors were found that were a result of the document conversion from MS Word to Adobe Acrobat.

Section 4.1.8 – Item 6 should read:

“6. Daily flow analyses shall be performed with the site-specific criteria to evaluate whether the project described in the proposed project, in combination with senior water rights, may affect instream flows needed for the protection of fishery resources. The guidance provided in Section A.5.11 of Appendix 1 may be used for a daily flow analysis, or the applicant may propose a site-specific method of analysis for State Water Board review and approval.”

Appendix 1, Section A.6.0 – Item 6 should read:

“6. Daily flow analysis shall be performed with the site-specific criteria to evaluate whether the proposed project, in combination with senior diversions, may affect instream flows needed for the protection of fishery resources. The method in section A.5.11 may be used for a daily flow analysis, or the applicant may propose a site-specific method of analysis for State Water Board review and approval.”

2. Two errors were found in Appendix 4 that were the result of MS Word misinterpreting the Spanish tilde sign.

Page A4-2: "Ca±on Creek" should be "Canon Creek"

Page A4-7: "Pe±a Creek" should be "Pena Creek"

3. Two other errors in Appendix 4 were multiple stream names appearing on one line.

Page A4-4: "Greenwood CreekGrindstone Creek" should be two separate streams, "Greenwood Creek" and "Grindstone Creek".

Page A4-7: "Ornbaun Creek Osborne Creek" should be two separate streams, "Ornbaun Creek" and "Osborne Creek".

Appendix D to the Substitute Environmental Document, titled "Potential Indirect Impacts on Municipal, Industrial and Agricultural Water Use and Related Indirect Impacts on Other Environmental Resources".

An old version of this document had been placed on the website. The correct version of this document uses the term "groundwater" instead of "percolating groundwater". In addition, the correct version contains revisions to the text in Table 17.